

Narcolepsy: Pathophysiology and neuropsychological changes

Angela Naumann and Irene Daum*

Department of Neuropsychology, Institute of Cognitive Neuroscience, Ruhr-University of Bochum, Germany

Abstract. Narcolepsy is now recognized as a distinctive disorder with specific pathophysiology and neurochemical abnormalities. Findings on the role of the neuropeptide hypocretin are opening new avenues of research and new strategies for therapy. Recently, neuropsychological and electrophysiological studies have provided evidence for reduced memory performance on standard memory tests in addition to subjective complaints of forgetfulness which may be related to changes in attentional processing. Further studies are, however, necessary to clarify the neuropsychological profile in narcolepsy. This review focuses on the recent advances in understanding narcolepsy.

1. Historical overview

Early descriptions of unusual excessive sleepiness appeared as early as 1829 and 1836 in publications by Schindler and Bright [35,72]. However, the first clear case of the disorder that was later called narcolepsy was described in 1877 by Westphal in his article “Eigenthümliche mit Einschlafen verbundene Anfälle” (peculiar attacks associated with falling asleep). The patient reported sudden myasthenia, sleep attacks arising without loss of consciousness and disrupted sleep patterns during the night [134]. A few years later in 1880, Gelineau [39] introduced the term narcolepsy (Grecian $\alpha\pi\kappa\eta$: “convulsion” and $\lambda\epsilon\pi\sigma\iota\sigma$: “receive”) to define pathological conditions characterized by unexpected short sleep episodes as well as spontaneous muscle tone loss which occurred in conjunction with emotional events. Later Hennenberg [51] introduced the term cataplexy for the description of sudden loss of muscle tone, and Redlich [108] used the term “afektiver Tonusverlust” (affective loss of tone) to describe the same symptom. Hypnagogic hallucinations and sleep paralysis were assigned to the narcoleptic

syndrome in the 1920ies [1,73,135]. Together with excessive sleepiness and cataplexy, these symptoms constituted the *narcoleptic tetrad* [136]. During the first international conference on narcolepsy, disrupted night sleep was added as the fifth characteristic symptom [46].

Of special importance was the discovery of sleep onset REM Periods (SOREM) in narcoleptic patients in 1960 [133]. This finding led to the suggestion that cataplexy, hypnagogic hallucinations as well as sleep paralysis might be due to changes in REM sleep mechanisms [107,126]. The discovery of narcolepsy in dogs and its genetic basis in the 1970s [33,67] and the observation of the role of the hypocretin system [75,94] are regarded as the beginning of a new era of narcolepsy research.

2. Clinical symptoms and diagnostic criteria

Narcolepsy can be clearly distinguished from disorders that are also characterized by excessive sleepiness and nocturnal sleep disturbances, such as sleep apnoea or restless leg syndrome by criteria set by the International Classification for Sleep Disorders [62]. Despite recent criticism, the diagnosis of narcolepsy based on the occurrence of excessive sleepiness and cataplectic attacks alone is still accepted [43,89,112]. Although sleepiness can be assessed with subjective

* Address for correspondence: Irene Daum, Department of Neuropsychology, Institute of Cognitive Neuroscience, Ruhr-University of Bochum, 44780 Bochum, Germany. E-mail: irene.daum@ruhr-uni-bochum.de.

scales (e.g. Stanford Sleepiness Scale, 55) or electrophysiological recordings, the Multiple Sleep Latency Test (MSLT) is the most useful assessment technique [18]. Polysomnography recordings are carried out at two-hour intervals throughout the day, and a mean sleep latency of less than five minutes and at least two REM periods sleep onset of sleep are considered as pathological, while latencies between five and ten minutes require further assessment [89]. An alternative to the MSLT is the “Maintenance of Wakefulness Test (MWT)”, in which the patient sits in a darkened room and is to stay awake [50].

2.1. Excessive sleepiness

The most important symptom of narcolepsy is excessive sleepiness as indicated by two or more brief sleep attacks during daytime [48]. These short sleep episodes manifest themselves unintentionally and under the most unusual conditions such as reading, working, eating and even when driving. The episodes can last for a few seconds up to 30 minutes [37], and rarely exceed more than one hour [58]. The fatigue level fluctuates over the day, but follows a stereotyped, individual pattern in most cases. In addition, automatic behaviours may occur in 8 to 40% of patients, with simple repetitive activities and meaningless speech and later amnesia for the episode [25,37,114]. Behaviours such as continuing to walk during an attack, writing off the edge of a page or brief lapses in speech are common examples.

2.2. Cataplexy

Another frequent symptom – the cataplectic attack – is defined as a sudden reduction or loss of skeletal muscle tone during full consciousness [46,58]. Severe attacks can cause complete paralysis except for the eye and respiratory muscles. More commonly, the attacks affect individual body regions. Most attacks are triggered by excitement or strong emotions like laughter, surprise, anger or fear. The frequency of the attacks ranges from more than 10 attacks a day to less than one a month [38]. Brief attacks are common and most last only a few minutes [46,58]. Longer durations usually represent several consecutive attacks or REM sleep onset.

2.3. Sleep paralysis and hallucinations

Sleep paralysis is characterized by a complete inability to move when falling asleep (hypnagogic) or waking up (hypnopompic). The patients are subjectively fully awake, but incapable of movement. Sleep paralysis resembles cataplectic attacks, but lasts longer (up to 10 min), occurs less frequently and does not usually involve emotional triggers. In more than half of the patients, it is accompanied by vigorous hallucinations [10,58,97,137], which may also occur independently. Hallucinations are usually visual, less frequently in the auditory or tactile domains, and olfactory or gustatory hallucinations are exceptional. Approximately 20–30% of all narcoleptic patients experience all four symptoms of the narcoleptic tetrad [136].

2.4. Fragmented nocturnal sleep

Nocturnal waking periods, which are found in 60–80% of all narcoleptic patients, are usually of short duration and very rarely last several hours [10,25]. Despite fragmented night sleep, the total sleep time seems to be normal in narcolepsy. There is also no evidence for altered non-REM sleep (NREM) [125], and the frequencies of nocturnal waking and daytime sleep attacks appear to be unrelated [17,69].

2.5. Other symptoms

In addition to the typical symptoms described above, narcoleptics frequently experience vivid and frightening dreams, increased body movements and periodic leg movements in NREM and REM sleep [10,25]. Many patients are overweight, with body mass index (BMI) scores 10–20% over control scores [25,68,118], which has been attributed to increased inactivity due to permanent tiredness [80] and abnormal metabolic rates [68,118]. Sensory and cognitive problems, such as double vision, deafness, headache, and concentration and memory deficits have also been reported, as well as depression, panic attacks and social problems [9,37].

3. Epidemiology

The prevalence of narcolepsy ranges from 20 to 60 cases per 100,000 [6,28,61,84,114]. The highest rate is found in Japan [57,84], the lowest in Israel [71]. The large range may be related to differences in diagnostic criteria. Women and men are affected equally. The

first symptoms manifest themselves between 15 and 35 years of age in over 80% of cases [19,25,98,137]. Life expectancy is normal. The symptoms appear gradually, with excessive sleepiness and spontaneous sleep attacks as typical initial symptoms. While partial remissions have been observed, complete remissions have not been reported.

4. Pathology

The clinical features of narcolepsy have been described in terms of a “loss of state boundary control” [16]. This is characterised by an inability to maintain a given sleep/awake status over a longer time period and the fact that phenomena that normally occur in conjunction with sleep manifest themselves outside of their usual context. Based on these assumptions, several explanations for the pathophysiology of narcolepsy have been proposed.

4.1. Pathological characteristics of narcoleptic sleep

While REM (rapid eye movement) sleep is normally first observed after approximately ninety minutes, REM at sleep onset or within 10 minutes thereafter (SOREM) is the most characteristic feature of narcolepsy [8,29,133]. A similar pattern is found during brief daytime sleep attacks, in which REM sleep characteristics also occur at the beginning [54,99]. This finding led to the hypothesis that narcolepsy is caused by an imbalance of the physiological processes that control transitions between waking and sleep states [6,16]. Dissociated manifestations of REM sleep that intrude into wakefulness could be an explanation for sleep paralysis and cataplexy [29,45,54,107,133]. Sleep paralysis only occurs during periods of SOREM, and REM sleep often follows long attacks of cataplexy. Additionally, atonia observed during cataplectic attacks and sleep paralysis appears to be physiologically similar to atonia that usually accompanies normal REM sleep [54]. The fact that hypnagogic hallucinations occur only during periods of SOREM and their similarity to normal REM dream experiences also imply modified REM sleep mechanisms [74]. In summary, the pathophysiological characteristics indicate that narcoleptic symptoms are probably due to changes of physiological sleep control systems [120].

4.2. Neurotransmitter dysfunction in narcolepsy

Several lines of evidence relate narcolepsy to dysfunction of cholinergic and monoaminergic neurotransmitter mechanisms that are critically involved in the regulation of normal sleep. Drugs which enhance the synaptic availability of noradrenalin inhibit cataplexy in dogs, whereas exacerbation of cataplexy was observed after administration of prazosin, a selective alpha-1 adrenergic receptor blocker [5,70,81]. Clomipramine, a serotonergic uptake inhibitor, reduced the number of cataplectic attacks which was interpreted in terms of a primary serotonergic dysfunction or a secondary mediation via the noradrenergic system [70]. Altered alpha-1 and alpha-2 receptor binding in the amygdala, globus pallidus, putamen and nucleus caudatus, as well as increased rates of specific monoaminergic metabolites are also in line with altered monoaminergic functioning in narcolepsy [4,66].

The cholinergic and the dopaminergic systems have also been implicated in narcolepsy symptoms. Activation of the cholinergic system via M2 stimulation increases cataplexy, and M2 as well as D2/D3 receptor abnormalities in the pons have been documented in animal models [12,56,65,78,91–93,95,109]. The CSF concentration of dopamine and its metabolites was found to be decreased in narcoleptic patients [30,88]; the results for D1- and D2-receptor binding in the basal ganglia and the amygdala have been inconsistent [7,60,64,66,110].

5. Genetic factors

Estimates of the incidence of narcolepsy among first degree relatives range from 2 to 50% [11,44,98]. The influence of genetic factors on narcolepsy onset is widely acknowledged, but the mechanisms are still largely unknown. The concordance rates for monozygotic twins are 25 to 31% [84] which indicates a complex etiology, including genetic factors affecting the expression of narcolepsy and environmental factors.

In support of a genetic basis of narcolepsy, recent studies reported that specific subtypes of human leucocyte antigen, HLA DQB1*0606 and HLA-DR2, are found in nearly 100% of all narcoleptics [58,84]. The HLA complex covers six genes located on chromosome six. Since the HLA subtypes in question are present in 20 to 30% of the population and since these rates far exceed the prevalence of narcolepsy, they do not repre-

sent a primary cause, but may affect the expression of other factors.

Although most diseases associated with specific HLA alleles are autoimmune illnesses, there is so far no clear evidence of autoimmune reactions in narcolepsy [34,53]. It has been suggested, however, that an autoimmune reaction may lead to a transient limited neuronal cell loss, which is in line with recent findings on the hypocretin system [83].

5.1. The hypocretin system

There are two hypocretin neuropeptides, hypocretin 1 (hcrt-1 or orexin-A) and hypocretin 2 (hcrt-2 or orexin-B), which are generated from a single precursor (prehypocretin) and synthesized by a small number of neurons in the lateral hypothalamus [100,127]. Hypocretin-positive fibers and terminals and the two hypocretin receptors (hcrt-1 and hcrt-2) are widely distributed in the central nervous system, including the basal forebrain, the amygdala, the locus coeruleus, and the raphe nuclei as well as the hypothalamus and the thalamus [22,26,100,128]. Because of their amino acid structure and their localization, the hypocretin peptides are regarded as neurotransmitters [131].

Investigations in dogs, which suffer from sporadic autosomal recessive narcolepsy provided evidence of a hcrt-2 mutation [75]. Three different hcrt-2 mutations have been described to date, all three being associated with a complete loss of function, while hcrt-1 mechanisms were intact [111]. Prehypocretin knockout in mice produced a narcolepsy-like phenotype [21].

Surprisingly, except for one case, there is no evidence of hcrt-1, hcrt-2, hcrt-1 or hcrt-2 mutations in humans [101]. However, Nishino and colleagues observed low hcrt-1 levels in the CSF in seven of nine narcoleptic patients, and post-mortem studies indicated a severe reduction of hypocretin-containing neurons in the hypothalamus of narcoleptic patients [94,101,127]. The selective destruction of hypocretin-producing cells may be the result of postnatal degeneration, altered neural development or reduced synthesis and/or release of hypocretin peptides, the hypothesis of postnatal degeneration being currently favoured [127]. Given the close association between HLA subtypes and narcolepsy, it is conceivable that the specific cell loss could be due to an autoimmune reaction [58,82].

The hypocretin system is known to play a role in the regulation of food intake and energy balance [42,76], its function in normal sleep regulation is, however, still largely unclear. Hcrt-1 induced an increase in

general arousal level, in combination with stereotypic motor activity and enhanced firing rates in the locus coeruleus [47,102]. Injection of hcrt-1 into the locus coeruleus led to a dose-dependent suppression of REM sleep [13], after hcrt-1 injection in the lateral preoptic area of rats [79]. As to the mechanisms leading to narcolepsy symptoms, it is likely that dysfunction in the hypocretin system may affect cholinergic and monoaminergic activity during sleep cycles [85].

6. Cognitive changes in narcolepsy

6.1. Memory

Sleep state and level of sleepiness are considered to have profound effects on the ability to form new memories and retrieve old memories. Memory consists of several processes: a stimulus registration or acquisition phase of short duration, a consolidation phase from short-term to long-term memory, and retrieval from long-term memory. Scientists have also postulated a number of different memory systems which elaborate long-term memory. Findings of sleep deprivation studies (SD), which investigate the performance of healthy persons when their normal sleep pattern is manipulated, are sometimes used to understand cognitive profiles associated with sleep disorders. However, the memory and sleep literature, while extensive, is complex and somewhat contradictory [77,121,123,132]. For example Harrison and Horne [49] observed that SD significantly impaired performances in short and novel language tasks having a prefrontal focus. Sleep loss appeared to interfere with novel responses and the ability to suppress routine answers. Quigley et al. [106] measured psychomotor and memory functions in eight sleep-deprived healthy volunteers and eight controls, but memory function was not affected. Therefore further investigations are necessary to clarify whether or not increased sleepiness due to sleep deprivation or sleep restriction is differentially disruptive of particular memory processes.

Early reports of cognitive impairment in narcolepsy were mainly based on self-report complaints of individual patients or anecdotal clinical observations. Independent of the degree of sleepiness, sufferers showed problems in following conversations over a longer period of time, omitted words while speaking and complained of forgetfulness [37]. In a study of 46 narcoleptic patients, 47.8% stated that their memory had deteriorated since the onset of symptoms which mainly

affected memory for recent information [15]. Comparable problems were only reported by 4.7% of matched controls in the appropriate period of life. These findings were replicated by Broughton et al. [14] in 1981. In a survey of 180 narcolepsy patients from Asia, Europe and North America, 84 patients (46.7%) reported memory impairments, in particular for recently experienced events. In a more recent study Smith et al. [122] observed that 38% of 700 interviewed narcoleptics reported moderate or severe memory problems, 39% had problems with forgetfulness, 40% with concentration and 26% with general learning processes.

Based on these self reports several studies have attempted an objective evaluation of the subjective experiences of narcoleptic patients of diminished memory function. Aguirre, Broughton and Stuss [2] compared the performance of narcoleptics and matched controls on a range of short- and long-term memory tasks, including verbal and non-verbal as well as acoustic and visual procedures. Despite patients' subjective complaints, no significant group differences were found and the authors attributed the subjective complaints to the patients' problems in sustaining alertness during normal everyday activities. These results were confirmed by Rosenberg and Rogers [113], who reported intact performance of narcoleptics on immediate and delayed recall as well as verbal and visual memory. In addition, Smith et al. [122] examined narcoleptic patients regarding global cognitive functions using a range of neuropsychological tests. Although narcoleptic patients had higher self-rated memory problems, these self-perceived difficulties were not reflected in their performance differences on memory tasks. In contrast, Henry, Satz and Heilbronner [52] observed selective cognitive deficits in narcolepsy in response latency, word recall, and estimation of frequency, while there was no distinction in motor speed, vigilance, information processing speed or decision-making accuracy. The impaired performance was attributed to a perceptual-encoding deficit. Such a deficit may diminish the efficiency with which targets are attended to and processed and could underlie problems in memory and complex reaction time associated with narcolepsy. Neither Aguirre, Broughton and Stuss [2] nor Henry, Satz and Heilbronner [52] could detect an influence of antinarcoleptic treatment on cognitive performance, including methylphenidate for sleepiness and sleep attacks as well as antidepressants to suppress cataplexy and other REM-sleep-related symptoms. Although implicit memory aspects have not yet been studied directly, Pollak et al. [103] observed a lack of practice

effects across sessions on cognitive and motor tasks (e.g. serial search and verbal reasoning) in narcoleptics, suggesting a potential problem in skill acquisition.

Based on these findings, many neuropsychologists suggested that narcolepsy is not associated with a primary memory impairment and proposed that functional changes in other areas (i.e. attention and concentration) may underlie subjective complaints. Moreover Hood and Bruck [59] argued that an underlying effect of depression generated by narcolepsy may also account in part for the perceived memory difficulties. Factors of increased global anxiety [124], reduced global self-efficacy and more pervasive negative psychosocial functioning in narcolepsy, including diminished educational opportunities [63], had to be considered as potential explanations of diminished self-efficacy in cognitive domains. However, the small number of correlational analyses between psychosocial adjustment to narcolepsy and subjective estimations of cognitive dysfunction do not yet allow a clear conclusion.

6.2. Attention

Today it is generally accepted that attention is not a unitary construct but has several components, including alertness, vigilance, selective and divided attention [104,105]. Especially reduced vigilance— an inability to sustain full alertness during normal activity— is frequently cited as a deficit associated with narcolepsy. Valley and Broughton [130] found that although instructed to stay awake and perform a 1-hour Wilkinson auditory vigilance task, narcoleptic patients performed significantly worse and were significantly less wakeful than controls. Schulz and Wilde-Frenzen [119] measured critical flicker fusion (CFF) at 15-min intervals for 10 hours to assess fluctuations in vigilance and circadian variations in performance. The narcoleptic patients showed increased CFF variability throughout the day, which was present from the beginning of the session. This finding was interpreted as a “waxing and waning” state of alertness. Along similar lines, narcoleptics showed difficulty in maintaining constant vigilance levels during computer-based driving simulation tests that was unrelated to level of tiredness [31,32,40]. In addition, narcoleptic patients experienced more difficulty in maintaining attention than control subjects, as evidenced by significantly more perseveration errors on Strub and Black's List of Letters [113]. Taken together, if tests are grouped retrospectively into those which showed performance deficits and those which did not, a rather consistent

pattern appears. Tests that show deficits in narcolepsy tend to be those that are relatively long and repetitious, including for example a 60-min auditory vigilance test, a 10-min choice reaction time task, or a 20-min digit symbol substitution test (DSST) [41,86,129]. In contrast, on shorter, more challenging attention tasks, such as the Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test (PASAT) or Digit Span test, the performance of narcoleptics was generally unimpaired [113,129], although deficits on a brief visual search task have been reported [103]. The accuracy in this task was slightly lower in narcoleptic patients compared to matched controls, which was explained in part by the possibility of micro-sleeps in narcoleptics, defined as sleep episodes of less than 15 seconds.

Overall the published findings strongly suggest that narcoleptic patients suffer from attention deficits, in particular if attention has to be maintained over longer periods of time. Altered sleep regulation mechanisms may possibly induce continuous fluctuations of vigilance in narcolepsy, which in turn allow only sporadic periods of sustained attention and may interfere with cognitive processes as concentration, learning and memory. Further investigations are, however, necessary to understand the mechanisms of attentional control in narcolepsy in more detail.

6.3. Executive function

Executive function has been associated with dissociable mental operations including planning ahead and problem solving, shifting between actions easily, initiating goal-directed behaviour, and regulating attention in order to complete tasks. Deficits in executive control have been classically associated with acquired damage to the prefrontal cortex, but recent findings show that executive dysfunctions should not be assumed to reflect damage specifically to the frontal lobes, as such deficits may also arise from damage to interconnected cortical and subcortical brain structures or from more diffuse brain damage [20,23,36]. Although the study of executive functioning is a relatively new and rapidly growing field of research, the data concerning executive processes in narcolepsy are sparse. Early findings were published by Valley and Broughton in 1981 [129]. They examined narcoleptic patients in a classical working memory task (Digit Span Backwards) and observed no significant performance difference compared to healthy controls. This finding was replicated by Aguirre, Broughton and Stuss [2] as well as Rogers and Rosenberg [113]. In addition,

comparisons between narcoleptics and controls were nonsignificant on a verbal fluency test, a complex verbal reasoning task and a working memory task, which examined coding and representation of information in relation to time and place of occurrence [2,52,103]. In contrast, narcoleptics displayed selective cognitive deficits using Sternberg's STM Scanning Task, which is a short-term as well as working memory task [52]. However, because of the small number of studies, the limited data situation, and small sample sizes, as well as the different methodological procedures the evaluation of executive functions in narcolepsy remains difficult. Therefore more studies concerning the functionality of executive processes in narcolepsy would be useful.

6.4. Information processing as indicated by event-related potentials

Although the analysis of event-related potentials (ERPs) has become a useful assessment tool in the neurosciences, electrophysiological studies with narcoleptic patients are rare. In a study by Ollo et al. [96], ERPs were recorded during an "easy" and a "difficult" auditory oddball task. Narcoleptics showed reduced P300 amplitudes when active attention was required, but not during distraction or ignore-conditions, indicating dysfunctional attentional mechanisms. Since sleepiness which as such can lead to an amplitude reduction [24] was not assessed, the potential confounding effect of tiredness cannot be ruled out. This issue is of relevance, since reduced P300 amplitudes, together with normal contingent negative variations (CNV) were observed in conjunction with high scores on the Stanford Sleepiness Scale [3]. Co-existing sleep apnoea which was present in 7 out of 12 patients of the Aguirre and Broughton [3] study, also has to be considered as a potential confounding variable [27,87].

Although P300 latencies were found to be normal in earlier studies [3,96,116], recent investigations using larger samples of narcoleptic patients reported prolonged P300 latencies to visual as well as auditory stimuli, while amplitudes were unimpaired. Changes in P300 latency were unrelated to sleepiness [115,117]. A study by Naumann et al. [90] which focussed on P300 and mismatch negativity (MMN) as indicators of attentional processing revealed increased P300 amplitudes in narcoleptics compared to controls, in particular at frontal recording sites. While control subjects exhibited the typical pattern of larger MMN over the anterior right compared to the left hemisphere, narcoleptics did not show this asymmetry. This pattern was interpreted

in terms of changes in cognitive preattentive and attentive processing, possibly in association with altered functioning of the prefrontal cortex in narcolepsy.

7. Conclusions

Recently, major advances have been made with respect to knowledge about the pathophysiological basis of narcolepsy. Findings on the dysfunction of several neurotransmitters and the hypocretin system in particular have offered new insights into the mechanisms underlying deficient control of sleep-waking-processes. The issue of the presence and severity of cognitive dysfunction in narcolepsy and its potential basis, is, however still controversial. Subjective complaints of forgetfulness have not been confirmed by studies using standardised memory tests. There is, however, some neuropsychological and electrophysiological evidence of high levels of fluctuations in vigilance and attention. To further elucidate the nature and pattern of cognitive problems in narcolepsy, investigations in larger samples which consider and control for confounding variables such as level of tiredness at testing, medication and co-existing sleep disorders are clearly needed.

References

- [1] W.J. Adie, Ideopathic narcolepsy: a disease sui generis, with remarks on the mechanisms of sleep, *Brain* **49** (1926), 257–306.
- [2] M. Aguirre, R. Broughton and D. Stuss, Does memory impairment exist in narcolepsy-cataplexy, *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology* **7** (1985), 14–24.
- [3] M. Aguirre and R. Broughton, Complex event-related potentials (P300, CNV) and MSLT in the assessment of excessive daytime sleepiness in narcolepsy-cataplexy, *Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology* **67** (1987), 298–316.
- [4] M. Aldrich, G. Prokopowicz, K. Ockert, Z. Hollingsworth, J.B. Penney and R.L. Albin, Neurochemical studies of human narcolepsy: Alpha-adrenergic receptor autoradiography of human narcoleptic brain and brainstem, *Sleep* **17** (1994), 598–608.
- [5] M. Aldrich and A.E. Rogers, Exacerbation of human cataplexy by Prazosin, *Sleep* **12** (1989), 254–256.
- [6] M. Aldrich, Narcolepsy, *Neurology* **42** (1992), 34–43.
- [7] M. Aldrich, Z. Hollingsworth and J.B. Penney, Dopamine-receptor autoradiography of human narcoleptic brain, *Neurology* **42** (1992), 410–415.
- [8] S. Ancoli-Israel, *Schlaf und Schlafstörungen* (1997), Ullstein.
- [9] T.L. Baker, C.D. Guilleminault, G. Nino-Murcia and W.C. Dement, Comparative polysomnographic study of narcolepsy and idiopathic central nervous system hypersomnia, *Sleep* **9** (1986), 232–242.
- [10] M. Billiard, A. Besset and J. Cadilhac, Sleep/wake disorders: natural history, epidemiology, and long-term evolution, The clinical and polygraphic development of narcolepsy, in: *Raven Press*, C. Guilleminault and E. Lugaresi, eds, New York, 1983, pp. 171–185.
- [11] M. Billiard, V. Pasquie-Magnetto, M. Heckman, B. Carlander, A. Besset, Z. Zacharies, J.F. Eliaou and A. Malafosse, Family studies in narcolepsy, *Sleep* **17** (1994), S54–59.
- [12] R.E. Boehme, T.L. Baker, I.N. Mefford, J.D. Barchas, W.C. Dement and R.D. Ciaranello, Narcolepsy: cholinergic receptor changes in an animal model, *Life Sciences* **34** (1984), 1825–2828.
- [13] P. Bourgin, S. Huitron-Resendiz, A.D. Spier, V. Fabre, B. Monte, J.R. Criado, J.G. Sutcliffe, S.J. Henriksen and L. de Lecea, Hypocretin-1 modulates rapid eye movement sleep through activation of locus coeruleus neurons, *The International Journal of Neuroscience* **20** (2000), 7760–7765.
- [14] R. Broughton, Q. Ghanam, Y. Hishikawa, Y. Sugita, S. Nevsimalova and B. Roth, Life effects of narcolepsy in 180 patients from North America, Asia and Europe compared to matched controls, *Canadian Journal of Neurological Sciences* **8** (1981), 199–204.
- [15] R. Broughton and Q. Ghanem, The impact of compound narcolepsy on the life of the patient, in: *Narcolepsy: advances in sleep research*, C. Guilleminault, W.C. Dement, P. Passouant, eds, New York: Spectrum Publications, 1976, pp. 201–220.
- [16] R. Broughton, V. Valley, M. Aguirre, J. Roberts, W. Suwaliski and W. Dunham, Excessive daytime sleepiness and the pathophysiology of narcolepsy-cataplexy: A laboratory perspective, *Sleep* **9** (1986), 205–215.
- [17] R.J. Broughton, W. Dunham, M. Weisskopf and M. Rivers, Night sleep does not predict day sleep in narcolepsy, *Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology* **91** (1994), 67–70.
- [18] M.A. Carskadon and W.C. Dement, Sleepiness and sleep state on a 90-min schedule, *Psychophysiology* **14** (1977), 127–133.
- [19] M.J. Challamel, M.E. Mazzola, S. Nevsimalova, C. Canard, J. Luis and M. Revol, Narcolepsy in children, *Sleep* **17** (1994), 17–20.
- [20] C. Chayer and M. Freedman, Frontal lobe functions, *Current Neurology and Neuroscience Report* **1** (2001), 547–552.
- [21] R.M. Chemelli, J.T. Willie, C. M. Sinton, J.K. Elmquist, T. Scammell, C. Lee, J.A. Richardson, S.C. Williams, Y. Xiong, Y. Kisanuki, T.E. Fitch, M. Nakazato, R.E. Hammer, C.B. Saper and M. Yanagisawa, Narcolepsy in orexin knockout mice: molecular genetics of sleep regulation, *Cell* **98** (1999), 437–451.
- [22] C.T. Chen, S.L. Dun, E.H. Kwok, N.J. Dun and J.K. Chang, Orexin A-like immunoreactivity in the rat brain, *Neuroscience Letters* **260** (1999), 161–164.
- [23] F. Collette and M. Van der Linden, Brain imaging of the central executive component of working memory, *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews* **26** (2002), 105–125.
- [24] M. Corsi-Cabrera, C. Acre, I.Y. Del Rio-Portilla, E. Perez-Garci and M.A. Guevara, Amplitude reduction in visual event-related potentials as a function of sleep deprivation, *Sleep* **22** (1999), 181–189.
- [25] L.E. Daniels, Narcolepsy, *Medicine* **13** (1934), 1–22.
- [26] Y. Date, Y. Ueta, H. Yamashita, H. Yamaguchi, S. Matsukura, K. Kangawa, T. Sakurai, M. Yanagisawa and M. Nakazato, Orexins, orexigenic hypothalamic peptides, interact with autonomic, neuroendocrine and neuroregulatory systems, *Pro-*

- ceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA **96** (1999), 748–753.
- [27] A. Decary, I. Rouleau and J. Montplaisir, Cognitive deficits associated with sleep apnea syndrome: a proposed neuropsychological test battery, *Sleep* **23** (2000), 369–381.
- [28] W.C. Dement, M. Carskadon and R. Ley, The prevalence of narcolepsy, *Sleep Research* **2** (1973), 147.
- [29] W.C. Dement, A. Rechtschaffen and G. Gulevich, The nature of the narcoleptic sleep attack, *Neurology* **16** (1966), 18–33.
- [30] K.F. Faull, C.D. Guilleminault, P.A. Berger and J.D. Barches, Cerebrospinal fluid monoamine metabolites in narcolepsy and hypersomnia, *Annals of Neurology* **13** (1983), 258–263.
- [31] L.J. Findley, M. Unverzagt, R. Guechu, M. Fabrizio, J. Buckner and P. Suratt, Vigilance and automobile accidents in patients with sleep apnea or narcolepsy, *Chest* **108**(3) (1995), 619–624.
- [32] L.J. Findley, P.M. Swatt and D.F. Dinges, Time-on-task decrements in steer clear performance of patients with sleep apnea and narcolepsy, *Sleep* **22** (1999), 804–809.
- [33] A.S. Foutz, M.M. Mitler, L.L. Cavalli-Sforza and W.C. Dement, Genetic factors in canine narcolepsy, *Sleep* **1** (1979), 413–421.
- [34] S. Fredrikson, B. Carlander, M. Billiard and H. Link, CSF immune variables in patients with narcolepsy, *Acta neurologica Scandinavica* **81** (1990), 253–254.
- [35] T. Furukawa, Heinrich Bruno Schindlers description of narcolepsy in 1829, *Neurology* **37** (1987), 146.
- [36] J.M. Fuster, Executive frontal functions, *Experimental Brain Research* **133** (2000), 66–70.
- [37] W. Ganado, The narcolepsy syndrome, *Neurology* **8** (1958), 487–496.
- [38] M. Gelb, C. Guilleminault, H. Kraemer, S. Lin, S. Moon, W.C. Dement and E. Mignot, Stability of cataplexy over several months- information for the design of therapeutic trials, *Sleep* **17** (1994), 265–273.
- [39] J.B.E. Gelineau, De la narcolepsie, *Gazette des hôpitaux* **53** (1880), 626–628.
- [40] C.F. George, A.C. Bourdreau and A. Smiley, Comparison of simulated driving performance in narcolepsy and sleep apnea patients, *Sleep* **19** (1996), 711–717.
- [41] R. Godbout and J. Montplaisir, All-day performance variations in normal and narcoleptic subjects, *Sleep* **9** (1986), 200–204.
- [42] B. Griffond, P.Y. Risold, C. Jacquemard, C. Colard and D. Fellmann, Insulin-induced hypoglycemia increases preprohypocretin (orexin) mRNA in the rat lateral hypothalamic area, *Neuroscience Letters* **262** (1999), 77–80.
- [43] C. Guilleminault, E. Mignot and M. Partinen, Controversies in the diagnosis of narcolepsy, *Sleep* **17** (1994), 1–6.
- [44] C.D. Guilleminault, E. Mignot and F.C. Grumet, Familial patterns of narcolepsy, *Lancet* **2** (1989), 1376–1379.
- [45] C.D. Guilleminault, R.A. Wilson and W.C. Dement, A study on cataplexy, *Archives of Neurology* **31** (1974), 255–261.
- [46] C.D. Guilleminault, Cataplexy, in: *Proceedings of the First International Symposium on Narcolepsy*, C. Guilleminault, W.C. Dement, P. Passouant, eds, Spektrum Publications 1976, pp. 125–144.
- [47] J.J. Hagan, R.A. Leslie, S. Patel, M.L. Evans, T.A. Watham, S. Holmes, C.D. Benham, S.G. Taylor, C. Routledge, P. Hemmati, R.P. Munton, T.E. Ashmeade, A.S. Shah, J.P. Hatcher, P.D. Hatcher, D.N. Jones, M.I. Smith, D.C. Piper, A.J. Hunter, R.A. Porter and N. Upton, Orexin A activates locus coeruleus cell firing and increases arousal in the rat, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* **96** (1999), 10911–10916.
- [48] S. Harada, K. Matsuki and Y. Honda, Disorders of excessive daytime sleepiness without cataplexy, and their relationship with HLA in Japan, in: *HLA in Narcolepsy*, Y. Honda, T. Juji, eds, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1988, pp. 172–185.
- [49] Y. Harrison and J.A. Horne, Sleep loss impairs short and novel language tasks having a prefrontal focus, *Journal of Sleep Research* **7** (1998), 95–100.
- [50] K.M. Hartse, T. Roth and F.J. Zorick, Daytime sleepiness and daytime wakefulness: the effect of instruction, *Sleep* **5** (1982), S107–118.
- [51] R. Henneberg, Über genuine Narkolepsie, *Neurologische Centralbibliothek* **35** (1916), 282–290.
- [52] G.K. Henry, P. Satz and R.L. Heilbronner, Evidence of perceptual encoding deficit in narcolepsy, *Sleep* **16** (1993), 123–127.
- [53] D. Hinze-Selch, T.C. Wetter, Y. Zhang, H.L. Lu, E.D. Albert, J. Mullington, H. Wekerle, F. Holsboer and T. Pollmacher, In vivo and in vitro immune variables in patients with narcolepsy and HLA-DR2 matched control subjects, *Neurology* **50** (1998), 1149–1152.
- [54] Y. Hishikawa and Z. Kaneko, Electroencephalographic study on narcolepsy, *Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology* **18** (1965), 249–258.
- [55] E. Hoddes, V. Zarcone, H. Smythe, R. Phillips and W.C. Dement, Quantification of sleepiness: a new approach, *Psychophysiology* **10** (1973), 431–436.
- [56] K. Honda, J. Riehl, E. Mignot and S. Nishino, Dopamine D3 agonists into the substantia nigra aggravate cataplexy but do not modify, *Sleep* **10** (1999), 3111–3118.
- [57] Y. Honda, Census of narcolepsy, cataplexy and sleep life among teenagers in Fujisawa city, *Sleep Research* **8** (1979), 191.
- [58] Y. Honda, HLA in narcolepsy, in: *Clinical features of narcolepsy: Japanese experiences*, Y. Honda and T. Juji, eds, Berlin: Springer Verlag, 1988, pp. 24–57.
- [59] B. Hood and D. Bruck, Metameory in narcolepsy, *Journal of Sleep Research* **6** (1997), 205–210.
- [60] C. Hublin, M. Partinen, E.H. Heinonen, P. Puukka and T. Salmi, Selegiline in the treatment of narcolepsy, *Neurology* **44** (1994), 2095–2101.
- [61] C. Hublin, M. Partinen, J. Kaprio, M. Koskenvuo and C. Guilleminault, Epidemiology of narcolepsy, *Sleep* **17** (1994), 7–12.
- [62] International classification of sleep disorders, Diagnostic and coding manual, revised, Rochester, MN: American Sleep Disorders, 1997.
- [63] A. Kales, C.R. Soldatos, E.O. Bixler, A. Caldwell, R.J. Cadieux, J.M. Verrechio and J.D. Kales, Narcolepsy-cataplexy, II. Psychosocial consequences and associated psychopathology, *Archives of Neurology* **39** (1982), 169–171.
- [64] N. Khan, A. Antonini, D. Parkes, M.J. Dahlitz, K. Meier-Ewert, A. Weindl and K.L. Leenders, Striatal dopamine D2 receptors in patients with narcolepsy measured with PET and 11C-raclopride, *Neurology* **44** (1994), 2101–2104.
- [65] T.S. Kilduff, S.S. Bowersox, K.I. Kaitin, T.L. Baker, R.F. Ciaranello and W.C. Dement, Muscarinic cholinergic receptors and the canine model of narcolepsy, *Sleep* **9** (1986), 102–106.
- [66] S.J. Kish, M. Mamelak, C. Slimovitch, L.M. Dixon, A. Lewis, K. Shannak, L. De Stefano, L.J. Chang and O. Hrnkykiewicz, Brain neurotransmitter changes in human narcolepsy, *Neurology* **42** (1992), 229–234.

- [67] C.D. Knecht, J.E. Oliver, R. Redding, R. Selcer and G. Johnson, Narcolepsy in a dog and a cat, *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association* **162** (1973), 1052–1053.
- [68] G.J. Lammers, H. Pijl, J. Iestra, J.A. Langius, G. Buunk and A.E. Meinders, Spontaneous food choice in narcolepsy, *Sleep* **19** (1996), 75–76.
- [69] J. Lamphere, D. Young, T. Roehrs, R.M. Wittig, F. Zorick and T. Roth, Fragmented sleep, daytime somnolence and age in narcolepsy, *Clinical Electroencephalography* **20** (1989), 49–54.
- [70] N. Langdon, S. Bandak, J. Shindler and S. Bandale, Fluoxetine in the treatment of cataplexy, *Sleep* **9** (1986), 371–372.
- [71] P. Lavie and R. Peled, Narcolepsy is a rare disease in Israel, *Sleep* **10** (1987), 608–609.
- [72] W.G. Lennox, Thomas Willis on narcolepsy, *Archives of Neurology and Psychiatry* **41** (1939), 348–351.
- [73] J. Lhermitte and A. Tournay, Rapport sur le sommeil normal et pathologique, *Revue neurologique* (1927), 751–823.
- [74] S.C. Liddon, Sleep paralysis and hypnagogic hallucinations, *Archives of general psychiatry* **17** (1967), 88–95.
- [75] L. Lin, J. Faraco, R. Li, H. Kadotani, W. Rogers, X. Lin, X. Qiu, P.J. de Jong, S. Nishino and E. Mignot, The sleep disorder canine narcolepsy is caused by a mutation in the hypocretin (orexin) receptor 2 gene, *Cell* **98** (1999), 365–376.
- [76] M. Lubkin and A. Stricker-Krongrad, Independent feeding and metabolic actions of orexin in mice, *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* **253** (1998), 241–245.
- [77] P. Maquet, The role of sleep in learning and memory, *Science* **294** (2001), 1048–1052.
- [78] I.N. Mefford, T.L. Baker, R. Boehme, A.S. Foutz, R.D. Ciaranello, J.D. Barchas and W.C. Dement, Narcolepsy: biogenic amine deficits in an animal model, *Science* **220** (1983), 629–632.
- [79] M.M. Methippara, M.N. Alam, R. Szymusiak and D. McGinty, Effects of lateral preoptic area application of orexin-A on sleep-wakefulness, *Neuroreport* **11** (2000), 3423–3426.
- [80] H.A. Middelkoop, G.J. Lammers, B.J. Van Hilten, C. Ruwhof, H. Pijl and H.A. Kamphuisen, Circadian distribution of motor activity and immobility in narcolepsy: Assessment with continuous motor activity monitoring, *Psychophysiology* **32** (1995), 286–291.
- [81] E. Mignot, C. Guilleminault, S. Bowersox, B. Frusthofer, S. Nishino, J. Maddaluno, R. Ciaranello and W.C. Dement, Central alpha 1 adrenoceptor subtypes in narcolepsy-cataplexy: a disorder of REM sleep, *Brain Research* **490** (1989), 186–191.
- [82] E. Mignot, A. Kimura, A. Lattermann, X. Lin, S. Yasunaga, G. Mueller-Eckhardt, C. Rattazzi, L. Lin, C. Guilleminault, F.C. Grumet, G. Mayer, W.C. Dement and P. Underhill, Extensive HLA class II studies in 58 non-DRB1*15 (DR2) narcoleptic patients with cataplexy, *Tissue Antigens* **49** (1997), 329–341.
- [83] E. Mignot, M. Tafti, W.C. Dement and F.C. Grumet, Narcolepsy and immunity, *Advances in Neuroimmunology* **5** (1995), 23–37.
- [84] E. Mignot, Genetic and familial aspects of narcolepsy, *Neurology* **50** (1998), 16–22.
- [85] E. Mignot, Pathophysiology of narcolepsy, in: *Principles and practice of sleep medicine*, M.H. Kryger, T. Roth, W. Dement, eds, WB Saunders, Philadelphia, 2000, pp. 663–675.
- [86] M.M. Mitler, K.S. Gujavarty, M.G. Sampson and C.P. Browman, Multiple daytime nap approaches to evaluating the sleepy patient, *Sleep* **5** (1982), 119–127.
- [87] M.M. Mitler, Daytime sleepiness and cognitive functioning in sleep apnea, *Sleep* **16** (1993), S68–70.
- [88] J. Montplaisir, J. de Champlain, S.N. Young, K. Missala, T.L. Sourkes, J. Walsh and G. Remillard, Narcolepsy and idiopathic hypersomnia: Biogenic amines and related Compounds in CFS, *Neurology* (1982), 1299–1302.
- [89] A. Moscovitch, M. Partinen and C. Guilleminault, The positive diagnosis of narcolepsy and narcolepsy's borderland, *Neurology* **43** (1993), 55–60.
- [90] A. Naumann, J. Bierbrauer, H. Przuntek and I. Daum, Attentive and preattentive processing in narcolepsy as revealed by event-related potentials (ERPs), *Neuroreport* **12** (2001), 2807–2811.
- [91] S. Nishino, J. Arrigoni, D. Valtier, J.D. Miller, C. Guilleminault, W.C. Dement and E. Mignot, Dopamine D2 mechanisms in canine narcolepsy, *The International Journal of Neuroscience* **11** (1991), 2666–2671.
- [92] S. Nishino and E. Mignot, Pharmacological aspects of human and canine narcolepsy, *Progress in Neurobiology* **52** (1997), 27–28.
- [93] S. Nishino, M.S. Reid, W.C. Dement and E. Mignot, Neuropharmacology and neurochemistry of canine narcolepsy, *Sleep* **17** (1994), 84–92.
- [94] S. Nishino, B. Ripley, S. Overeem, G.J. Lammers and E. Mignot, Hypocretin (orexin) deficiency in human narcolepsy, *Lancet* **355** (2000), 39–40.
- [95] M. Okura, J. Riehl, E. Mignot and S. Nishino, Sulpride, a D2/D3 blocker, reduces cataplexy but not REM sleep in canine narcolepsy, *Neuropsychopharmacology* **23** (2000), 528–538.
- [96] C. Olo, N. Squires, H. Pass, J. Walsleben, T. Baker and K. Gujavarty, Electrophysiological assessment of cognitive function in narcolepsy, *Sleep Research* **16** (1997), 402.
- [97] J.D. Parkes, Natural history, symptoms and treatment of the narcoleptic syndrome, *Acta neurologica Scandinavica* **52** (1975), 23–28.
- [98] J.D. Parkes, *Sleep and its disorders*, Eastbourne: Saunders, 1985.
- [99] P. Passouant, R.S. Schwab and J. Cadilhac, Narcolepsie-cataplexie: Etude du sommeil de nuit et du sommeil de jour, *Revue neurologique* **111** (1964), 415–426.
- [100] C. Peyron, D.K. Tighe, A.N. van den Pol, L. de Lecea, H.C. Heller, J.G. Sutcliffe and T.S. Kilduff, Neurons containing hypocretin (orexin) project to multiple neuronal systems, *The International Journal of Neuroscience* **18** (1998), 9996–10015.
- [101] C. Peyron, J. Faraco, W. Rogers, B. Ripley, S. Overeem, Y. Charnay, S. Nevsimalova, M. Aldrich, D. Reynolds, R. Albin, R. Li, M. Hungs, M. Pedrazzoli, M. Padigaru, M. Kucherlapati, J. Fan, R. Maki, G.J. Lammers, C. Bouras, R. Kucherlapati, S. Nishino and E. Mignot, A mutation in a case of early onset narcolepsy and a generalized absence of hypocretin peptides in human narcoleptic brain, *Nature Medicine* **6** (2000), 991–997.
- [102] D.C. Piper, N. Upton, M.I. Smith and A.J. Hunter, The novel brain neuropeptide, orexin-A, modulates the sleep-wake cycle of rats, *The European Journal of Neuroscience* **12** (2000), 726–730.
- [103] C.P. Pollak, D.R. Wagner, M.L. Moline and T.H. Monk, Cognitive and motor performance of narcoleptic and normal subjects in temporal isolation, *Sleep* **15** (1992), 202–211.

- [104] M.I. Posner and S.E. Petersen, The attention system of the human brain, *Annual Review of Neuroscience* **13** (1990), 25–42.
- [105] M.I. Posner and R.D. Rafal. Cognitive theories of attention and the rehabilitation of attentional deficits, in: *Neuropsychological Rehabilitation*, R.J. Meier, A.C. Benton, L. Diller, eds, Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone 1987.
- [106] N. Quigley, J.F. Green, D. Morgan, C. Idzikowski and D.J. King, The effect of sleep deprivation on memory and psychomotor function in healthy volunteers, *Human Psychopharmacology* **15** (2000), 171–177.
- [107] A. Rechtschaffen, E.A. Wolfpert, W.C. Dement, S.A. Mitchell and C. Fischer, Nocturnal sleep of narcoleptics, *Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology* **15** (1963), 599–609.
- [108] E. Redlich, Über Narkolepsie, *Zeitschrift für Neurologie und Psychiatrie* **95** (1925), 256–270.
- [109] M.S. Reid, M. Tafti, S. Nishino, J.M. Siegel, W.C. Dement and E. Mignot, Cholinergic regulation of cataplexy in canine narcolepsy in the pontine reticular formation is mediated by M2 muscarinic receptors, *Sleep* **17** (1994), 424–435.
- [110] J.O. Rinne, C. Hublin, M. Partinen, H. Ruottinen, U. Ruotalainen, K. Nagren, P. Lehtikoinen and A. Laihininen, Positron emission tomography study of human narcolepsy: No increase in striatal dopamine D2 receptors, *Neurology* **45** (1995), 1735–1738.
- [111] B. Ripley, E. Mignot and S. Nishino, Hypocretin levels in narcoleptic (hypocretin receptor-2 mutated) and control Dobermans [abstract], *Sleep* **23** (2000), 114.
- [112] A.E. Rogers, J. Meehan, C. Guilleminault, F.C. Grumet and E. Mignot, HLA DR15 (DR2) and DQB1*0602 typing studies in 188 narcoleptic patients with cataplexy, *Neurology* **48** (1997), 1550–1556.
- [113] A.E. Rogers and R.S. Rosenberg, Test of memory in narcoleptics, *Sleep* **13** (1990), 42–52.
- [114] B. Roth, *Narcolepsy and hypersomnia*, Springer Verlag, Basel, 1980, pp. 94.
- [115] R.B. Sangal, J.M. Sangal and C. Belisle, Visual P300 latency predicts treatment response to modafinil in patients with narcolepsy, *Clinical Neurophysiology* **110** (1999), 1041–1047.
- [116] R.B. Sangal and J.M. Sangal, P300 latency abnormal in sleep apnea with somnolence and idiopathic hypersomnia, but normal in narcolepsy, *Clinical Electroencephalography* **26** (1995), 146–153.
- [117] R.B. Sangal, J.M. Sangal and C. Belisle, Longer auditory and visual P300 latencies in patients with narcolepsy, *Clinical Electroencephalography* **30** (2000), 28–32.
- [118] A. Schuld, J. Hebebrand, F. Geller and T. Pollmacher, Increased body-mass index in patients with narcolepsy, *Lancet* **355** (2000b), 1274–1275.
- [119] H. Schulz and J. Wilde-Frenzen, The disturbance of cognitive processes in narcolepsy, *Journal of Sleep Research* **4** (1995), 10–14.
- [120] J.M. Siegel, Brainstem mechanisms generating REM sleep, in: *Principles and Practice of Sleep Medicine*, M.H. Kryger, T. Roth, W.C. Dement, eds, Philadelphia: WB Saunders, 1994, pp. 125–144.
- [121] J.M. Siegel, The REM sleep-memory consolidation hypothesis, *Science* **294** (2001), 1058–1063.
- [122] K.M. Smith, S.L. Merrit and F.L. Cohen, Can we predict cognitive impairments in persons with narcolepsy? *Grief and Care* **5** (1992), 103–113.
- [123] R. Stickgold, J.A. Hobson, R. Fosse and M. Fosse, Sleep, learning, and dreams: off-line memory reprocessing, *Science* **294** (2001), 1052–1057.
- [124] E.J. Stepanski, J.J. Markey, F.J. Zorick and T. Roth, Psychometric profiles of patient populations with excessive daytime sleepiness, *Henry Ford Hospital Medical Journal* **38** (1990), 219–222.
- [125] M.R. Tafti and A.B. Besset, Sleep deprivation in narcoleptic subjects: effect on sleep stages and EEG power density, *Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology* **83** (1992), 339–349.
- [126] H. Takahashi and M. Jimbo, Polygraphic study of narcoleptic syndrome, with special reference to hypnagogic hallucinations and cataplexy, *Folia psychiatricae et neurologica Japonica* **7** (1963), 343–347.
- [127] T.C. Thannickal, R.Y. Moore, R. Nienhuis R, L. Ramanathan, S. Gulyani, M. Aldrich, M. Cornford and J.M. Siegel, Reduced number of hypocretin neurons in human narcolepsy, *Neuron* **27** (2000), 469–474.
- [128] P. Trivedi, H. Yu, D.J. MacNeil, L.H. Van der Ploeg and X.M. Guan, Distribution of orexin receptor mRNA in the rat brain, *FEBS Letters* **438** (1998), 71–75.
- [129] V. Valley and R. Broughton, Daytime performance deficits and physiological vigilance in untreated patients with narcolepsy and cataplexy compared to controls, *Neurophysiology* **11** (1981), 133–134.
- [130] V. Valley and R. Broughton, The physiological (EEG) nature of drowsiness and its relation to performance deficits in narcoleptics, *Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology* **55** (1983), 243–251.
- [131] A.N. van den Pol, X.B. Gao, K. Obrietan, T.S. Kilduff and A.B. Belousov, Presynaptic and postsynaptic actions and modulation of neuroendocrine neurons by a new hypothalamic peptide, hypocretin/orexin, *Journal of Neuroscience* **18** (1998), 7962–7971.
- [132] R.P. Vertes and K.E. Eastman, The case against memory consolidation in REM sleep, *Behavioral and Brain Science* **23** (2000), 867–876.
- [133] G. Vogel, Studies in psychophysiology of dreams III. The dream of narcolepsy, *Archives of General Psychiatry* **3** (1960), 421–428.
- [134] C. Westphal, Eigenthümliche mit Einschlafen verbundene Anfälle, *Archiv für Psychiatrie und Nervenkrankheiten* **7** (1877), 631–635.
- [135] S.A.K. Wilson, The narcolepsies, *Brain* **51** (1928), 63–109.
- [136] R.E. Yoss and D. Daly, Criteria for the diagnosis of the narcoleptic syndrome, *Proceedings of the staff meetings of the Mayo Clinic* **32** (1957), 320–328.
- [137] R.E. Yoss and D. Daly, Narcolepsy, *Medical Clinics of North America* **44** (1960), 955–968.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

