

Research Article

Discreteness of Curved Spacetime from GUP

Ahmad Adel Abutaleb

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Mansoura, Elmansoura 35516, Egypt

Correspondence should be addressed to Ahmad Adel Abutaleb; eng.ahamadadel@yahoo.com

Received 9 October 2013; Revised 13 December 2013; Accepted 16 December 2013

Academic Editor: Elias C. Vagenas

Copyright © 2013 Ahmad Adel Abutaleb. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Diverse theories of quantum gravity expect modifications of the Heisenberg's uncertainty principle near the Planck scale to a so-called Generalized uncertainty principle (GUP). It was shown by some authors that the GUP gives rise to corrections to the Schrodinger, Klein-Gordon, and Dirac equations. By solving the GUP corrected equations, the authors arrived at quantization not only of energy but also of box length, area, and volume. In this paper, we extend the above results to the case of curved spacetime (Schwarzschild metric). We showed that we arrived at the quantization of space by solving Dirac equation with GUP in this metric.

1. Introduction

Diverse approaches to quantum gravity expect a minimum measurable length and a modification of the Heisenberg uncertainty principle to a so-called generalized uncertainty principle or GUP. This implies a modification of the commutation relations between position coordinates and momentum. In [1], the following proposed GUP is consistent with Doubly special relativity or DSR theories and black hole physics which ensure that $[x_i, x_j] = 0 = [p_i, p_j]$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 [x_i \cdot p_j] &= i\hbar \left[\delta_{ij} - a \left(p\delta_{ij} + \frac{p_i p_j}{p} \right) + a^2 (p^2 \delta_{ij} + 3p_i p_j) \right], \\
 \Delta x \Delta p &\geq \frac{\hbar}{2} \left[1 - 2a \langle p \rangle + 4a^2 \langle p^2 \rangle \right] \\
 &\geq \frac{\hbar}{2} \left[1 + \left(\frac{a}{\sqrt{\langle p^2 \rangle}} + 4a^2 \right) \Delta p^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + 4a^2 \langle p \rangle^2 - 2a \sqrt{\langle p^2 \rangle} \right], \tag{1}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $a = a_0/M_{\text{pl}}c = a_0 L_{\text{pl}}/\hbar$, M_{pl} = Planck mass, $L_{\text{pl}} \approx 10^{-35}$ m = Planck length, and $M_{\text{pl}}c^2 = \text{Planck energy} \approx 10^{19}$ GeV. GUP-induced terms become important near

the Planck scale (for earlier version of GUP motivated by string theory, black hole physics, and DSR, see [2–14], and for some phenomenological implications, see [1, 15, 16]).

Equation (1) implies the following minimum measurable length and maximum measurable momentum [1, 17]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta x &\geq (\Delta x)_{\text{min}} \approx a_0 L_{\text{pl}}, \\
 \Delta p &\leq (\Delta p)_{\text{max}} \approx \frac{M_{\text{pl}}c}{a_0}. \tag{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

It is natural to take $a_0 = 1$; for more details see [17].

The following definitions are proposed in [1] and used in [1, 17]:

$$x_i = x_{0i}, \quad p_i = p_{0i} (1 - ap_0 + 2a^2 p_0^2) \tag{3}$$

with x_{0i} and p_{0j} satisfying the canonical commutation relations $[x_{0i}, p_{0j}] = i\hbar\delta_{ij}$, such that $p_{0i} = -i\hbar(\partial/\partial x_{0i})$, $p_0^2 = \sum_{j=1}^3 p_{0j} p_{0j}$.

In [1], it was shown that any nonrelativistic Hamiltonian of the form $H = p^2/2m + V(\vec{r})$ can be written as $H = p_0^2/2m - (a/m)p_0^3 + V(\vec{r}) + O(a^2)$ using (3). This corrected Hamiltonian implies not only the usual quantization of energy, but also that the box length is quantized. In [17], the above results were extended to a relativistic particle in two and three dimensions. In this paper we study Dirac equation in Schwarzschild metric using GUP and show that we arrive at the quantization of space.

2. GUP Dirac Equations in Schwarzschild Metric

Dirac equation in Schwarzschild metric without (GUP) can be written as follows [18]:

$$(c(\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p}) + \beta mc^2)\psi = \frac{E}{\sqrt{\zeta}}\psi, \quad (4)$$

where m is the rest mass of the particle, ψ is the Dirac spinor, $\vec{\alpha}$ and β are Dirac matrices, $\vec{p} \equiv (p_r, p_\theta, p_\varphi)$ are momentum operators, $\zeta = 1 - r_s/r$, r_s is the Schwarzschild radius of massive body, related to its mass M by $r_s = 2GM/C^2$, G is the gravitational constant, and c is the speed of light in free space. Using $\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 \\ \chi_2 \end{pmatrix}$, (4) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} c(\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p})\chi_2 + mc^2\chi_1 &= \frac{E}{\sqrt{\zeta}}\chi_1, \\ c(\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p})\chi_1 - mc^2\chi_2 &= \frac{E}{\sqrt{\zeta}}\chi_2. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Now, using GUP correction (3) and (5) take the form

$$\begin{aligned} c(\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p}_0)\chi_2 + mc^2\chi_1 - cap_0^2\chi_1 &= \frac{E}{\sqrt{\zeta}}\chi_1, \\ c(\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p}_0)\chi_1 - mc^2\chi_2 - cap_0^2\chi_2 &= \frac{E}{\sqrt{\zeta}}\chi_2, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} p_0^2 &= -h^2 \left[\frac{\sqrt{\zeta}}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \sqrt{\zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\sin^2 \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi^2} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

We study Dirac equations in (6) in Schwarzschild metric with spherical cavity with radius R defined by the potential

$$\begin{aligned} U(r) &= 0, \quad r \leq R, \\ U(r) &= U_0 \rightarrow \infty, \quad r > R, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

so, we can write the corrected GUP Dirac equations with spherical cavity defined by (8) in Schwarzschild metric as

$$\begin{aligned} c(\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p}_0)\chi_2 + (mc^2 + U)\chi_1 - cap_0^2\chi_1 &= \frac{E}{\sqrt{\zeta}}\chi_1, \\ c(\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p}_0)\chi_1 - (mc^2 + U)\chi_2 - cap_0^2\chi_2 &= \frac{E}{\sqrt{\zeta}}\chi_2. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Notice that, when $a = 0$, $\zeta = 1$, equations in (9) are usual Dirac equations in flat spacetime. When $a \neq 0$, $\zeta = 1$, (9) are Dirac equations with GUP in flat spacetime proposed in [17]. When $a = 0$, $\zeta \neq 1$, equations in (9) are Dirac equations in Schwarzschild metric without GUP defined in [18]. We follow the analysis of [17, 19] and related references [20, 21].

We assume the form of Dirac spinor as

$$\begin{aligned} \psi &= \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 \\ \chi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g_k(r) \gamma_{jl}^{j_3}(\hat{r}) \\ if_k(r) \gamma_{jl}^{j_3}(\hat{r}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (10) \\ \gamma_{jl}^{j_3}(\hat{r}) &= \left(l \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad j_3 \quad -\frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad | \quad j \quad j_3 \right) \\ &\quad \times Y_l^{j_3-(1/2)}(\hat{r}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad + \left(l \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad j_3 \quad +\frac{1}{2} \quad -\frac{1}{2} \quad | \quad j \quad j_3 \right) \\ &\quad \times Y_l^{j_3+(1/2)}(\hat{r}) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where $Y_l^{j_3-(1/2)}(\hat{r})$ and $Y_l^{j_3+(1/2)}(\hat{r})$ are spherical harmonics and $(j_1 \ j_2 \ m_1 \ m_2 \ | \ j \ j_3)$ are Clebsh-Gordon coefficients, χ_1, χ_2 are eigenstates of L^2 (\vec{L} is the angular momentum operator) with eigenvalues $h^2 l(l+1)$ and $h^2 l^-(l^-+1)$, respectively, such that the following hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{if} \\ k &= j + \frac{1}{2} > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{then} \\ l = k = j + \frac{1}{2}, \quad l^- = k - 1 = j - \frac{1}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and if} \\ k = -\left(j + \frac{1}{2}\right) < 0, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{then} \\ l = -(k+1) = j - \frac{1}{2}, \quad l^- = -k = j + \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

We use $(\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{A})(\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{B}) = \vec{A} \cdot \vec{B} + i\vec{\alpha} \cdot (\vec{A} \times \vec{B})$ and the related identity $(\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{r})(\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{r}) = r^2$; so we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p}_0) &= \frac{(\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{r})(\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{r})(\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p}_0)}{r^2} \\ &= \frac{\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{r}}{r^2} (\vec{r} \cdot \vec{p}_0 + i\vec{\alpha} \cdot (\vec{r} \times \vec{p}_0)) \\ &= \frac{\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{r}}{r^2} (\vec{r} \cdot \vec{p}_0 + i\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{L}). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

But from the definition of the momentum operators in Schwarzschild metric [18], we can write (16) as

$$(\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p}_0) = (\vec{\alpha} \cdot \hat{r}) \left(-ih\sqrt{\zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{i}{r} \vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{L} \right). \quad (17)$$

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{L} + 1) \chi_{1,2} &= \mp \chi_{1,2}, \\ (\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{r}) \gamma_{jl}^{j_3}(\vec{r}) &= -\gamma_{jl}^{j_3}(\vec{r}), \quad (\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{r}) \gamma_{jl}^{j_3}(\vec{r}) = -\gamma_{jl}^{j_3}(\vec{r}). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Next, from the definition of p_0^2 in Schwarzschild metric [18] we can write

$$p_0^2 F(r) Y_l^m = h^2 \left[-\frac{\sqrt{\zeta}}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r^2 \sqrt{\zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} \right] F(r) Y_l^m. \quad (19)$$

So, using (17), (18), and (19), we can obtain from (9) the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} -ch\sqrt{\zeta} \frac{df_k}{dr} + \frac{c(k-1)}{r} f_k + (mc^2 + U) g_k \\ + cah^2 \left[\frac{\sqrt{\zeta}}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} \left(\sqrt{\zeta} r^2 \frac{dg_k}{dr} \right) - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} g_k \right] &= \frac{E}{\sqrt{\zeta}} g_k, \\ ch\sqrt{\zeta} \frac{dg_k}{dr} + \frac{c(k+1)}{r} g_k - (mc^2 + U) f_k \\ + cah^2 \left[\frac{\sqrt{\zeta}}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} \left(\sqrt{\zeta} r^2 \frac{df_k}{dr} \right) - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} f_k \right] &= \frac{E}{\sqrt{\zeta}} f_k. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

It can be shown that MIT bag boundary condition (at $r = R$) is equivalent to [19, 20]

$$\tilde{\psi}\psi = 0. \quad (21)$$

As in [17], we can expect new nonperturbative solutions of the forms $f_k = F_K(r)e^{ier/ah}$ and $g_k = G_K(r)e^{ier/ah}$ (where $\epsilon = O(1)$) for which (20) simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} ah \frac{d^2 g_k}{dr^2} &= \sqrt{\zeta} \frac{df_k}{dr}, \\ ah \frac{d^2 f_k}{dr^2} &= -\sqrt{\zeta} \frac{dg_k}{dr}, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where we have dropped terms which are ignorable for small a .

When $\zeta = 1$, equations in (22) are identical to the (60)-(61) in reference [17], and in this case we have the following solutions: $f_k^N = iNe^{ir/ah}$, $g_k^N = Ne^{ir/ah}$, N is constant; so we can assume the solutions of (22) as

$$\begin{aligned} f_k^N &= iNe^{ir/ah}, \\ g_k^N &= NB(r)e^{ir/ah}. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

By applying (23) on (22), we find that

$$a^2 h^2 \frac{d^2 B(r)}{dr^2} + B(r) + \sqrt{\zeta} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{\zeta}} = 0. \quad (24)$$

Consider that r_s is very small, so we can approximate (24) to

$$a^2 h^2 \frac{d^2 B(r)}{dr^2} + B(r) - 1 - \frac{3r_s}{2r} = 0. \quad (25)$$

The solution of (25) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} B(r) &= c_1 \sin\left(\frac{r}{ah}\right) + c_2 \cos\left(\frac{r}{ah}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1.5r_s}{ah} \left[C_i\left(\frac{r}{ah}\right) \sin\left(\frac{r}{ah}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - S_i\left(\frac{r}{ah}\right) \cos\left(\frac{r}{ah}\right) \right] + 1, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where c_1 and c_2 are constants, $S_i(r/ah)$ and $C_i(r/ah)$ are the sine integral function and cosine integral function defined as $S_i(y) = \int_0^y (\sin t/t) dt$ and $C_i(y) = -\int_y^\infty (\cos t/t) dt$. For more details about this functions see [22, 23].

Therefore, the solutions of (22) take the form

$$\begin{aligned} f_k^N &= iNe^{ir/ah}, \\ g_k^N &= N[1 + \sigma(r)] e^{ir/ah}, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(r) &= c_1 \sin\left(\frac{r}{ah}\right) + c_2 \cos\left(\frac{r}{ah}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1.5r_s}{ah} \left[C_i\left(\frac{r}{ah}\right) \sin\left(\frac{r}{ah}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - S_i\left(\frac{r}{ah}\right) \cos\left(\frac{r}{ah}\right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Here, one must have $\lim_{r_s \rightarrow 0} c_1 = \lim_{r_s \rightarrow 0} c_2 = 0$, and, in this case ($\sigma(r) = 0$, $r_s = 0$), the results are the same of [17].

Now, the boundary condition (21) gives

$$|g_k(R) + g_k^N(R)|^2 = |f_k(R) + f_k^N(R)|^2, \quad (29)$$

which to $O(a)$ translates to

$$\begin{aligned} (g_k^2 - f_k^2) + 2N \left[g_k(1 + \sigma(R)) \cos\left(\frac{R}{ah}\right) - f_k \sin\left(\frac{R}{ah}\right) \right] \\ + N^2 \left[(1 + \sigma(R))^2 - 1 \right] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

From (30), we have

$$f_k = g_k, \quad (31)$$

$$\tan\left(\frac{R}{ah}\right) = 1 + \sigma(R), \quad (32)$$

$$\sigma(R) = 0, \quad \text{or} \quad \sigma(R) = -2.$$

Observing (28), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sigma(R)}{\cos(R/ah)} &= c_1 \tan\left(\frac{R}{ah}\right) + c_2 \\ &+ \frac{1.5r_s}{ah} \left[C_i\left(\frac{R}{ah}\right) \tan\left(\frac{R}{ah}\right) - S_i\left(\frac{R}{ah}\right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

From (32) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{if } \sigma(R) = 0 \quad \text{then} \quad \tan\left(\frac{R}{ah}\right) &= 1, \\ \text{if } \sigma(R) = -2 \quad \text{then} \quad \tan\left(\frac{R}{ah}\right) &= -1. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

For the case of $\sigma(R) = 0$ and $r_s = 0$ we have the same result of discreteness of space in flat spacetime [17].

For the second case $\sigma(R) = -2$, we have, from (33),

$$\frac{4ah}{3r_s \cos(R/ah)} + \frac{2Cah}{3r_s} = C_i \left(\frac{R}{ah}\right) + S_i \left(\frac{R}{ah}\right), \quad (35)$$

C is constant.

If we take the constant $C = 0$, then we have

$$\frac{4ah}{3r_s \cos(R/ah)} = C_i \left(\frac{R}{ah}\right) + S_i \left(\frac{R}{ah}\right). \quad (36)$$

Suppose that we choose $r_s = (4/3)ah$ (very small as assumption); then we have

$$\frac{1}{\cos(R/ah)} = C_i \left(\frac{R}{ah}\right) + S_i \left(\frac{R}{ah}\right). \quad (37)$$

Equation (37) has infinite number of solutions; we write some numerical values of it, $(R/ah) = 5, 565$, $(R/ah) = 7.159$, $(R/ah) = 11$, and 755 , $(R/ah) = 13.448$. So, the radius of cavity R has been quantized in terms of ah and we again arrived at the quantization of space in Schwarzschild-like metric.

3. Conclusion

Dirac equations with GUP in Schwarzschild metric have been studied. We showed that the assumption of existence of a minimum measurable length and a corresponding modification of uncertainty principle yields discreteness of space in this metric. But the question now arises, what is the guarantee that this result will continue to hold for more generic curved spacetimes? We expect that this discreteness will always appear provided that generalized uncertainty principle enters into the theory, but in fact we have no mathematical proof of existence of such discreteness of space if we work on the general metric of the general relativity theory.

References

- [1] A. F. Ali, S. Das, and E. C. Vagenas, "Discreteness of space from the generalized uncertainty principle," *Physics Letters B*, vol. 678, no. 5, pp. 497–499, 2009.
- [2] D. Amatti, M. Ciafaloni, and G. Veneziano, "Can spacetime be probed below the string size?" *Physics Letters B*, vol. 216, no. 1-2, pp. 41–47, 1980.
- [3] M. Maggiore, "A generalized uncertainty principle in quantum gravity," *Physics Letters B*, vol. 304, no. 1-2, pp. 65–69, 1993.
- [4] M. Maggiore, "Quantum groups, gravity, and the generalized uncertainty principle," *Physical Review D*, vol. 49, no. 10, pp. 5182–5187, 1994.
- [5] F. Scardigli, "Generalized uncertainty principle in quantum gravity from micro-black hole gedanken experiment," *Physics Letters B*, vol. 452, no. 1-2, pp. 39–44, 1999.
- [6] S. Hossenfelder, M. Bleicher, S. Hofmann, J. Ruppert, S. Scherer, and H. Stoecker, "Signatures in the Planck regime," *Physics Letters B*, vol. 575, no. 1-2, pp. 85–99, 2003.
- [7] A. Kempf, G. Mangano, and R. B. Mann, "Hilbert space representation of the minimal length uncertainty relation," *Physical Review D*, vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 1108–1118, 1995.
- [8] A. Kempf, "Non-pointlike particles in harmonic oscillators," *Journal of Physics A*, vol. 30, no. 6, pp. 2093–2101, 1997.
- [9] F. Brau, "Minimal length uncertainty relation and the hydrogen atom," *Journal of Physics A*, vol. 32, no. 44, pp. 7691–7696, 1999.
- [10] G. A. Camelia, "relativity in spacetimes with short-distance structure governed by an observer-independent (Planckian) length scale," *International Journal of Modern Physics D*, vol. 11, no. 1, p. 35, 2002.
- [11] J. Magueijo and L. Smolin, "Generalized Lorentz invariance with an invariant energy scale," *Physical Review D*, vol. 67, no. 4, Article ID 044017, 12 pages, 2003.
- [12] J. L. Cortés and J. Gamboa, "Quantum uncertainty in doubly special relativity," *Physical Review D*, vol. 71, no. 6, Article ID 065015, 4 pages, 2005.
- [13] A. Ashoorioon and R. B. Mann, "On the tensor/scalar ratio in inflation with UV cutoff," *Nuclear Physics B*, vol. 716, no. 1-2, pp. 261–279, 2005.
- [14] A. Ashoorioon, A. Kempf, and R. B. Mann, "Minimum length cutoff in inflation and uniqueness of the action," *Physical Review D*, vol. 71, no. 2, Article ID 023503, 11 pages, 2005.
- [15] S. Das and E. Vagenas, "Universality of quantum gravity corrections," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 101, no. 22, Article ID 221301, 4 pages, 2008.
- [16] S. Das and E. Vagenas, "Phenomenological implications of the generalized uncertainty principle," *Canadian Journal of Physics*, vol. 87, no. 3, pp. 233–240, 2009.
- [17] S. Das, E. C. Vagenas, and A. F. Ali, "Discreteness of space from GUP II: relativistic wave equations," *Physics Letters B*, vol. 690, no. 4, pp. 407–412, 2010.
- [18] C. C. Barros Jr., "Quantum mechanics in curved space-time," *The European Physical Journal C*, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 119–126, 2005.
- [19] R. K. Bhadri, *Models of the Nucleon, from Quarks to Solitons*, chapter 2, Addison-Wesley, 1988.
- [20] A. W. Thomas, "Chiral symmetry and the BAG model: a new starting point for nuclear physics," in *Advances in Nuclear Physics*, vol. 13, pp. 1–137, 1984.
- [21] A. J. Hey, *Lecture Notes in Physics*, vol. 77, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1978.
- [22] J. Havil, *Gamma: Exploring Eulers Constant*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, USA, 2003.
- [23] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs and Mathematical Tables*, Dover, New York, NY, USA, 1972.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

