

**A GENERALIZATION OF A THEOREM
BY CHEO AND YIEN CONCERNING DIGITAL SUMS**

CURTIS N. COOPER and ROBERT E. KENNEDY

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
Central Missouri State University
Warrensburg, Missouri 64093 U.S.A.

(Received January 20, 1986)

ABSTRACT. For a non-negative integer n , let $s(n)$ denote the digital sum of n . Cheo and Yien proved that for a positive integer x , the sum of the terms of the sequence

$$\{s(n) : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, (x-1)\}$$

is $(4.5)x \log x + O(x)$. In this paper we let k be a positive integer and determine that the sum of the sequence

$$\{s(kn) : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, (x-1)\}$$

is also $(4.5)x \log x + O(x)$. The constant implicit in the big-oh notation is dependent on k .

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. *Digital sums.*

1980 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE. 10H25

1. INTRODUCTION.

In Cheo and Yien [1], it was proven that for a positive integer x ,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{x-1} s(n) = (4.5)x \log x + O(x) \tag{1.1}$$

where $s(n)$ denotes the digital sum of n . Here, we will show that, in fact, for any positive integer k ,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{x-1} s(kn) = (4.5)x \log x + O(x) \tag{1.2}$$

where the constant implicit in the big-oh notation is dependent on k .

The following notation will be used to facilitate the proof of (1.2). For integers x and y ,

$$x \bmod y \tag{1.3}$$

will be the remainder when x is divided by y and, as usual, square brackets will denote the integral part operator. In addition, for non-negative integers m , i , and j we let

$$[m]^j = m \bmod 10^j, \tag{1.4}$$

$$[m]_i = [m/10^i], \tag{1.5}$$

and

$$[m]_i^j = \left[[m]^j \right]_i \quad (1.6)$$

for $i < j$.

Thus, the j right-most digits of m are given by (1.4) and the number determined by dropping the i right-most digits of m is given by (1.5). Therefore, the number determined from the j th right-most digit of m to the $(i + 1)$ st right-most digit of m is given by (1.6).

2. A PROOF OF (1.2) WHEN k AND 10 ARE RELATIVE PRIME.

Let $(k, 10) = 1$, x be a positive integer, and $L = [\log x]$. Then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{x-1} s(kn) = \sum_{n=0}^{x-1} s([kn]_L^L) + \sum_{n=0}^{x-1} s([kn]_L) \quad (2.1)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{x-1} s([kn]_L^L) + O(x). \quad (2.2)$$

This follows since for non-negative integers L and m ,

$$m = [m]_L^L + 10^L [m]_L \quad (2.3)$$

and so

$$s(m) = s([m]_L^L) + s([m]_L). \quad (2.4)$$

Also, since each $s([kn]_L)$ is bounded by a constant (dependent on k), we have that the second term of (2.1) is $O(x)$.

Next, for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, L$ define

$$x_i = [x]_{L+1-i} 10^{L+1-i}. \quad (2.5)$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{x-1} s([kn]_L^L) &= \sum_{n=0}^{x_1-1} s([kn]_L^L) + \sum_{n=x_1}^{x-1} s([kn]_L^L) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{x_1-1} s([kn]_L^L) + \sum_{n=x_1}^{x-1} s([kn]_{L-1}^L) + \sum_{n=x_1}^{x-1} s([kn]^{L-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

In the same way,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=x_1}^{x-1} s([kn]^{L-1}) &= \sum_{n=x_1}^{x_2-1} s([kn]^{L-1}) + \sum_{n=x_2}^{x-1} s([kn]_{L-2}^{L-1}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=x_2}^{x-1} s([kn]^{L-2}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Continuing in this manner and combining terms, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{x-1} s([kn]_L^L) &= \sum_{i=1}^L x_i \sum_{n=x_{i-1}}^{x_i-1} s([kn]^{L+1-i}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^L \sum_{n=x_i}^{x-1} s([kn]_{L-i}^{L+1-i}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Since

$$s([kn]_{L-i}^{L+1-i}) \tag{2.9}$$

is a decimal digit and

$$x - x_i = [x]_{L+1-i}^{L+1-i} \leq 10^{L+1-i} \tag{2.10}$$

for each i , it follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^L \sum_{n=x_i}^{x-1} s([kn]_{L-i}^{L+1-i}) = O(x) . \tag{2.11}$$

To determine the value of the first term of (2.8), we need the following lemma.

Its proof is straight forward and will not be given.

LEMMA 2. Let d and i be non-negative integers. Then for $(k,10) = 1$,

$$\{[kn]^i : n = d, d+1, \dots, d+10^i-1\} = \{n : n = 0, 1, \dots, 10^i-1\} . \tag{2.12}$$

By this lemma and the fact that

$$x_i - x_{i-1} = [x]_{L+1-i}^{L+2-i} 10^{L+1-i} \tag{2.13}$$

it follows that

$$x_i - x_{i-1} \sum_{n=x_{i-1}}^{x_i-1} s([kn]_{L+1-i}^{L+1-i}) = ([x]_{L+1-i}^{L+2-i}) 10^{L+1-i} \sum_{n=0}^{10^{L+1-i}-1} s(n) \tag{2.14}$$

for each i .

Now since

$$\sum_{n=0}^{10^{L+1-i}-1} s(n) = 4.5(L+1-i)10^{L+1-i} \tag{2.15}$$

by [2], we have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^L \sum_{n=x_{i-1}}^{x_i-1} s([kn]_{L+1-i}^{L+1-i}) = (4.5)x \log x + O(x) . \tag{2.16}$$

Using (2.16) and (2.11) in (2.8), by (2.2) we have the expression given in (1.2). The constant implicit in the big-oh notation is dependent on k with k and 10 relatively prime.

3. CONCLUSION.

For any positive integer k , there exists non-negative integers a , b , and r such that $k = 2^a 5^b r$ with $(r,10) = 1$. Note that if $k = r$, then we have (1.2). However, by use of the following generalization to Lemma 2, and some technical modifications, it can be shown that the restriction that k and 10 be relatively prime can be removed in the derivation of (2.1). That is,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{x-1} s(kn) = (4.5)x \log x + O(x) \tag{3.1}$$

for any positive integer k .

LEMMA 3. Let $k = 2^a 5^b r$ with $(r,10) = 1$ and $i \geq \max \{a,b\}$. Then for any non-

negative integer d ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \{[kn]^i : n = d, d+1, d+2, \dots, d + (10^i/2^a 5^b) - 1\} \\ & = \{2^a 5^b n : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, (10^i/2^a 5^b) - 1\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Finally, based on the above techniques, it is strongly conjectured that for any positive integers k_1 and k_2 , it again follows that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{x-1} s(k_1 n + k_2) = (4.5)x \log x + O(x). \quad (3.3)$$

REFERENCES

1. CHEO, P. and YIEN, S. A Problem on the K-adic Representation of Positive Integers, Acta Math. Sinica 5 (1955), 433-438.
2. KENNEDY, R.E. and COOPER, C.N. On the Natural Density of the Niven Numbers, College Math. Journal 15 (1984), 309-312.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

