

Research Article

On inf-Hesitant Fuzzy Γ -Ideals of Γ -Semigroups

Pongpun Julatha ¹ and Aiyared Iampan ²

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Pibulsongkram Rajabhat University, Phlai Chumphon, Mueang, Phitsanulok 65000, Thailand

²Fuzzy Algebras and Decision-Making Problems Research Unit, Department of Mathematics, School of Science, University of Phayao, Mae Ka, Mueang, Phayao 56000, Thailand

Correspondence should be addressed to Aiyared Iampan; aiyared.ia@up.ac.th

Received 7 October 2021; Accepted 9 December 2021; Published 17 January 2022

Academic Editor: Michal Baczynski

Copyright © 2022 Pongpun Julatha and Aiyared Iampan. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The notions of an inf-hesitant fuzzy Γ -ideal and a (sup, inf)-hesitant fuzzy Γ -ideal, which are a generalization of an interval-valued fuzzy Γ -ideal, of a Γ -semigroup are introduced and some properties are investigated. Characterizations of the notions are provided in terms of sets, fuzzy sets, intuitionistic fuzzy sets, interval-valued fuzzy sets, and hesitant fuzzy sets. Furthermore, characterizations of a Γ -ideal of a Γ -semigroup are given in terms of inf-hesitant and (sup, inf)-hesitant fuzzy Γ -ideals.

1. Introduction

The notion of a fuzzy set, proposed by Zadeh [1], has provided a useful mathematical tool and method for describing the behavior of complex and ill-defined systems. The notion has huge applications in decision making, artificial intelligence, automata theory, control engineering, finite state machine, expert, graph theory, robotics, and many branches of pure and applied mathematics (cf. [2]). Nevertheless, there are limitations for using the notion to deal with vague and imprecise information when different sources of vagueness appear simultaneously. In order to overcome such limitations, Torra and Narukawa [3, 4] proposed an extension of the notion so-called a hesitant fuzzy set which is a function from a reference set to a power set of the unit interval. Hesitant fuzzy set theory has been applied to several practical problems, primarily in the area of decision making (see [5–9]) and different algebraic structures; for example, Jun and Ahn [10] introduced hesitant fuzzy subalgebras and hesitant fuzzy ideals of BCK/BCI-algebras and investigated related properties. Mosrijai et al. [11–13] studied hesitant fuzzy sets on UP-algebras. Kim et al. [14] studied the concepts and properties of a hesitant fuzzy subgroupoid (left ideal, right ideal, and ideal) of a groupoid, a hesitant fuzzy subgroup (normal subgroup and quotient subgroup) of

a group, and a hesitant fuzzy subring (left ideal, right ideal, and ideal) of a ring. Jittburus and Julatha [15] proposed the concepts of a sup-hesitant fuzzy ideal of a semigroup and its sup-hesitant fuzzy translations and sup-hesitant fuzzy extensions. They showed that the sup-hesitant fuzzy ideal is a general concept of a hesitant fuzzy ideal and an interval-valued fuzzy ideal and gave its characterizations in terms of sets, fuzzy sets, hesitant fuzzy sets, and interval-valued fuzzy sets. Julatha and Iampan [16] introduced sup-types of hesitant fuzzy sets based on ideal theory of ternary semigroups and examined their properties via a fuzzy set, an interval-valued fuzzy set, and a hesitant fuzzy set.

In 1981, Sen [17] introduced the concept and notion of the Γ -semigroup as a generalization of the plain semigroup and ternary semigroup. Many classical notions and results of (ternary) semigroups have been extended and generalized to Γ -semigroups, by many mathematicians, for instance, Siripitukdet and Iampan [18, 19], Siripitukdet and Julatha [20], Dutta and Adhikari [21, 22], Saha and Sen [23–25], Hila [26, 27], and Chinram [28, 29]. Simuen, Iampan, Chinram, Sardar, Majumder, Dutta, and Davvaz [30–35] studied theory of Γ -semigroups via fuzzy subsets. Uckun et al. [36] studied theory of Γ -semigroup via intuitionistic fuzzy subsets. Abbasi et al. [37] introduced hesitant fuzzy left (resp.,

right, bi-, interior, and two-sided) Γ -ideals of Γ -semigroups and characterized simple Γ -semigroups by hesitant fuzzy sets. Julatha and Iampan [38] introduced a sup-hesitant fuzzy Γ -ideal, which is a general concept of an interval-valued fuzzy Γ -ideal and a hesitant fuzzy Γ -ideal, of a Γ -semigroup and studied its properties via level sets, fuzzy sets, interval-valued fuzzy sets, and hesitant fuzzy sets.

In this paper, the notions of an inf-hesitant fuzzy Γ -ideal and a (sup, inf)-hesitant fuzzy Γ -ideal, which are a general notion of an interval-valued fuzzy Γ -ideal, of a Γ -semigroup are introduced and their properties are investigated. Equivalent conditions for a hesitant fuzzy set to be an inf-hesitant fuzzy Γ -ideal and a (sup, inf)-hesitant fuzzy Γ -ideal are provided in terms of sets, fuzzy sets, intuitionistic fuzzy sets, interval-valued fuzzy sets, and hesitant fuzzy sets. We show that every interval-valued fuzzy set on a Γ -semigroup is an interval-valued fuzzy Γ -ideal if and only if it is a (sup, inf)-hesitant fuzzy Γ -ideal. Furthermore, characterizations of a Γ -ideal of a Γ -semigroup are given in terms of inf-hesitant and (sup, inf)-hesitant fuzzy Γ -ideals.

2. Preliminaries

We will introduce some definitions and results that are important for study in this paper.

First, we recall the definition of Γ -semigroups which is defined by Sen and Saha [25]. By a Γ -semigroup, we mean a nonempty set G with a nonempty set Γ and a mapping $G \times \Gamma \times G \rightarrow G$, written as $(u, \gamma, v) \mapsto u\gamma v$ satisfying the identity $(u\gamma v)\delta w = u\gamma(v\delta w)$ for all $u, v, w \in G$ and $\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$. From now on throughout this paper, G is represented as a Γ -semigroup and X a nonempty set unless otherwise specified. For nonempty subsets U and V of G , let $UV = \{u\gamma v \mid u \in U, v \in V, \gamma \in \Gamma\}$. By a Γ -ideal (Γ Id) of G , we mean a nonempty subset V of G such that $G\Gamma V \subseteq V$ and $V\Gamma G \subseteq V$. Then, a nonempty subset V of G is a Γ Id of G if and only if $u\gamma v, v\gamma u \in V$ for all $u \in G, v \in V$, and $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

A *fuzzy subset* (FS) [1] of X is a function from X into the unit segment of the real line $[0, 1]$. A FS ϕ of G is called a *fuzzy Γ -ideal* (F Γ Id) of G if

$$\max\{\phi(u), \phi(v)\} \leq \phi(u\gamma v), \quad \text{for all } u, v \in G \text{ and } \gamma \in \Gamma. \quad (1)$$

An *intuitionistic fuzzy set* (IFS) A [39] in X is an object having the form $A = \{(x, \phi(x), \varphi(x)) \mid x \in X\}$, where the functions $\phi: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $\varphi: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ define the degree of membership and the degree of nonmembership, respectively, and $0 \leq \phi(x) + \varphi(x) \leq 1$ for all $x \in X$. An IFS $A = \{(x, \phi(x), \varphi(x)) \mid x \in X\}$ in X can be identified to an ordered pair (ϕ, φ) in $[0, 1]^X \times [0, 1]^X$. For a FS ϕ of X , we define a FS $(\phi/2)$ by $(\phi/2)(x) = (\phi(x)/2)$ for all $x \in X$. Then, $((\phi/2), (\varphi/2))$ is an IFS in X for all FSs ϕ and φ of X . An IFS (ϕ, φ) in G is called an *intuitionistic fuzzy Γ -ideal* (IF Γ Id) [36] of G if the following two conditions hold:

- (i) (IF Γ Id1) $\phi(u\gamma v) \geq \max\{\phi(u), \phi(v)\}$ for all $u, v \in G$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$

- (ii) (IF Γ Id2) $\varphi(u\gamma v) \leq \min\{\varphi(u), \varphi(v)\}$ for all $u, v \in G$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$

By an interval number \bar{a} , we mean an interval $[a^-, a^+]$, where $0 \leq a^- \leq a^+ \leq 1$. The set of all interval numbers is denoted by $\mathcal{D}[0, 1]$. Especially, we denoted $\bar{1} = [1, 1]$ and $\bar{0} = [0, 0]$. For two elements $\bar{a} = [a^-, a^+]$ and $\bar{b} = [b^-, b^+]$ in $\mathcal{D}[0, 1]$, define the operations $<, =, \leq$ and $r\max$ in case of two elements in $\mathcal{D}[0, 1]$ as follows:

- (i) $\bar{a} < \bar{b} \Leftrightarrow a^- \leq b^-$ and $a^+ \leq b^+$
- (ii) $\bar{a} = \bar{b} \Leftrightarrow a^- = b^-$ and $a^+ = b^+$
- (iii) $\bar{a} < \bar{b} \Leftrightarrow \bar{a} < \bar{b}$ and $\bar{a} \neq \bar{b}$
- (iv) $r\max\{\bar{a}, \bar{b}\} = [\max\{a^-, b^-\}, \max\{a^+, b^+\}]$

Denote the case that $a^- > b^-$ or $a^+ > b^+$ by $\bar{a} \not\leq \bar{b}$. A function $\tilde{\omega}: X \rightarrow \mathcal{D}[0, 1]$ is called an *interval-valued fuzzy set* (IvFS) [40] on X , where $\tilde{\omega}(x) = [\omega^-(x), \omega^+(x)]$ for all $x \in X$ and ω^- and ω^+ are FSs of X such that $\omega^-(x) \leq \omega^+(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Let IvFS(X) be the set of all IvFSs on X . An IvFS $\tilde{\omega}$ on G is called an *interval-valued fuzzy Γ -ideal* (IvF Γ Id) of G if

$$r\max\{\tilde{\omega}(u), \tilde{\omega}(v)\} < \tilde{\omega}(u\gamma v), \quad \text{for all } u, v \in G \text{ and } \gamma \in \Gamma. \quad (2)$$

Then, $\tilde{\omega}$ is an IvF Γ Id of G if and only if $\tilde{\omega}(u) < \tilde{\omega}(u\gamma v)$ and $\tilde{\omega}(v) < \tilde{\omega}(u\gamma v)$ for all $u, v \in G$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

A *hesitant fuzzy set* (HFS) [3, 4] on X in terms of a function $\hat{\psi}$ is that when applied to X returns a subset of $[0, 1]$, that is, $\hat{\psi}: X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$, where $\mathcal{P}([0, 1])$ denotes the set of all subsets of $[0, 1]$. Let HFS(X) be the set of all HFSs on X , that is, $\text{HFS}(X) = \{\hat{\psi} \mid \hat{\psi}: X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}([0, 1])\}$ and let $\text{HFS}^*(X) = \{\hat{\psi} \in \text{HFS}(X) \mid \hat{\psi}(x) \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } x \in X\}$. Then, $\text{IvFS}(X) \subseteq \text{HFS}^*(X) \subseteq \text{HFS}(X)$. A HFS $\hat{\psi}$ on G is called a *hesitant fuzzy Γ -ideal* (HF Γ Id) [37] of G if

$$\hat{\psi}(u) \cup \hat{\psi}(v) \subseteq \hat{\psi}(u\gamma v), \quad \text{for all } u, v \in G \text{ and } \gamma \in \Gamma. \quad (3)$$

Then, $\hat{\psi}$ is a HF Γ Id of G if and only if $\hat{\psi}(v) \subseteq \hat{\psi}(u\gamma v) \cap \hat{\psi}(v\gamma u)$ for all $u, v \in G$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

For $\hat{\psi} \in \text{HFS}(X)$ and $\nabla \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$, we define the element $\text{SUP}\nabla$ of $[0, 1]$, the subset $[\hat{\psi}; \nabla]_{\text{SUP}}$ of X , the fuzzy subset $\mathcal{F}^{\hat{\psi}}$ of X , and the hesitant fuzzy set $\mathcal{H}_{\text{SUP}}(\hat{\psi}; \nabla)$ on X [15, 16] by

- (1) $\text{SUP}\nabla = \begin{cases} \sup\nabla & \text{if } \nabla \neq \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
- (2) $[\hat{\psi}; \nabla]_{\text{SUP}} = \{x \in X \mid \text{SUP}\hat{\psi}(x) \geq \text{SUP}\nabla\}$
- (3) $\mathcal{F}^{\hat{\psi}}(x) = \text{SUP}\hat{\psi}(x)$ for all $x \in X$
- (4) $\mathcal{H}_{\text{SUP}}(\hat{\psi}; \nabla)(x) = \{t \in \nabla \mid \text{SUP}\hat{\psi}(x) \geq t\}$ for all $x \in X$

We denote $\mathcal{H}_{\text{SUP}}(\hat{\psi}; [0, 1])$ by $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\text{SUP}}^{\hat{\psi}}$, and then, $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\text{SUP}}^{\hat{\psi}} \in \text{IvFS}(X)$.

Julatha and Iampan [38] introduced a sup-hesitant fuzzy Γ -ideal, which is a generalization of the concepts of an IvF Γ Id and a HF Γ Id, of a Γ -semigroup and studied its properties in terms of FSs, IFSs, HFSs, and IvFSs in the following.

Definition 1 (see [38]). Given $\nabla \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$, a HFS $\hat{\psi}$ on G is called a *sup-hesitant fuzzy Γ -ideal of G related to ∇* (briefly,

∇ -sup-hesitant fuzzy Γ -ideal of G if the set $[\widehat{\psi}; \nabla]_{\text{SUP}}$ is a Γ Id of G . We say that $\widehat{\psi}$ is a sup-hesitant fuzzy Γ -ideal (sup-HFFId) of G if $\widehat{\psi}$ is a ∇ -sup-hesitant fuzzy Γ -ideal of G for all $\nabla \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$ when $[\widehat{\psi}; \nabla]_{\text{SUP}} \neq \emptyset$.

Lemma 1 (see [38]). *Every IvFFId of G is a sup-HFFId of G .*

Lemma 2 (see [38]). *Every HFFId of G is a sup-HFFId of G .*

Theorem 1 (see [38]). *For $\widehat{\psi} \in \text{HFS}(G)$, the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\widehat{\psi}$ is a sup-HFFId of G
- (2) $\mathcal{F}^{\widehat{\psi}}$ is a FFId of G
- (3) $\mathcal{H}_{\text{SUP}}^{\widehat{\psi}}$ is a HFFId of G
- (4) $\mathcal{H}_{\text{SUP}}^{\widehat{\psi}}$ is an IvFFId of G
- (5) $\mathcal{H}_{\text{SUP}}^{\widehat{\psi}}$ is a sup-HFFId of G
- (6) $\mathcal{H}_{\text{SUP}}(\widehat{\psi}; \nabla)$ is a HFFId of G for all $\nabla \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$

Given $\widehat{\psi} \in \text{HFS}(X)$, the HFS $\widehat{\psi}^*$, defined by $\widehat{\psi}^*(x) = \{1 - \text{SUP}\widehat{\psi}(x)\}$ for all $x \in X$, is called the *supremum complement* [13, 38] of $\widehat{\psi}$ on X . Then, $\text{SUP}\widehat{\psi}^*(x) = 1 - \text{SUP}\widehat{\psi}(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and $(\mathcal{F}^{\widehat{\psi}}, \mathcal{F}^{\widehat{\psi}^*})$ is an IFS in X .

Theorem 2 (see [38]). *A HFS $\widehat{\psi}$ on G is a sup-HFFId of G if and only if $(\mathcal{F}^{\widehat{\psi}}, \mathcal{F}^{\widehat{\psi}^*})$ is an IFFId of G .*

For every HFS $\widehat{\psi}$ on X and every element t of $[0, 1]$, the set

$$U_{\text{SUP}}(\widehat{\psi}; t) = \{x \in X \mid \text{SUP}\widehat{\psi}(x) \geq t\}, \quad (4)$$

is called a *sup-upper t -level subset* [13, 38] of $\widehat{\psi}$.

Theorem 3 (see [38]). *A HFS $\widehat{\psi}$ on G is a sup-HFFId of G if and only if $U_{\text{SUP}}(\widehat{\psi}; t)$ is either empty or a Γ Id of G for all $t \in [0, 1]$.*

For a subset Y of X and two elements Δ, ∇ of $\mathcal{P}([0, 1])$, define the characteristic interval-valued fuzzy set (CIVFS) $\text{CI}_Y: X \rightarrow \mathcal{D}[0, 1]$, the characteristic hesitant fuzzy set (CHFS) $\text{CH}_Y: X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$, and the hesitant fuzzy set $\chi_Y^{(\Delta, \nabla)}: X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$ by for all $x \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CI}_Y(x) &= \begin{cases} \bar{1}, & \text{if } x \in Y, \\ \bar{0}, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ \text{CH}_Y(x) &= \begin{cases} [0, 1], & \text{if } x \in Y, \\ \emptyset, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ \chi_Y^{(\Delta, \nabla)}(x) &= \begin{cases} \nabla & \text{if } x \in Y, \\ \Delta & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Then, the HFS $\chi_Y^{(\Delta, \nabla)}$ is a general concept of the CHFS and CIVFS, that is, $\chi_Y^{(\emptyset, [0, 1])} = \text{CH}_Y$ and $\chi_Y^{([0, 1])} = \text{CI}_Y$. Julatha and Iampan [38] gave conditions for a nonempty subset Y of G to be a Γ Id by using the CIVFS CI_Y , the CHFS CH_Y , and $\chi_Y^{(\Delta, \nabla)}$ as the following theorem.

Theorem 4 (see [38]). *For a nonempty subset Y of G , the following are equivalent:*

- (1) Y is a Γ Id of G
- (2) The CIVFS CI_Y is a sup-HFFId of G
- (3) The CHFS CH_Y is a sup-HFFId of G
- (4) $\chi_Y^{(\Delta, \nabla)}$ is a sup-HFFId of G for all $\Delta, \nabla \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$ with $\text{SUP}\Delta < \text{SUP}\nabla$

3. inf-Hesitant Fuzzy Γ -Ideals

For a HFS $\widehat{\psi}$ on X and an element $\nabla \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$, define $\text{INF}\nabla$ and $[\widehat{\psi}; \nabla]_{\text{INF}}$ by

$$\text{INF}\nabla = \begin{cases} \inf\nabla, & \text{if } \nabla \neq \emptyset, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

$$[\widehat{\psi}; \nabla]_{\text{INF}} = \{x \in X \mid \text{INF}\widehat{\psi}(x) \geq \text{INF}\nabla\}.$$

Note that for all $x \in X$ and for all $\bar{\omega} \in \text{IvFS}(X)$, we have $\text{INF}\bar{\omega}(x) = \inf\bar{\omega}(x) = \min\bar{\omega}(x) = \omega^-(x)$. Now, we introduce the notion of an inf-hesitant fuzzy Γ -ideal of a Γ -semigroup in the following definition.

Definition 2. A HFS $\widehat{\psi}$ on G is called an inf-hesitant fuzzy Γ -ideal (inf-HFFId) of G if the set $[\widehat{\psi}; \nabla]_{\text{INF}}$ is a Γ Id of G for all $\nabla \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$ when $[\widehat{\psi}; \nabla]_{\text{INF}} \neq \emptyset$.

Example 1. Let \mathbb{Z}^- be the set of all negative integers, $G = \mathbb{Z}^- \cup \{0\}$, and $\Gamma = 2G$. Then, G is a Γ -semigroup with respect to usual multiplication.

(1) Define a HFS $\widehat{\psi}$ on G by

$$\widehat{\psi}(u) = \begin{cases} \{0.5, 0.6, 0.7\}, & \text{if } u = 0, \\ [0.3, 0.8], & \text{if } u \in 2\mathbb{Z}^-, \\ \emptyset, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

for all $u \in G$. Then, $\widehat{\psi}$ is an inf-HFFId of G but not a sup-HFFId of G because

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SUP}\widehat{\psi}((-5)(0)(-6)) &= \text{SUP}\widehat{\psi}(0) = 0.7 < 0.8 \\ &= \max\{\text{SUP}\widehat{\psi}(-5), \text{SUP}\widehat{\psi}(-6)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

(2) Define a HFS $\widehat{\psi}$ on G by

$$\widehat{\psi}(u) = \begin{cases} [0, 1], & \text{if } u = 0, \\ (0.4, 0.8), & \text{if } u \in 2\mathbb{Z}^-, \\ \{0.5, 0.6, 0.7\}, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

for all $u \in G$. Then, $\widehat{\psi}$ is a HFFId of G but not an inf-HFFId of G because the nonempty subset $[\widehat{\psi}; [0.5, 0.6]]_{\text{INF}}$ of G is not a Γ Id of G , that is,

$$-1 \in [\widehat{\psi}; [0.5, 0.6]]_{\text{INF}}, \quad (-1)(-2)(-3) = -6 \notin [\widehat{\psi}; [0.5, 0.6]]_{\text{INF}}. \quad (10)$$

By Example 1 and Lemma 2, we obtain that an inf-HFFId of G is not a sup-HFFId and a HFFId of G and a sup-HFFId of G is not an inf-HFFId of G .

Lemma 3. *Every IvFFId of G is an inf-HFFId of G .*

Proof. Suppose that $\tilde{\omega}$ is an IvFFId of G and $\nabla \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$ such that $[\tilde{\omega}; \nabla]_{\text{INF}}$ is a nonempty set. Let $u \in G$, $v \in [\tilde{\omega}; \nabla]_{\text{INF}}$, and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Since $\tilde{\omega}$ is an IvFFId of G , we get $\tilde{\omega}(v) < \tilde{\omega}(u\gamma v)$ and $\tilde{\omega}(v) < \tilde{\omega}(v\gamma u)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{INF}\nabla &\leq \text{INF}\tilde{\omega}(v) = \omega^-(v) \leq \min\{\omega^-(u\gamma v), \omega^-(v\gamma u)\} \\ &= \min\{\text{INF}\tilde{\omega}(u\gamma v), \text{INF}\tilde{\omega}(v\gamma u)\}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

which implies that $u\gamma v, v\gamma u \in [\tilde{\omega}; \nabla]_{\text{INF}}$. Hence, $[\tilde{\omega}; \nabla]_{\text{INF}}$ is a Γ Id of G . Therefore, $\tilde{\omega}$ is an inf-HFFId of G . \square

In the following example, it is shown that the converse of Lemma 3 is not generally true.

Example 2. Let G be a Γ -semigroup defined in Example 1. Define an IvFS $\tilde{\omega}$ on G by for all $u \in G$,

$$\tilde{\omega}(u) = \begin{cases} \bar{1}, & \text{if } u = 0, \\ [0.5, 0.7], & \text{if } u \in 2\mathbb{Z}^-, \\ [0.3, 0.8], & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

Then, $\tilde{\omega}$ is an inf-HFFId of G but not an IvFFId of G because

$$\begin{aligned} r \max\{\tilde{\omega}(-1), \tilde{\omega}(-3)\} &= [0.3, 0.8] \not\leq [0.5, 0.7] = \tilde{\omega}(-12) \\ &= \tilde{\omega}((-1)(-4)(-3)). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

By Lemma 3 and Example 2, we obtain that an inf-HFFId of a Γ -semigroup G is a general concept of an IvFFId of G .

For every HFS $\hat{\psi}$ on X , define the FS $\mathcal{F}_{\hat{\psi}}$ of X by $\mathcal{F}_{\hat{\psi}}(x) = \text{INF}\hat{\psi}(x)$ for all $x \in X$. A HFS $\hat{\vartheta}$ on X is called an *infimum complement* of $\hat{\psi}$ on X if $\text{INF}\hat{\vartheta}(x) = 1 - \text{INF}\hat{\psi}(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Let $\text{IC}(\hat{\psi})$ be the set of all infimum complements of $\hat{\psi}$. Define the HFS $\hat{\psi}^*$ by $\hat{\psi}^*(x) = \{1 - \text{INF}\hat{\psi}(x)\}$ for all $x \in X$, and then we have $\hat{\psi}^* \in \text{IC}(\hat{\psi})$, $\mathcal{F}_{\hat{\psi}^*}(x) = 1 - \text{INF}\hat{\psi}(x)$, and $\text{INF}(\hat{\psi}^*)^*(x) = \text{INF}\hat{\psi}(x) = \mathcal{F}_{\hat{\psi}}(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Note that $\min\{1 - t_1, 1 - t_2\} = 1 - \max\{t_1, t_2\}$ for all $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$.

Lemma 4. *If $\hat{\psi} \in \text{HFS}^*(G)$ is a HFFId of G , then $\hat{\vartheta}$ is an inf-HFFId of G for all $\hat{\vartheta} \in \text{IC}(\hat{\psi})$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\hat{\psi} \in \text{HFS}^*(G)$ is a HFFId of G and $\hat{\vartheta} \in \text{IC}(\hat{\psi})$. Let $\nabla \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$, $u \in G$, $v \in [\hat{\vartheta}; \nabla]_{\text{INF}}$, and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then, $\hat{\psi}(v) \subseteq \hat{\psi}(u\gamma v)$ and $\hat{\psi}(v) \subseteq \hat{\psi}(v\gamma u)$, and since $\hat{\psi} \in \text{HFS}^*(G)$, we get

$$\text{INF}\hat{\psi}(v) \geq \max\{\text{INF}\hat{\psi}(u\gamma v), \text{INF}\hat{\psi}(v\gamma u)\}, \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{INF}\nabla &\leq \text{INF}\hat{\vartheta}(v) \\ &= 1 - \text{INF}\hat{\psi}(v) \\ &\leq 1 - \max\{\text{INF}\hat{\psi}(u\gamma v), \text{INF}\hat{\psi}(v\gamma u)\} \\ &= \min\{1 - \text{INF}\hat{\psi}(u\gamma v), 1 - \text{INF}\hat{\psi}(v\gamma u)\} \\ &= \min\{\text{INF}\hat{\vartheta}(u\gamma v), \text{INF}\hat{\vartheta}(v\gamma u)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Hence, we have $u\gamma v, v\gamma u \in [\hat{\vartheta}; \nabla]_{\text{INF}}$. Therefore, we obtain that $[\hat{\vartheta}; \nabla]_{\text{INF}}$ is a Γ Id of G . Consequently, $\hat{\vartheta}$ is an inf-HFFId of G . \square

Lemma 5. *For $\hat{\psi} \in \text{HFS}(G)$, the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\hat{\psi}$ is an inf-HFFId of G
- (2) $\mathcal{F}_{\hat{\psi}}$ is a FFIId of G
- (3) $\text{INF}\hat{\psi}(u\gamma v) \geq \max\{\text{INF}\hat{\psi}(u), \text{INF}\hat{\psi}(v)\}$ for all $u, v \in G$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$
- (4) $\text{INF}\hat{\vartheta}(u\gamma v) \leq \min\{\text{INF}\hat{\vartheta}(u), \text{INF}\hat{\vartheta}(v)\}$ for all $u, v \in G$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$, and $\hat{\vartheta} \in \text{IC}(\hat{\psi})$
- (5) $\text{INF}\hat{\psi}^*(u\gamma v) \leq \min\{\text{INF}\hat{\psi}^*(u), \text{INF}\hat{\psi}^*(v)\}$ for all $u, v \in G$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (3). Let $u, v \in G$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then, $u \in [\hat{\psi}; \hat{\psi}(u)]_{\text{INF}}$ and $v \in [\hat{\psi}; \hat{\psi}(v)]_{\text{INF}}$. By assumption (1), we get $u\gamma v \in [\hat{\psi}; \hat{\psi}(u)]_{\text{INF}}$ and $u\gamma v \in [\hat{\psi}; \hat{\psi}(v)]_{\text{INF}}$. Thus, $\text{INF}\hat{\psi}(u\gamma v) \geq \max\{\text{INF}\hat{\psi}(u), \text{INF}\hat{\psi}(v)\}$.

(3) \Rightarrow (2) and (4) \Rightarrow (5). They are clear.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Let $\nabla \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$, $u \in G$, $v \in [\hat{\psi}; \nabla]_{\text{INF}}$, and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. By assumption (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \min\{\text{INF}\hat{\psi}(u\gamma v), \text{INF}\hat{\psi}(v\gamma u)\} &= \min\{\mathcal{F}_{\hat{\psi}}(u\gamma v), \mathcal{F}_{\hat{\psi}}(v\gamma u)\} \\ &\geq \mathcal{F}_{\hat{\psi}}(v) = \text{INF}\hat{\psi}(v) \geq \text{INF}\nabla. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Then, $u\gamma v, v\gamma u \in [\hat{\psi}; \nabla]_{\text{INF}}$. Thus, $[\hat{\psi}; \nabla]_{\text{INF}}$ is a Γ Id of G . Therefore, we have that $\hat{\psi}$ is an inf-HFFId of G .

(3) \Rightarrow (4). Let $u, v \in G$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$, and $\hat{\vartheta} \in \text{IC}(\hat{\psi})$. By assumption (3), we have

$$\text{INF}\hat{\psi}(u\gamma v) \geq \max\{\text{INF}\hat{\psi}(u), \text{INF}\hat{\psi}(v)\}, \quad (17)$$

and then,

$$\begin{aligned} \min\{\text{INF}\hat{\vartheta}(u), \text{INF}\hat{\vartheta}(v)\} &= \min\{1 - \text{INF}\hat{\psi}(u), 1 - \text{INF}\hat{\psi}(v)\} \\ &= 1 - \max\{\text{INF}\hat{\psi}(u), \text{INF}\hat{\psi}(v)\} \\ &\geq 1 - \text{INF}\hat{\psi}(u\gamma v) \\ &= \text{INF}\hat{\vartheta}(u\gamma v). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

(5) \Rightarrow (3). Let $u, v \in G$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. By using assumption (5), we get $\text{INF}\hat{\psi}^*(u\gamma v) \leq \min\{\text{INF}\hat{\psi}^*(u), \text{INF}\hat{\psi}^*(v)\}$ and then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{INF}\hat{\psi}(u\gamma v) &= 1 - (1 - \text{INF}\hat{\psi}(u\gamma v)) \\
 &= 1 - \text{INF}\hat{\psi}^*(u\gamma v) \\
 &\geq 1 - \min\{\text{INF}\hat{\psi}^*(u), \text{INF}\hat{\psi}^*(v)\} \\
 &= 1 - \min\{1 - \text{INF}\hat{\psi}(u), 1 - \text{INF}\hat{\psi}(v)\} \\
 &= 1 - (1 - \max\{\text{INF}\hat{\psi}(u), \text{INF}\hat{\psi}(v)\}) \\
 &= \max\{\text{INF}\hat{\psi}(u), \text{INF}\hat{\psi}(v)\}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Theorem 5. *If an IvFS $\tilde{\omega}$ of G is an IvFFId of G , then $\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{\omega}}$ is a FFIId of G .*

Proof. It follows from Lemmas 3 and 5. □

Theorem 6. *If $\hat{\psi} \in \text{HFS}^*(G)$ is a HFFId of G , then $\mathcal{F}_{\hat{\psi}}$ is a FFIId of G for all $\hat{\vartheta} \in \text{IC}(\hat{\psi})$.*

Proof. It follows from Lemmas 4 and 5. □

For $\hat{\psi} \in \text{HFS}(X)$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, the sets

$$\begin{aligned}
 U_{\text{INF}}(\hat{\psi}; t) &= \{x \in X \mid \text{INF}\hat{\psi}(x) \geq t\}, \\
 L_{\text{INF}}(\hat{\psi}; t) &= \{x \in X \mid \text{INF}\hat{\psi}(x) \leq t\},
 \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

are called an inf-upper t -level subset and an inf-lower t -level subset of $\hat{\psi}$, respectively.

Theorem 7. *A HFS $\hat{\psi}$ of G is an inf-HFFId of G if and only if for all $t \in [0, 1]$, a nonempty subset $U_{\text{INF}}(\hat{\psi}; t)$ of G is a FIId of G .*

Proof. Let $t \in [0, 1]$ and $U_{\text{INF}}(\hat{\psi}; t) \neq \emptyset$. Choose $\nabla \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$ such that $\text{INF}\nabla = t$, and we get $[\hat{\psi}; \nabla]_{\text{INF}} = U_{\text{INF}}(\hat{\psi}; t)$. Since $\hat{\psi}$ is an inf-HFFId of G , we get that $U_{\text{INF}}(\hat{\psi}; t) = [\hat{\psi}; \nabla]_{\text{INF}}$ is a FIId of G .

Conversely, let $\nabla \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$ and $[\hat{\psi}; \nabla]_{\text{INF}} \neq \emptyset$. Choose $t := \text{INF}\nabla$, and by the assumption, we obtain that $[\hat{\psi}; \nabla]_{\text{INF}} = U_{\text{INF}}(\hat{\psi}; t)$ is a FIId of G . Therefore, $\hat{\psi}$ is an inf-HFFId of G . □

Corollary 1. *Let $\tilde{\omega}$ be an IvFFId of G . Then, for all $t \in [0, 1]$, a nonempty subset $U_{\text{INF}}(\tilde{\omega}; t)$ of G is a FIId of G .*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3 and Theorem 7. □

Theorem 8. *Let $\hat{\psi} \in \text{HFS}(G)$ and $\hat{\vartheta} \in \text{IC}(\hat{\psi})$. Then, $\hat{\vartheta}$ is an inf-HFFId of G if and only if for all $t \in [0, 1]$, a nonempty subset $L_{\text{INF}}(\hat{\psi}; t)$ of G is a FIId of G .*

Proof. Let $t \in [0, 1]$ and $L_{\text{INF}}(\hat{\psi}; t) \neq \emptyset$. There exists $\nabla \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$ such that $\text{INF}\nabla = 1 - t$ and then $[\hat{\vartheta}; \nabla]_{\text{INF}} = L_{\text{INF}}(\hat{\psi}; t)$. Since $\hat{\vartheta}$ is an inf-HFFId of G , we obtain that $L_{\text{INF}}(\hat{\psi}; t) = [\hat{\vartheta}; \nabla]_{\text{INF}}$ is a FIId of G .

Conversely, let $\nabla \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$ be such that $[\hat{\vartheta}; \nabla]_{\text{INF}} \neq \emptyset$. Choose $t := 1 - \text{INF}\nabla$, and by the assumption, we obtain that $[\hat{\vartheta}; \nabla]_{\text{INF}} = L_{\text{INF}}(\hat{\psi}; t)$ is a FIId of G . Hence, $\hat{\vartheta}$ is an inf-HFFId of G . □

Corollary 2. *If $\hat{\psi} \in \text{HFS}^*(G)$ is a HFFId of G , then for all $t \in [0, 1]$, a nonempty subset $L_{\text{INF}}(\hat{\psi}; t)$ of G is a FIId of G .*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 4 and Theorem 8. □

In the following theorem, we give conditions for a HFS of a Γ -semigroup to be an inf-HFFId via IVFSs.

Theorem 9. *For $\hat{\psi} \in \text{HFS}(G)$, the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\hat{\psi}$ is an inf-HFFId of G
- (2) $(\mathcal{F}_{\hat{\psi}}, \mathcal{F}_{\hat{\vartheta}})$ is an IFFIId of G for all $\hat{\vartheta} \in \text{IC}(\hat{\psi})$
- (3) $(\mathcal{F}_{\hat{\psi}}, \mathcal{F}_{\hat{\psi}^*})$ is an IFFIId of G

Proof. It follows from Lemma 5. □

Corollary 3. *If an IvFS $\tilde{\omega}$ of G is an IvFFId of G , then $(\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{\omega}}, \mathcal{F}_{\hat{\vartheta}})$ is an IFFIId of G for all $\hat{\vartheta} \in \text{IC}(\tilde{\omega})$.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3 and Theorem 9. □

Corollary 4. *If $\hat{\psi} \in \text{HFS}^*(G)$ is a HFFId of G , then $(\mathcal{F}_{\hat{\psi}}, \mathcal{F}_{\hat{\vartheta}})$ is an IFFIId of G for all $\hat{\vartheta} \in \text{IC}(\hat{\psi})$.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 4 and Theorem 9. □

For $\hat{\psi} \in \text{HFS}(X)$ and $\nabla \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$, we define the HFS $\mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}(\hat{\psi}; \nabla)$ on X by

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}(\hat{\psi}; \nabla)(x) = \{t \in \nabla \mid \text{INF}\hat{\psi}(x) \geq t\} \text{ for all } x \in X, \tag{21}$$

and we denote $\mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}(\hat{\psi}; [0, 1])$ by $\mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}^{\hat{\psi}}$. Then, the following statements hold:

- (1) $\mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}(\hat{\psi}; \nabla)(x) \subseteq \nabla$ for all $x \in X$
- (2) $\mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}^{\hat{\psi}} \in \text{IVFS}(X)$
- (3) $0 = \min \mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}^{\hat{\psi}}(x) \leq \text{INF}\mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}(\hat{\psi}; \nabla)(x) \leq \text{INF}\hat{\psi}(x) = \max \mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}^{\hat{\psi}}(x)$ for all $x \in X$

In the following theorem, we give conditions for a HFS of a Γ -semigroup to be an inf-HFFId in terms of IVFSs and HFSs.

Theorem 10. *For $\hat{\psi} \in \text{HFS}(G)$, the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\hat{\psi}$ is an inf-HFFId of G
- (2) $\mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}(\hat{\psi}; \nabla)$ is a HFFId of G for all $\nabla \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$
- (3) $\mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}^{\hat{\psi}}$ is a HFFId of G
- (4) $\mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}^{\hat{\psi}}$ is an IvFFId of G

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let $u, v \in G$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $\nabla \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$, and $t \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}(\hat{\psi}; \nabla)(u) \cup \mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}(\hat{\psi}; \nabla)(v)$. Then, $t \in \nabla$ and $t \leq \max\{\text{INF}\hat{\psi}(u), \text{INF}\hat{\psi}(v)\}$. By assumption (1) and Lemma 5, we get

$$t \leq \max\{\text{INF}\hat{\psi}(u), \text{INF}\hat{\psi}(v)\} \leq \text{INF}\hat{\psi}(u\gamma v). \tag{22}$$

Thus $t \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}(\widehat{\psi}; \nabla)(u\gamma v)$. Hence, $\mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}(\widehat{\psi}; \nabla)(u) \cup \mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}(\widehat{\psi}; \nabla)(v) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}(\widehat{\psi}; \nabla)(u\gamma v)$. Therefore, we have that $\mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}(\widehat{\psi}; \nabla)$ is a HFFId of G .

(2) \Rightarrow (3). It is clear.

(3) \Rightarrow (4). Let $u, v \in G$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then, $\text{INF}\widehat{\psi}(u) \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}^{\widehat{\psi}}(u)$ and $\text{INF}\widehat{\psi}(v) \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}^{\widehat{\psi}}(v)$. By assumption (3), we get $\text{INF}\widehat{\psi}(u), \text{INF}\widehat{\psi}(v) \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}^{\widehat{\psi}}(u\gamma v)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \max \mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}^{\widehat{\psi}}(u\gamma v) &= \text{INF}\widehat{\psi}(u\gamma v) \\ &\geq \max\{\text{INF}\widehat{\psi}(u), \text{INF}\widehat{\psi}(v)\} \\ &= \max\left\{\max \mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}^{\widehat{\psi}}(u), \max \mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}^{\widehat{\psi}}(v)\right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Since $\min \mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}^{\widehat{\psi}}(x) = 0$ for all $x \in G$, we have $\min \mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}^{\widehat{\psi}}(u\gamma v) \geq \max\left\{\min \mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}^{\widehat{\psi}}(u), \min \mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}^{\widehat{\psi}}(v)\right\}$ and so $r \max\left\{\mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}^{\widehat{\psi}}(u), \mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}^{\widehat{\psi}}(v)\right\} = \left[\max\left\{\min \mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}^{\widehat{\psi}}(u), \min \mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}^{\widehat{\psi}}(v)\right\}, \max\left\{\max \mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}^{\widehat{\psi}}(u), \max \mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}^{\widehat{\psi}}(v)\right\}\right] \leq \left[\min \mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}^{\widehat{\psi}}(u\gamma v), \max \mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}^{\widehat{\psi}}(u\gamma v)\right] = \mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}^{\widehat{\psi}}(u\gamma v)$. (24)

Therefore, $\mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}^{\widehat{\psi}}$ is an IvFFId of G .

(4) \Rightarrow (1). Let $u, v \in G$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. By assumption (4), we get $r \max\left\{\mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}^{\widehat{\psi}}(u), \mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}^{\widehat{\psi}}(v)\right\} < \mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}^{\widehat{\psi}}(u\gamma v)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{INF}\widehat{\psi}(u\gamma v) &= \max \mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}^{\widehat{\psi}}(u\gamma v) \\ &\geq \max\left\{\max \mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}^{\widehat{\psi}}(u), \max \mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}^{\widehat{\psi}}(v)\right\} \\ &= \max\{\text{INF}\widehat{\psi}(u), \text{INF}\widehat{\psi}(v)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Therefore, it follows from Lemma 5 that $\widehat{\psi}$ is an inf-HFFId of G . \square

Corollary 5. Let $\widetilde{\omega}$ be an IvFFId of G . Then, the following hold:

- (1) $\mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}(\widetilde{\omega}; \nabla)$ is a HFFId of G for all $\nabla \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$
- (2) $\mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}^{\widetilde{\omega}}$ is both a HFFId and an IvFFId of G

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3 and Theorem 10. \square

Corollary 6. Let $\widehat{\psi} \in \text{HFS}^*(G)$ be a HFFId of G . Then, the following hold:

- (1) $\mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}(\widehat{\psi}; \nabla)$ is a HFFId of G for all $\nabla \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$ and $\widehat{\psi} \in \text{IC}(\widehat{\psi})$
- (2) $\mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}^{\widehat{\psi}}$ is both a HFFId and an IvFFId of G for all $\widehat{\psi} \in \text{IC}(\widehat{\psi})$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 4 and Theorem 10. \square

In the following theorem, we give one characterization of a Γ Id of a Γ -semigroup in terms of a HFS.

Theorem 11. Let Y be a nonempty subset of G and $\Delta, \nabla \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$ with $\text{INF}\Delta < \text{INF}\nabla$. Then, Y is a Γ Id of G if and only if $\chi_Y^{(\Delta, \nabla)}$ is an inf-HFFId of G .

Proof. Assume that Y is a Γ Id of G . Suppose that $\chi_Y^{(\Delta, \nabla)}$ is not an inf-HFFId of G . By Lemma 5, there exist $u, v \in G$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that

$$\max\{\text{INF}\chi_Y^{(\Delta, \nabla)}(u), \text{INF}\chi_Y^{(\Delta, \nabla)}(v)\} > \text{INF}\chi_Y^{(\Delta, \nabla)}(u\gamma v). \quad (26)$$

Thus, $\max\{\text{INF}\chi_Y^{(\Delta, \nabla)}(u), \text{INF}\chi_Y^{(\Delta, \nabla)}(v)\} = \text{INF}\nabla$, which implies that $u \in Y$ or $v \in Y$. Since Y is a Γ Id of G , we get $u\gamma v \in Y$ and so

$$\max\{\text{INF}\chi_Y^{(\Delta, \nabla)}(u), \text{INF}\chi_Y^{(\Delta, \nabla)}(v)\} = \text{INF}\nabla = \text{INF}\chi_Y^{(\Delta, \nabla)}(u\gamma v), \quad (27)$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\chi_Y^{(\Delta, \nabla)}$ is an inf-HFFId of G .

Conversely, let $u \in Y, v \in G$, and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then, $\chi_Y^{(\Delta, \nabla)}(u) = \nabla$ and so $\max\{\text{INF}\chi_Y^{(\Delta, \nabla)}(u), \text{INF}\chi_Y^{(\Delta, \nabla)}(v)\} = \text{INF}\nabla$. Since $\chi_Y^{(\Delta, \nabla)}$ is an inf-HFFId of G and Lemma 5, we get $\text{INF}\chi_Y^{(\Delta, \nabla)}(u\gamma v) \geq \text{INF}\nabla$ and $\text{INF}\chi_Y^{(\Delta, \nabla)}(v\gamma u) \geq \text{INF}\nabla$. Thus, $u\gamma v, v\gamma u \in Y$. Therefore, Y is a Γ Id of G . \square

Theorem 12. A nonempty subset Y of G is a Γ Id of G if and only if the ClvFS CI_Y is an inf-HFFId of G .

Proof. It follows from Theorem 11. \square

Remark 1. If Y is a subset of G , then the CHFS CH_Y is an inf-HFFId of G .

Definition 3. A HFS $\widehat{\psi}$ on G is called a (sup, inf)-hesitant fuzzy Γ -ideal ((sup, inf)-HFFId) of G if $\widehat{\psi}$ is both an inf-HFFId and a sup-HFFId of G .

In Theorem 13, equivalent conditions for a hesitant fuzzy set to be a (sup, inf)-HFFId are given in terms of level sets, FSSs, IFSSs, IvFSSs, and HFSs.

Theorem 13. For $\widehat{\psi} \in \text{HFS}(G)$, the following are equivalent:

- (1) $\widehat{\psi}$ is a (sup, inf)-HFFId of G
- (2) $\mathcal{F}_{\widehat{\psi}}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{\widehat{\psi}}$ are FFIds of G
- (3) $\mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}^{\widehat{\psi}}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\text{SUP}}^{\widehat{\psi}}$ are HFFIds of G
- (4) $\mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}^{\widehat{\psi}}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\text{SUP}}^{\widehat{\psi}}$ are IvFFIds of G
- (5) $(\mathcal{F}_{\widehat{\psi}}, \mathcal{F}^{\widehat{\psi}})$ is an IFFIId of G
- (6) $((\mathcal{F}_{\widehat{\psi}}/2), (\mathcal{F}^{\widehat{\psi}}/2))$ is an IFFIId of G
- (7) $((\mathcal{F}_{\widehat{\psi}}/2), (\mathcal{F}^{\widehat{\psi}}/2))$ is an IFFIId of G for all $\widehat{\psi} \in \text{IC}(\widehat{\psi})$
- (8) $\mathcal{H}_{\text{INF}}(\widehat{\psi}; \nabla)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\text{SUP}}(\widehat{\psi}; \Delta)$ are HFFIds of G for all $\Delta, \nabla \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$

- (9) For all $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$, nonempty subsets $U_{INF}(\hat{\psi}; t_1)$ and $U_{SUP}(\hat{\psi}; t_2)$ of G are Γ IDs of G
- (10) $SUP\hat{\psi}(u\gamma v) \geq \max\{SUP\hat{\psi}(u), SUP\hat{\psi}(v)\}$ and $INF\hat{\psi}(u\gamma v) \geq \max\{INF\hat{\psi}(u), INF\hat{\psi}(v)\}$ for all $u, v \in G$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$

Proof. It follows from Theorems 1, 2, 3, 7, and 10 and Lemma 5. \square

Example 3. Let \mathbb{Z}^- be the set of all negative integers and $G = \Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^-$. Then, G forms a Γ -semigroup with the usual multiplication. Define a HFS $\hat{\psi}$ on G by $\hat{\psi}(u) = \{(u + 1/3u), (3u + 1/3u)\}$ for all $u \in G$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} SUP\hat{\psi}(u\gamma v) &= \frac{3(u\gamma v) + 1}{3(u\gamma v)} \geq \max\left\{\frac{3u + 1}{3u}, \frac{3v + 1}{3v}\right\} \\ &= \max\{SUP\hat{\psi}(u), SUP\hat{\psi}(v)\}, \\ INF\hat{\psi}(u\gamma v) &= \frac{u\gamma v + 1}{3(u\gamma v)} \geq \max\left\{\frac{u + 1}{3u}, \frac{v + 1}{3v}\right\} \\ &= \max\{INF\hat{\psi}(u), INF\hat{\psi}(v)\}, \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

for all $u, v \in G$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Hence, it follows from Theorem 13 that $\hat{\psi}$ is a (sup, inf)-HFFId of G . Since $\hat{\psi}$ is not an IvFS of G , we get that it is not an IvFFId of G .

Lemma 6. Every IvFFId of G is a (sup, inf)-HFFId of G .

Proof. It follows from Lemmas 1 and 3. \square

By Example 3 and Lemma 6, we see that a (sup, inf)-HFFId of G is a general concept of an IvFFId of G .

Lemma 7. Let $\tilde{\omega}$ be an IvFS of G . Then, $\tilde{\omega}$ is an IvFFId of G if and only if $\tilde{\omega}$ is a (sup, inf)-HFFId of G .

Proof. It follows from Lemma 6.

Conversely, assume that $\tilde{\omega}$ is a (sup, inf)-HFFId of G . By Theorem 13, we get $SUP\tilde{\omega}(u\gamma v) \geq \max\{SUP\tilde{\omega}(u), SUP\tilde{\omega}(v)\}$ and $INF\tilde{\omega}(u\gamma v) \geq \max\{INF\tilde{\omega}(u), INF\tilde{\omega}(v)\}$ for all $u, v \in G$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} r \max\{\tilde{\omega}(u), \tilde{\omega}(v)\} &= [\max\{INF\tilde{\omega}(u), INF\tilde{\omega}(v)\}, \\ &\quad \max\{SUP\tilde{\omega}(u), SUP\tilde{\omega}(v)\}] \\ &\leq [INF\tilde{\omega}(u\gamma v), SUP\tilde{\omega}(u\gamma v)] \\ &= \tilde{\omega}(u\gamma v), \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

for all $u, v \in G$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Therefore, $\tilde{\omega}$ is an IvFFId of G . \square

In Theorem 14, equivalent conditions for an IvFS to be an IvFFId are given in terms of level sets, FSs, IFSSs, IvFSSs, and HFSSs.

Theorem 14. For $\tilde{\omega} \in IvFS(G)$, the following are equivalent:

- (1) $\tilde{\omega}$ is an IvFFId of G

- (2) $\tilde{\omega}$ is a (sup, inf)-HFFId of G
- (3) $\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{\omega}}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{\tilde{\omega}}$ are FFIDs of G
- (4) $\mathcal{H}_{INF}^{\tilde{\omega}}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{SUP}^{\tilde{\omega}}$ are HFFIDs of G
- (5) $\mathcal{H}_{INF}^{\tilde{\omega}}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{SUP}^{\tilde{\omega}}$ are IvFFIDs of G
- (6) $(\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{\omega}}, \mathcal{F}^{\tilde{\omega}})$ is an IFFId of G
- (7) $((\mathcal{F}^{\tilde{\omega}}/2), (\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{\omega}}/2))$ is an IFFId of G
- (8) $((\mathcal{F}^{\tilde{\omega}}/2), (\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{\omega}}/2))$ is an IFFId of G for all $\hat{\vartheta} \in IC(\tilde{\omega})$
- (9) $\mathcal{H}_{INF}^{\tilde{\omega}}(\tilde{\omega}; \nabla)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{SUP}^{\tilde{\omega}}(\tilde{\omega}; \Delta)$ are HFFIDs of G for all $\Delta, \nabla \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$
- (10) For all $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$, nonempty subsets $U_{INF}(\tilde{\omega}; t_1)$ and $U_{SUP}(\tilde{\omega}; t_2)$ of G are Γ IDs of G

Proof. It follows from Lemma 7 and Theorem 13. \square

Theorem 15. For a nonempty subset Y of G , the following are equivalent:

- (1) Y is a Γ Id of G
- (2) The ClvFS Cl_Y is a (sup, inf)-HFFId of G
- (3) The CHFS CH_Y is a (sup, inf)-HFFId of G
- (4) $\chi_Y^{(\Delta, \nabla)}$ is a (sup, inf)-HFFId of G for all $\Delta, \nabla \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$ with $INF\Delta < INF\nabla$ and $SUP\Delta < SUP\nabla$

Proof. It follows from Theorems 4, 11, and 12 and Remark 1. \square

4. Conclusions

In this paper, we have introduced the notions of an inf-HFFId and a (sup, inf)-HFFId, which are a generalization of an IvFFId, of a Γ -semigroup and examined their characterizations in terms of sets, FSs, IFSSs, IvFSSs, and HFSSs. Furthermore, we have discussed the relation between a Γ Id and a generalization of the CHFS and ClvFS. From the study results, we found that the following conditions are all equivalent in a Γ -semigroup: a nonempty subset Y is a Γ Id, Cl_Y is an inf-HFFId, Cl_Y is a (sup, inf)-HFFId, and CH_Y is a (sup, inf)-HFFId.

In the future, we will study an inf-HFFId and a (sup, inf)-HFFId in LA-semigroups and UP-algebras and examine their characterizations in terms of sets, FSs, IFSSs, IvFSSs, and HFSSs.

Data Availability

No data were used to support this research.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

- [1] L. A. Zadeh, "Fuzzy sets," *Information and Control*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 338–353, 1965.

- [2] J. N. Mordeson, D. S. Malik, and N. Kuroki, *Fuzzy Semigroups, Studies in Fuzziness and Soft Computing*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany, pp. 131–168, 2003.
- [3] V. Torra and Y. Narukawa, “On hesitant fuzzy sets and decision,” in *Proceedings of the 18th IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems*, pp. 1378–1382, IEEE, Jeju Island, South Korea, August 2009.
- [4] V. Torra, “Hesitant fuzzy sets,” *International Journal of Intelligent Systems*, vol. 25, no. 6, pp. 529–539, 2010.
- [5] N. Chen, Z. Xu, and M. Xia, “Correlation coefficients of hesitant fuzzy sets and their applications to clustering analysis,” *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, vol. 37, no. 4, pp. 2197–2211, 2013.
- [6] R. M. Rodriguez, L. Martinez, and F. Herrera, “Hesitant fuzzy linguistic term sets for decision making,” *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 109–119, 2011.
- [7] G. Wei, “Hesitant fuzzy prioritized operators and their application to multiple attribute decision making,” *Knowledge-Based Systems*, vol. 31, pp. 176–182, 2012.
- [8] Z. Xu and M. Xia, “Hesitant fuzzy entropy and cross-entropy and their use in multiattribute decision-making,” *International Journal of Intelligent Systems*, vol. 27, no. 9, pp. 799–822, 2012.
- [9] M. Xia, Z. Xu, and N. Chen, “Some hesitant fuzzy aggregation operators with their application in group decision making,” *Group Decision and Negotiation*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 259–279, 2013.
- [10] Y. B. Jun and S. S. Ahn, “Hesitant fuzzy set theory applied to BCK/BCI-algebras,” *Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 635–646, 2016.
- [11] P. Mosriyai, W. Kamti, A. Satirad, and A. Iampan, “Hesitant fuzzy sets on UP-algebras,” *Konuralp Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 268–280, 2017.
- [12] A. Akarachai Satirad, P. Wassana Kamti, W. Aiyared Iampan, and A. Phakawat Mosriyai, “Level subsets of a hesitant fuzzy set on UP-algebras,” *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 279–302, 2017.
- [13] P. Mosriyai, A. Satirad, and A. Iampan, “New types of hesitant fuzzy sets on UP-algebras,” *Mathematica Moravica*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 29–39, 2018.
- [14] J. Jun Hui Kim, P. K. Jeonggon Lee, J. G. Kul Hur, and K. Pyung Ki Lim, “Hesitant fuzzy subgroups and subrings,” *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 105–122, 2019.
- [15] U. Jittburus and P. Julatha, “New generalizations of hesitant and interval-valued fuzzy ideals of semigroups,” *Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 2199–2212, 2021.
- [16] P. Julatha and A. Iampan, “A new generalization of hesitant and interval-valued fuzzy ideals of ternary semigroups,” *International Journal of Fuzzy Logic and Intelligent Systems*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 169–175, 2021.
- [17] M. K. Sen, “On Γ -semigroup. Algebra and its applications (New Delhi, 1981), 301–308,” *Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 91, Dekker, , New York, NY, USA, 1984.
- [18] M. Siripitukdet and A. Iampan, “On the ideal extensions in Γ -semigroups,” *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal*, vol. 48, no. 4, pp. 585–591, 2008.
- [19] M. Siripitukdet and A. Iampan, “On the least (ordered) semilattice congruence in ordered Γ -semigroups,” *Thai Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 403–415, 2012.
- [20] M. Siripitukdet and P. Julatha, “The greatest subgroup of a semigroup in Γ -semigroups,” *Lobachevskii Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 158–164, 2012.
- [21] T. K. Dutta and N. C. Adhikari, “On Γ -semigroup with the right and left unities,” *Soochow Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 461–474, 1993.
- [22] T. K. Dutta and N. C. Adhikari, “On prime radical of Γ -semigroup,” *Bulletin of the Calcutta Mathematical Society*, vol. 86, no. 5, pp. 437–444, 1994.
- [23] N. K. Saha, “On Γ -semigroup II,” *Bulletin of the Calcutta Mathematical Society*, vol. 79, no. 6, pp. 331–335, 1987.
- [24] M. K. Sen and N. K. Saha, “Orthodox Γ -semigroups,” *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 527–534, 1990.
- [25] M. K. Sen and N. K. Saha, “On Γ -semigroup-I,” *Bulletin of the Calcutta Mathematical Society*, vol. 78, no. 3, pp. 180–186, 1986.
- [26] K. Hila, “On regular, semiprime and quasi-reflexive Γ -semigroup and minimal quasi-ideals,” *Lobachevskii Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 141–152, 2008.
- [27] K. Hila, “Some results on prime radical in ordered Γ -semigroups,” *Mathematical Reports*, vol. 16, no. 66, pp. 253–270, 2014.
- [28] R. Chinram, “On quasi-gamma-ideals in gamma-semigroups,” *Science Asia*, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 351–353, 2006.
- [29] R. Chinram, “A note on quasi-ideals in Γ -semirings,” *International Mathematical Forum*, vol. 3, no. 25–28, pp. 1253–1259, 2008.
- [30] A. Simuen, A. Iampan, and R. Chinram, “A novel of ideals and fuzzy ideals of gamma-semigroups,” *Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 2021, p. 14, Article ID 6638299, 2021.
- [31] A. Iampan, “Fuzzification of ideals and filters in Γ -semigroups,” *Armenian Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 44–48, 2012.
- [32] R. Chinram, “Rough prime ideals and rough fuzzy prime ideals in gamma-semigroups,” *Communications of the Korean Mathematical Society*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 341–351, 2009.
- [33] T. K. Dutta, S. K. Sardar, and S. K. Majumder, “Fuzzy ideal extensions of Γ -semigroups,” *International Mathematical Forum*, vol. 4, no. 41–44, pp. 2093–2100, 2009.
- [34] T. K. Dutta, S. K. Sardar, and S. K. Majumder, “Fuzzy ideal extensions of Γ -semigroups via its operator semigroups,” *International Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 4, no. 29–32, pp. 1455–1463, 2009.
- [35] S. K. Sardar, B. Davvaz, and S. K. Majumder, “A study on fuzzy interior ideals of Γ -semigroups,” *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 90–94, 2010.
- [36] M. Uckun, M. A. Ozturk, and Y. B. Jun, “Intuitionistic fuzzy sets in Γ -semigroups,” *Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society*, vol. 44, no. 2, pp. 359–367, 2007.
- [37] M. Y. Abbasi, A. F. Talee, S. A. Khan, and K. Hila, “A hesitant fuzzy set approach to ideal theory in Γ -semigroups,” *Advances in Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 2018, Article ID 5738024, 6 pages, 2018.
- [38] P. Julatha and A. Iampan, “hesitant fuzzy ideals of Γ -sup-semigroups,” *Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 148–161, 2022.
- [39] K. T. Atanassov, “Intuitionistic fuzzy sets,” *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 87–96, 1986.
- [40] L. A. Zadeh, “The concept of a linguistic variable and its application to approximate reasoning-I,” *Information Sciences*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 199–249, 1975.