

Research Article

On the Determinants of the Square-Type Stirling Matrix and Bell Matrix

Eunmi Choi  and Jiin Jo

Department of Mathematics, Hannam University, Daejeon, Republic of Korea

Correspondence should be addressed to Eunmi Choi; emc@hnu.kr

Received 22 October 2021; Accepted 1 December 2021; Published 24 December 2021

Academic Editor: Aloys Krieg

Copyright © 2021 Eunmi Choi and Jiin Jo. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We study determinants of the square-type Stirling matrix S^* and the square-type Bell matrix B^* . For this purpose, we prove that S^* and B^* have LU factorizations $S^* = L_S U_S$ and $B^* = L_B U_B$ where the diagonal entries of U_S are k^{k-1} , while those of U_B are $k!$ ($k \geq 1$).

1. Introduction

The Stirling numbers $s_{m,n}$ ($m, n \geq 0$) of second kind count the number of ways to partition an m element set into n subsets. The Stirling matrix $\tilde{S} = [s_{m,n}]$ satisfies a recurrence rule $s_{m+1,n+1} = s_{m,n} + (n+1)s_{m,n+1}$ [1]. The sum $\sum_{k=0}^m s_{m,k}$ of the m^{th} row of \tilde{S} is called the m^{th} Bell number $B(m)$, so $\{B(m) | m \geq 0\} = \{1, 1, 2, 5, 15, \dots\}$. A triangular matrix $B = [b_{i,j}]$ having Bell numbers on both border and holding $b_{i+1,j+1} = b_{i,j} + b_{i+1,j}$ ($i, j \geq 1$) is called the Bell matrix [2]. Since every entries in the first row and column of \tilde{S} are zeros except $s_{0,0} = 1$, we denote by S the Stirling matrix deleted in the first row and column from \tilde{S} .

$$\tilde{S} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & & \\ 0 & 1 & & & & \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & & & \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & 1 & & \\ 0 & 1 & 7 & 6 & 1 & \\ & & \dots & & & \end{bmatrix},$$

$$S = [s_{i,j}] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & & \\ 1 & 1 & & & & \\ 1 & 3 & 1 & & & \\ 1 & 7 & 6 & 1 & & \\ 1 & 15 & 25 & 10 & 1 & \\ & & \dots & & & \end{bmatrix}, \tag{1}$$

$$B = [b_{i,j}] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & & \\ 1 & 2 & & & & \\ 2 & 3 & 5 & & & \\ 5 & 7 & 10 & 15 & & \\ 15 & 20 & 27 & 37 & 52 & \\ & & \dots & & & \end{bmatrix}, \quad i, j \geq 1.$$

Let

$$S^* = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 6 & 10 \\ 1 & 7 & 25 & 65 \\ 1 & 15 & 90 & 350 \\ & & & \dots \end{bmatrix}, \tag{2}$$

$$B^* = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 5 & 15 \\ 1 & 3 & 10 & 37 \\ 2 & 7 & 27 & 114 \\ 5 & 20 & 87 & 409 \\ & & & \dots \end{bmatrix},$$

be a square-type Stirling matrix and a square-type Bell matrix. In the work, we study the determinants of S^* and B^* by finding their LU factorizations. In fact, we prove that S^* has an LU factorization $S^* = L_S U_S$, where $L_S = S$ and U_S has diagonal entries $1, 2, 3^2, 4^3, \dots$ (Theorem 2), and B^* has an LU factorization $B^* = L_B U_B$ where $L_B = \bar{S}P$ along with the Pascal matrix P and U_B has diagonal entries $1, 1, 2!, 3!, \dots$ (Theorem 12). This consideration is motivated by the square-type Pascal matrix

$$P^* = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 & 6 \\ & & \dots \end{bmatrix}, \tag{3}$$

where its LU factorization is $P^* = PP^T$ [3] so $\det P^* = 1$. Note that $\det B_n^*$ was discussed in [4] by means of Hankel transformation. Our feature in the work is to study $\det B_n^*$ by recurrence rules of Bell numbers over an LU factorization of B_n^* . For our notations, with a matrix M , M_k denotes the size $k \times k$, and let $r_i(M_k)$ and $c_j(M_k)$ be the i^{th} row and j^{th} column of M_k . Write $(x, \dots, x, a, b, \dots)$ and $(a, b, \dots, x, \dots, x)$ simply by $(\bar{x}^t; a, b, \dots)$ and $(a, b, \dots; \bar{x}^t)$

with the t copies \bar{x}^t of x . Therefore, $(\bar{x}^t; r_i(M_k))$ means a row matrix having t x 's followed by a row matrix $r_i(M_k)$, and similarly, $(\bar{x}^t; c_j(M_k))$ means a column matrix

$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ \vdots \\ x \\ c_j(M_k) \end{bmatrix}$. Let $\text{di}[a, b, \dots]$ be a diagonal matrix having diagonal entries a, b, \dots

2. Square-Type Stirling Matrix

For $i, j \geq 1$, let $r_i(S)$ and $c_j(S)$ (resp., $r_i(S^{-1})$ and $c_j(S^{-1})$) be the i^{th} row and j^{th} column of S (resp., S^{-1}). Since S and S^{-1} are lower triangular matrices, $r_i(S)$ and $r_i(S^{-1})$ can be considered as of size $1 \times i$, while $c_j(S)$ and $c_j(S^{-1})$ are of size $\infty \times 1$. But, if necessary, like the case of multiplication $r_i(S)c_j(S)$, we may regard $r_i(S)$ filled with infinitely many zeros after the first i entries.

Lemma 1. Let $S = [s_{i,j}]$, $S^{-1} = [s_{i,j}^{\vee}]$, and $S^* = [s_{i,j}^*]$ for $i, j \geq 1$. Let $r_i(S^*)$ and $c_j(S^*)$ be the i^{th} row and j^{th} column of S^* .

- (1) In S , $s_{i+1,j+1} = s_{i,j} + (j+1)s_{i,j+1}$ and $c_{j+1}(S) = (0; c_j(S) + (j+1)(0; c_{j+1}(S)))$.
- (2) In S^{-1} , $s_{i+1,j+1}^{\vee} = s_{i,j}^{\vee} - is_{i,j+1}^{\vee}$. Thus, $s_{i+1,1}^{\vee} = (-1)^i i!$ and $\sum_{j=1}^i s_{i,j}^{\vee} = 0$ for $i \geq 2$.
- (3) In S^* , $s_{i,j}^* = s_{i+j-1,j}$ and $s_{i,j}^* = s_{i,j-1}^* + js_{i-1,j}^*$. And, $c_j(S^*) = c_{j-1}(S^*) + j(0; c_j(S^*)) = \sum_{t=0}^{j-1} j^t (\bar{0}^t; c_{j-1}(S^*)) + j^k (\bar{0}^k; c_j(S^*)) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} j^t (\bar{0}^t; c_{j-1}(S^*))$.

Proof. The recurrence in (1) is well known [5]. The column $c_{j+1}(S)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} c_{j+1}(S) &= (0, \dots, 0, s_{j+1,j+1}, s_{j+2,j+1}, s_{j+3,j+1}, \dots)^T \\ &= (\bar{0}^j; s_{j,j}, s_{j+1,j} + (j+1)s_{j+1,j+1}, s_{j+2,j} + (j+1)s_{j+2,j+1}, \dots)^T \\ &= (\bar{0}^j; s_{j,j}, s_{j+1,j}, s_{j+2,j}, \dots)^T + (j+1)(\bar{0}^j; 0, s_{j+1,j+1}, s_{j+2,j+1}, \dots)^T \\ &= (0; c_j(S)) + (j+1)(0; c_{j+1}(S)). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

The inverse S^{-1} is the signed Stirling matrix of first kind [6] satisfying the recurrence $s_{i+1,j+1}^{\vee} = s_{i,j}^{\vee} - is_{i,j+1}^{\vee}$. From $I = S_n^{-1}S_n = [r_i(S_n^{-1})c_j(S_n)]$, we have $0 = r_i(S^{-1})c_1(S) = \sum_{j=1}^i s_{i,j}^{\vee}$ for $i \geq 2$, since $c_1(S)$ is composed of all 1s. Moreover, simple computation of S^{-1} shows $s_{i,1}^{\vee}$ ($1 \leq i \leq 5$) equals $1, -1, 2, -3!, 4!$, respectively. Hence, if we assume $s_{i+1,1}^{\vee} = (-1)^i i!$ for some i , then $s_{i+2,1}^{\vee} = s_{i+1,0}^{\vee} - (i+1)s_{i+1,1}^{\vee} = -(i+1)(-1)^i i! = (-1)^{i+1} (i+1)!$.

Comparing

$$S^* = [s_{i,j}^*] = \begin{bmatrix} s_{1,1} & s_{2,2} & s_{3,3} \\ s_{2,1} & s_{3,2} & s_{4,3} \\ s_{3,1} & s_{4,2} & s_{5,3} \\ & & \dots \end{bmatrix} \tag{5}$$

with $S = [s_{i,j}]$, it is easy to see $s_{i,j}^* = s_{i+j-1,j}$ and $s_{i,j}^* = s_{i,j-1}^* + js_{i-1,j}^*$. Now, for the j^{th} column $c_j(S^*)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_j(S^*) &= c_{j-1}(S^*) + j(0; c_j(S^*)) \\
 &= c_{j-1}(S^*) + j(0; c_{j-1}(S^*) + j(0; c_j(S^*))) \\
 &= c_{j-1}(S^*) + j(0; c_{j-1}(S^*)) + j^2(\bar{0}^2; c_{j-1}(S^*)) + j^3(\bar{0}^3; c_j(S^*)) \\
 &= \dots = \sum_{t=0}^{k-1} j^t(\bar{0}^t; c_{j-1}(S^*)) + j^k(\bar{0}^k; c_j(S^*)) \\
 &= \dots = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} j^t(\bar{0}^t; c_{j-1}(S^*)).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{6}$$

Theorem 1. $r_i(S^{-1})(\bar{0}^{j-t}; c_j(S^*)) = 0$ for all $0 \leq t < j < i$.

Proof. Note that $c_j(S^*) = (s_{1,j}^*, s_{2,j}^*, s_{3,j}^*, \dots)^T = (s_{j,j}, s_{j+1,j}, s_{j+2,j}, \dots)^T$ and $c_j(S) = (\bar{0}^{j-1}; c_j(S^*))$. Hence, we have

□

$r_i(S^{-1})(\bar{0}^{j-1}; c_j(S^*)) = r_i(S^{-1})c_j(S) = 0$ from $S^{-1}S = I$.
When $t = 2$, by Lemma 1 (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 r_i(S^{-1})(\bar{0}^{j-2}; c_j(S^*)) &= r_i(S^{-1})(\bar{0}^{j-2}; c_{j-1}(S^*) + j(0; c_j(S^*))) \\
 &= r_i(S^{-1})(\bar{0}^{j-2}; c_{j-1}(S^*)) + jr_i(S^{-1})(\bar{0}^{j-1}; c_j(S^*)) \\
 &= r_i(S^{-1})c_{j-1}(S) + jr_i(S^{-1})c_j(S) = 0.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{7}$$

Thus, by assuming $r_i(S^{-1})(\bar{0}^{j-t}; c_j(S^*)) = 0$ for $1 \leq t \leq j - 2$, we have $r_i(S^{-1})(\bar{0}; c_j(S^*)) = r_i(S^{-1})(\bar{0}; c_{j-1}(S^*) + j(0; c_j(S^*))) = r_i(S^{-1})(\bar{0}; c_{j-1}(S^*)) + jr_i(S^{-1})(\bar{0}^2; c_j(S^*)) = 0$. □

Theorem 2. S^* has an LU factorization SX , where X is an upper triangular matrix having diagonal entries i^{i-1} ($i \geq 1$). Therefore, $\det S_k^* = \prod_{i=1}^k i^{i-1}$.

Proof. Let $[x_{i,j}] = X = S^{-1}S^*$. Then, Lemma 1 (2) shows $x_{i,1} = r_i(S^{-1})c_1(S^*) = \sum_{t=1}^i s_{t,1}^* = 0$ for all $i > 1$, since all entries in $c_1(S^*)$ are 1. And,

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_{i,2} &= r_i(S^{-1})c_2(S^*) = r_i(S^{-1})(c_1(S^*) + 2(0; c_2(S^*))) \\
 &= x_{i,1} + 2r_i(S^{-1})(0; c_2(S^*)) = 0,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{8}$$

by Theorem 1. Thus, by assuming $x_{i,i-2} = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_{i,i-1} &= r_i(S^{-1})c_{i-1}(S^*) = r_i(S^{-1})(c_{i-2}(S^*) + (i-1)(0; c_{i-1}(S^*))) \\
 &= x_{i,i-2} + (i-1)r_i(S^{-1})(0; c_{i-2}(S^*)) = 0,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{9}$$

which shows X is an upper triangular matrix. Now, for $x_{i,i}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_{i,i} &= r_i(S^{-1})c_i(S^*) \\
 &= r_i(S^{-1})(c_{i-1}(S^*) + i(0; c_{i-1}(S^*)) + \dots + i^{i-2}(\bar{0}^{i-2}; c_{i-1}(S^*)) + i^{i-1}(\bar{0}^{i-1}; c_i(S^*))) \\
 &= r_i(S^{-1})c_{i-1}(S^*) + ir_i(S^{-1})(0; c_{i-1}(S^*)) + \dots + i^{i-2}r_i(S^{-1})(\bar{0}^{i-2}; c_{i-1}(S^*)) + i^{i-1}r_i(S^{-1})(\bar{0}^{i-1}; c_i(S^*)) \\
 &= i^{i-1}r_i(S^{-1})(\bar{0}^{i-1}; 1) = i^{i-1}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{10}$$

□

Indeed,

$$S^* = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 6 & 10 \\ 1 & 7 & 25 & 65 \\ 1 & 15 & 90 & 350 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ 1 & 1 & & \\ 1 & 3 & 1 & \\ 1 & 7 & 6 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ & 2 & 5 & 9 \\ & & 3^2 & 37 \\ & & & 4^3 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{11}$$

$$\begin{aligned} r_{i+1}(P) &= (r_i(P); 0) + (0; r_i(P)), \\ c_{j+1}(P) &= (0; c_j(P)) + (0; c_{j+1}(P)). \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Theorem 3. For any $1 \leq j \leq k$, $di[0, 1, \dots, k-1] (0; c_j(P_{k-1})) = j c_{j+1}(P_k)$ and $r_k(P) di[2^{k-1}, \dots, 2, 1] = r_k(P) P_k$.

Proof. Due to the binomial identity $p \binom{p-1}{q-1} = q \binom{p}{q}$ for $p, q \geq 1$, we have

3. Bell Matrix with the Pascal Matrix

Let $r_i(P)$ and $c_j(P)$ be the i^{th} row and j^{th} column of the Pascal matrix $P = [p_{i,j}]$ ($i, j \geq 1$). Well-known recurrence rules of $r_i(P)$ and $c_j(P)$ are

$$\begin{aligned} j c_{j+1}(P_k) &= j \left(\bar{0}^j, \binom{j}{j}, \binom{j+1}{j}, \binom{j+2}{j}, \dots, \binom{k-1}{j} \right)^T \\ &= \left(\bar{0}^j, j \binom{j-1}{j-1}, (j+1) \binom{j}{j-1}, (j+2) \binom{j+1}{j-1}, \dots, (k-1) \binom{k-2}{j-1} \right)^T \\ &= di[0, \dots, j-1; j, \dots, k-1] \left(0, \bar{0}^{j-1}, \binom{j-1}{j-1}, \binom{j}{j-1}, \binom{j+1}{j-1}, \dots, \binom{k-2}{j-1} \right)^T \\ &= di[0, 1, \dots, k-1] (0; c_j(P_{k-1})). \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Clearly, $r_3(P) di[2^2, 2, 1] = (1, 2, 1) P_3$. Assume $r_k(P) P_k = r_k(P) di[2^{k-1}, \dots, 2, 1]$ for some k . Note that $r_i(P)$ is the set of coefficients of $(x+1)^{i-1}$ and $r_i(P) P_i$ equals $r_i(P^2)$ which is the set of coefficients of $(2x+1)^{i-1}$

expanded in descending order. Thus, (12) with $(2x+1)^k = 2x(2x+1)^{k-1} + (2x+1)^{k-1}$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} r_{k+1}(P) P_{k+1} &= \text{the set of coeff. of } (2x+1)^k \\ &= \text{the set of coeff. of } 2x(2x+1)^{k-1} + \text{the set of coeff. of } (2x+1)^{k-1} \\ &= 2(r_k(P) P_k; 0) + (0; r_k(P) P_k) \\ &= (2r_k(P) di[2^{k-1}, \dots, 1]; 0) + (0; r_k(P) di[2^{k-1}, \dots, 1]) \\ &= (r_k(P); 0) \begin{bmatrix} 2^k & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & 2 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} + (0; r_k(P)) \begin{bmatrix} 2^k & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & 2^{k-1} \\ & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= ((r_k(P); 0) + (0; r_k(P))) di[2^k, \dots, 1] \\ &= r_{k+1}(P) di[2^k, \dots, 1]. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

□

A matrix $P_k^F = [p_{i,j}^F]$ is called a flipped matrix of $P_k = [p_{i,j}]$ if it is horizontally flipped sideways of P_k . Hence,

$$P_k^F = \begin{bmatrix} p_{1,k} & \cdots & p_{1,1} \\ p_{2,k} & \cdots & p_{2,1} \\ \cdots & & \cdots \\ p_{k,k} & \cdots & p_{k,1} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{15}$$

$$p_{i,j}^F = p_{i,k-j+1}, \quad j \leq k.$$

Theorem 4. Let $r_i(P_k^F P_k)$ be the i^{th} row of $P_k^F P_k$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then,

$$(1) \quad r_1(P_k^F P_k) = r_k(P_k) \text{ and } r_k(P_k^F P_k) = r_k(P_k)P_k$$

$$(2) \quad r_i(P_k^F P_k) = r_{i-1}(P_k^F P_k) + (r_{i-1}(P_{k-1}^F P_{k-1}); 0) = r_k(P_k) + \sum_{t=1}^{i-1} (r_t(P_{k-1}^F P_{k-1}); 0)$$

Proof. Clearly, $r_1(P_k^F P_k) = r_1(P_k^F)P_k = (\bar{0}^{k-1}; 1)P_k = r_k(P_k)$ and $r_k(P_k^F P_k) = r_k(P_k^F)P_k = r_k(P_k)P_k$. And, for $1 \leq i < k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} r_i(P_k^F P_k) + (r_i(P_{k-1}^F P_{k-1}); 0) &= (r_i(P_k^F)) + (r_i(P_{k-1}^F); 0)P_k \\ &= r_{i+1}(P_k^F P_k). \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Thus, it follows immediately that

$$\begin{aligned} r_i(P_k^F P_k) &= r_{i-1}(P_k^F P_k) + (r_{i-1}(P_{k-1}^F P_{k-1}); 0) \\ &= r_{i-2}(P_k^F P_k) + (r_{i-2}(P_{k-1}^F P_{k-1}); 0) + (r_{i-1}(P_{k-1}^F P_{k-1}); 0) \\ &= r_{i-3}(P_k^F P_k) + (r_{i-3}(P_{k-1}^F P_{k-1}); 0) + (r_{i-2}(P_{k-1}^F P_{k-1}); 0) + (r_{i-1}(P_{k-1}^F P_{k-1}); 0) \\ &= \cdots = r_1(P_k^F P_k) + (r_1(P_{k-1}^F P_{k-1}); 0) + (r_2(P_{k-1}^F P_{k-1}); 0) + \cdots + (r_{i-1}(P_{k-1}^F P_{k-1}); 0) \\ &= r_k(P_k) + \sum_{t=1}^{i-1} (r_t(P_{k-1}^F P_{k-1}); 0). \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

We now develop some interrelations of the Bell matrix $B = [b_{i,j}]$, square-type Bell matrix $B^* = [b_{i,j}^*]$, and Pascal matrix P .

Theorem 5. Let $c_j(B^*)$ be the j^{th} column of B^* for $i, j \leq k$. Then,

$$(1) \quad r_{k+1}(P) \begin{bmatrix} b_{i,j} \\ b_{i+1,j} \\ \cdots \\ b_{i+k,j} \end{bmatrix} = b_{i+k,j+k}, \text{ so } P \begin{bmatrix} b_{i,j} \\ b_{i+1,j} \\ b_{i+2,j} \\ \cdots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{i,j} \\ b_{i+1,j+1} \\ b_{i+2,j+2} \\ \cdots \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$(2) \quad P_k c_j(B^*) = \begin{bmatrix} b_{j+1,1} \\ b_{j+2,1} \\ \cdots \\ b_{j+k,1} \end{bmatrix}, \text{ so } r_i(P_k) c_j(B^*) = b_{j+i,1}. \tag{18}$$

$$(3) \quad P_{k+1}^F \begin{bmatrix} b_{m,1} \\ b_{m+1,1} \\ \cdots \\ b_{m+k,1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{m+k,1} \\ b_{m+k,2} \\ \cdots \\ b_{m+k,k+1} \end{bmatrix}, \text{ so } r_i(P_{k+1}^F) \begin{bmatrix} b_{m,1} \\ b_{m+1,1} \\ \cdots \\ b_{m+k,1} \end{bmatrix} = b_{m+k,i}.$$

Proof

$$b_{i+1,j+1} = r_2(P) \begin{bmatrix} b_{i,j} \\ b_{i+1,j} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$b_{i+2,j+2} = b_{i,j} + 2b_{i+1,j} + b_{i+2,j} = r_3(P) \begin{bmatrix} b_{i,j} \\ b_{i+1,j} \\ b_{i+2,j} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{19}$$

So if we assume

$$r_k(P) \begin{bmatrix} b_{i,j} \\ b_{i+1,j} \\ \dots \\ b_{i+(k-1),j} \end{bmatrix} = b_{i+(k-1),j+(k-1)}, \tag{20}$$

for some k , then

$$r_{k+1}(P) \begin{bmatrix} b_{i,j} \\ b_{i+1,j} \\ \dots \\ b_{i+k,j} \end{bmatrix} = r_k(P) \begin{bmatrix} b_{i,j} \\ \dots \\ b_{i+(k-1),j} \end{bmatrix} + r_k(P) \begin{bmatrix} b_{i+1,j} \\ \dots \\ b_{i+k,j} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= b_{i+(k-1),j+(k-1)} + b_{i+k,j+(k-1)} = b_{i+k,j+k}, \tag{21}$$

by recurrence (12). Comparing $B^* = [b_{i,j}^*]$ with $B = [b_{i,j}]$, we have

$$b_{i,j}^* = b_{i+j-1,j},$$

$$b_{1,j}^* = b_{j+1,1}, \tag{22}$$

$$b_{i,j}^* = b_{i,j-1}^* + b_{i+1,j-1}^*.$$

Thus, with $c_j(B^*) = \begin{bmatrix} b_{1,j}^* \\ b_{2,j}^* \\ b_{3,j}^* \\ \dots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{j,j} \\ b_{j+1,j} \\ b_{j+2,j} \\ \dots \end{bmatrix}$, (1) implies

$$P_k c_j(B^*) = P_k \begin{bmatrix} b_{j,j} \\ b_{j+1,j} \\ \dots \\ b_{j+k-1,j} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{j,j} \\ b_{j+1,j+1} \\ \dots \\ b_{j+k-1,j+k-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{j+1,1} \\ b_{j+2,1} \\ \dots \\ b_{j+k,1} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{23}$$

Moreover, (1) gives rise to (3) such that

$$P_{k+1}^F \begin{bmatrix} b_{m,1} \\ b_{m+1,1} \\ \dots \\ b_{m+k,1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} & & & & 1 \\ & & & & 1 & 1 \\ & & & & \dots & \dots \\ & & & & 1 & k & \dots & k & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_{m,1} \\ b_{m+1,1} \\ \dots \\ b_{m+k,1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \left(b_{m+k,1}, r_2(P) \begin{bmatrix} b_{m+k-1,1} \\ b_{m+k,1} \end{bmatrix}, r_3(P) \begin{bmatrix} b_{m+k-2,1} \\ b_{m+k-1,1} \\ b_{m+k,1} \end{bmatrix}, \dots, r_{k+1}(P) \begin{bmatrix} b_{m,1} \\ \dots \\ b_{m+k,1} \end{bmatrix} \right)^T$$

$$= (b_{m+k,1}, b_{m+k,2}, b_{m+k,3}, \dots, b_{m+k,k+1})^T. \tag{24}$$

Theorem 6. $b_{i,j}^* = \begin{cases} b_{i,j-1}^* + r_{j-1}(P_{i-1}^F P_{i-1})c_j(B^*), & \text{if } j > 1, \\ r_{i-1}(P)c_1(B^*), & \text{if } j = 1. \end{cases}$

Proof. Clearly, $b_{i,1}^* = b_{i,1} = r_{i-1}(P)c_1(B^*)$ by Theorem 5 (2).

And, observe $b_{3,j}^*$ ($1 < j \leq 3$) from $B_3^* = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 5 \\ 1 & 3 & 10 \\ 2 & 7 & 27 \end{bmatrix}$ such that

$$b_{3,2}^* = 7 = 2 + (1,1)(2,3)^T = b_{3,1}^* + r_1(P_2^F P_2)(b_{1,2}^*, b_{2,2}^*)^T \text{ and}$$

$$b_{3,3}^* = 27 = 7 + (2,1)(5,10)^T = b_{3,2}^* + r_2(P_2^F P_2)(b_{1,3}^*, b_{2,3}^*)^T.$$

□

From $B_4^* = \begin{bmatrix} & & & 15 \\ B_3^* & & & 37 \\ & & & 114 \\ & & & 5, 20, 87 & 409 \end{bmatrix}$, $b_{4,j}^*$ ($1 < j \leq 4$) satisfy $b_{4,2}^* =$

$$20 = 5 + (1,2,1)(2,3,7)^T = b_{4,1}^* + r_1(P_3^F P_3)(b_{1,2}^*, b_{2,2}^*, b_{3,2}^*)^T, \quad b_{4,3}^* =$$

$$87 = 20 + (2,3,1)(5,10,27)^T = b_{4,2}^* + r_2(P_3^F P_3)(b_{1,3}^*, b_{2,3}^*, b_{3,3}^*)^T, \quad \text{and} \quad b_{4,4}^* = 409 = 87 + (4,4,1)$$

$$(15,37,114)^T = b_{4,3}^* + r_3(P_3^F P_3)(b_{1,4}^*, b_{2,4}^*, b_{3,4}^*)^T.$$

Now, for some i , we assume $b_{i,j}^* = b_{i,j-1}^* + r_{j-1}(P_{i-1}^F P_{i-1})c_j(B^*)$ for all $1 < j < i$. Then, $b_{i+1,j}^* = b_{i,j+1}^* - b_{i,j}^*$ equals

$$b_{i+1,j}^* = (b_{i,j}^* + r_j(P_{i-1}^F P_{i-1})c_{j+1}(B^*)) - (b_{i,j-1}^* + r_{j-1}(P_{i-1}^F P_{i-1})c_j(B^*)) = (b_{i,j}^* - b_{i,j-1}^*) + (r_j(P_{i-1}^F P_{i-1})c_{j+1}(B^*) - r_{j-1}(P_{i-1}^F P_{i-1})c_j(B^*)). \tag{25}$$

But, since

$$r_j(P_{i-1}^F P_{i-1})c_{j+1}(B^*) = r_j(P_{i-1}^F)P_{i-1}c_{j+1}(B^*) = r_j(P_{i-1}^F) \begin{bmatrix} b_{j+2,1} \\ b_{j+3,1} \\ \dots \\ b_{j+i,1} \end{bmatrix} = b_{i+j,j},$$

$$r_{j-1}(P_{i-1}^F P_{i-1})c_j(B^*) = b_{i+j-1,j-1},$$

by Theorem 5, we have

$$b_{i+1,j}^* = (b_{i,j}^* - b_{i,j-1}^*) + (b_{i+j,j} - b_{i+j-1,j-1}) = b_{i+1,j-1}^* + b_{i+j,j-1} = b_{i+1,j-1}^* + r_{j-1}(P_i^F) \begin{bmatrix} b_{j,j} \\ b_{j+1,j+1} \\ b_{j+2,j+2} \\ \dots \\ b_{j+i-1,j+i-1} \end{bmatrix} = b_{i+1,j-1}^* + r_{j-1}(P_i^F)P_i c_j(B^*) = b_{i+1,j-1}^* + r_{j-1}(P_i^F P_i)c_j(B^*).$$

4. LU Factorization of the Square-Type Bell Matrix

We are ready to have an LU factorization of $B_k^* = [b_{i,j}^*]$ with diagonal entries.

Theorem 7. $B_k^* = L_k U_k$ ($1 \leq k \leq 5$) where the lower triangular matrix $L_k = \tilde{S}_k P_k$ and the upper triangular matrix U_k has diagonal entries $\{1, 1, 2!, 3!, 4!\}$.

Proof. Let $U_k = [u_{i,j}]$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq k$) be with

$$u_{1,j} = b_{1,j}^*,$$

$$u_{2,j} = b_{2,j}^* - u_{1,j}, \text{ for all } j \geq 1. \tag{28}$$

Then, $B_2^* = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ yields $U_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. And, $B_2^* U_2^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \tilde{S}_2 P_2$ is a lower triangular matrix; denote it by L_2 .

From $B_3^* = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 5 \\ 1 & 3 & 10 \\ 2 & 7 & 27 \end{bmatrix}$, clearly $u_{1,3} = b_{1,3}^*$ and \square

$u_{2,3} = b_{2,3}^* - u_{1,3} = 5$ by (28). Let

$$u_{3,j} = [b_{3,j}^* - r_2(P)(b_{1,j}^*, b_{2,j}^*)^T] - 2u_{2,j}, \text{ for all } j \geq 1. \tag{29}$$

Then, the identity $b_{k+2,1}^* = r_{k+1}(P)c_1(B^*)$ in Theorem 5 (2) implies $b_{3,1}^* = r_2(P)(b_{1,1}^*, b_{2,1}^*)^T$, so $u_{3,1} = 0$ because $u_{2,1} = 0$. Similarly, $u_{3,2} = [b_{3,2}^* - r_2(P)(b_{1,2}^*, b_{2,2}^*)^T] - 2u_{2,2} = 2 - 2 \cdot 1 = 0$, $u_{3,3} = [b_{3,3}^* - r_2(P)(b_{1,3}^*, b_{2,3}^*)^T] - 2u_{2,3} = 12 - 2 \cdot 5 = 2$, so

$$U_3 = \begin{bmatrix} U_2 & u_{1,3} \\ & u_{2,3} \\ u_{3,1}, u_{3,2} & u_{3,3} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 5 \\ & 1 & 5 \\ & & 2 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B_3^* U_3^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \tilde{S}_3 P_3, \tag{30}$$

is a lower triangular matrix and denote it by L_3 .

From $B_4^* = \begin{bmatrix} & & 15 \\ & B_3^* & 37 \\ & & 114 \\ 5, 20, 87 & & 409 \end{bmatrix}$, (28), and (29), we have

$u_{1,4} = b_{1,4}^*$, $u_{2,4} = b_{2,4}^* - u_{1,4} = 22$, and $u_{3,4} = [b_{3,4}^* - r_2(P)(b_{1,4}^*, b_{2,4}^*)^T] - 2u_{2,4} = 18$. Now, let

$$u_{4,j} = [b_{4,j}^* - r_3(P)c_j(B^*)] - (5, 5)(u_{2,j}, u_{3,j})^T, \text{ for all } j \geq 1. \tag{31}$$

Since $b_{4,1}^* = r_3(P)c_1(B^*)$ and $u_{2,1} = u_{3,1} = 0$, we have $u_{4,1} = 0$, $u_{4,2} = [b_{4,2}^* - r_3(P)c_2(B^*)] - (5, 5)(u_{2,2}, u_{3,2})^T = 5 - (5, 5)(1, 0)^T = 0$, $u_{4,3} = [b_{4,3}^* - r_3(P)c_3(B^*)] - (5, 5)(u_{2,3}, u_{3,3})^T = 35 - (5, 5)(5, 2)^T = 0$, and $u_{4,4} = [b_{4,4}^* - r_3(P)c_4(B^*)] - (5, 5)(u_{2,4}, u_{3,4})^T = 6$. Therefore,

$$U_4 = \begin{bmatrix} & & 15 \\ U_3 & & 22 \\ & & 18 \\ \bar{0}^3 & & 6 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B_4^*U_4^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} & & & \\ & L_3 & & 0 \\ & & & \\ 5, 10, 6 & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & 1 & 1 & \\ & 1 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & 1 & \\ & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ & 1 & 3 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \tilde{S}_4P_4. \tag{32}$$

Denote it by L_4 .
From

$$B_5^* = \begin{bmatrix} & & & & 52 \\ & & & & 151 \\ & & B_4^* & & 523 \\ & & & & 2066 \\ 15, 67, 322, 1657 & & & & 9089 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{33}$$

(28), (29), and (31), give $u_{2,5} = b_{2,5}^* - u_{1,5} = 99$, $u_{3,5} = [b_{3,5}^* - r_2(P)c_5(B^*)] - 2u_{2,5} = 122$, and $u_{4,5} = [b_{4,5}^* - r_3(P)c_5(B^*)] - (5, 5)(u_{2,5}, u_{3,5})^T = 84$. Now, let

$$W_{5,j} = [b_{5,j}^* - r_4(P)c_j(B^*)] - 3[b_{4,j}^* - r_3(P)c_j(B^*)], \tag{34}$$

and let $u_{5,j} = W_{5,j} - (7, 6)(u_{3,j}, u_{4,j})^T$ for $j \geq 1$.

Since $u_{3,1} = u_{4,1} = 0$, $b_{4,1}^* = r_3(P)c_1(B^*)$, and $b_{5,1}^* = r_4(P)c_1(B^*)$, we have $u_{5,1} = 0$. Also, $u_{3,2} = u_{4,2} = u_{4,3} = 0$ and $u_{3,3} = 2$ imply $u_{5,2} = W_{5,2} - (7, 6)(u_{3,2}, u_{4,2})^T = 15 - 3 \cdot 5 = 0$, $u_{5,3} = W_{5,3} - (7, 6)(u_{3,3}, u_{4,3})^T = 0 = W_{5,4} - (7, 6)(18, 6)^T = u_{5,4}$, and $u_{5,5} = W_{5,5} - (7, 6)(122, 84)^T = 4!$. Hence,

$$U_5 = \begin{bmatrix} & & & & 52 \\ & & & & U_4 & 99 \\ & & & & & 122 \\ & & & & & 84 \\ \bar{0}^4 & & & & & 4! \end{bmatrix}, \tag{35}$$

$$B_5^*U_5^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} & & & & & \\ & & & & & 0 \\ & & L_4 & & & \\ 15, 37, 31, 10 & & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \tilde{S}_5P_5.$$

Denote it by L_5 . □

Note $u_{j,j} = (j - 1)!$ for $1 \leq j \leq 5$. From (34), we let

$$W_{t,j} = [b_{t,j}^* - r_{t-1}(P)c_j(B^*)] - 3[b_{t-1,j}^* - r_{t-2}(P)c_j(B^*)], \tag{36}$$

for all $j \geq 1$.

Theorem 8. Assume the matrix U_k in Theorem 7 further satisfies $u_{6,j} = W_{6,j} - \Gamma_4(u_{2,j}, \dots, u_{5,j})^T$ and $u_{7,j} = W_{7,j} - \Gamma_5(u_{2,j}, \dots, u_{6,j})^T$ with row matrices $\Gamma_4 = (7, 33, 34, 11)$ and $\Gamma_5 = (47, 174, 202, 93, 17)$. Then, for $1 \leq k \leq 7$, U_k is an upper triangular matrix having $u_{k,k} = (k - 1)!$ and $L_k = B_k^*U_k^{-1}$ is a lower triangular matrix such that $L_k = \tilde{S}_kP_k$.

Proof. From

$$B_6^* = \begin{bmatrix} & & & & & & 203 \\ & & & & & & 674 \\ & & & & & & B_5^* & 2589 \\ & & & & & & & 11155 \\ & & & & & & & 52922 \\ 52, 255, 1335, 7432, 43833 & & & & & & & 272947 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{37}$$

(28), ..., (34), show $u_{1,6} = b_{1,6}^*$, $u_{2,6} = 471$, $u_{3,6} = 770$, $u_{4,6} = 810$, and $u_{5,6} = 480$. Let

$$u_{6,j} = W_{6,j} - (t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4)(u_{2,j}, u_{3,j}, u_{4,j}, u_{5,j})^T, \tag{38}$$

for some $t_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Note from Theorem 5 that $u_{2,1} = u_{3,1} = u_{4,1} = u_{5,1} = 0$, $b_{5,1}^* = r_4(P)c_1(B^*)$, and $b_{6,1}^* = r_5(P)c_1(B^*)$. Thus, $u_{6,1} = 0$ by (38). And, we also observe $u_{6,2} = W_{6,2} - (t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4)(u_{2,2}, \dots, u_{5,2})^T = 52 - 3 \cdot 15 - (t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4)(1; \bar{0}^3)^T$, so $u_{6,2} = 0$ if $t_1 = 7$. Similarly, since $W_{6,3} = 458 - 3 \cdot 119$, $W_{6,4} = 3292 - 3 \cdot 780$, and $W_{6,5} = 22686 - 3 \cdot 4949$ from (36), in order to be $u_{6,3}, u_{6,4}$, and $u_{6,5}$ all zeros, the identities

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_{6,3} &= W_{6,3} - (t_1, \dots, t_4)(u_{2,3}, \dots, u_{5,3})^T = 101 - (7, t_2, t_3, t_4)(5, 2; \bar{0}^2)^T, \\
 u_{6,4} &= W_{6,4} - (t_1, \dots, t_4)(u_{2,4}, \dots, u_{5,4})^T = 952 - (7, t_2, t_3, t_4)(22, 18, 6, 0)^T, \\
 u_{6,5} &= W_{6,5} - (t_1, \dots, t_4)(u_{2,5}, \dots, u_{5,5})^T = 7839 - (7, t_2, t_3, t_4)(99, 122, 84, 24)^T,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{39}$$

yield $t_2 = 33$, $t_3 = 34$, and $t_4 = 11$. Thus, with $W_{6,6} = 156972 - 3 \cdot 31775$ in (36), we have $u_{6,6} = W_{6,6} - (7, 33, 34, 11)(u_{2,6}, \dots, u_{5,6})^T = 5!$.

Hence,

$$U_6 = \begin{bmatrix} 203 \\ 471 \\ U_5 \ 770 \\ 810 \\ 480 \\ \bar{0}^5 \ 5! \end{bmatrix}, \tag{40}$$

$$L_6 = B_6^* U_6^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} & L_5 & 0 \\ 52, 151, 160, 75, 15 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \tilde{S}_6 P_6.$$

Similarly, from

$$B_7^* = \begin{bmatrix} & & 877 \\ & & 3263 \\ & B_6^* & 13744 \\ & & 64077 \\ & & 325869 \\ & & 1788850 \\ 203, 1080, 6097, 36401, 229114, 1515903 & 10515147 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{41}$$

we get $(u_{1,7}, \dots, u_{6,7}) = (877, 2386, 4832, 6840, 6240, 3240)$ by (28), ..., (38). Now, let

$$u_{7,j} = W_{7,j} - (t_1, \dots, t_5)(u_{2,j}, \dots, u_{6,j})^T, \quad \text{for some } t_i \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{42}$$

Since $u_{i,1} = 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq 6$), $b_{7,1}^* = r_6(P)c_1(B^*)$, and $b_{6,1}^* = r_5(P)c_1(B^*)$, we also have $u_{7,1} = 0$. In order to get $u_{7,j} = 0$ ($2 \leq j \leq 6$), the integers t_i ($1 \leq i \leq 5$) are determined as follows: Note that $W_{7,2} = 203 - 3 \cdot 52$ from (36), so $0 = u_{7,2} = W_{7,2} - (t_1, \dots, t_5)(1; \bar{0}^4)^T$ implies $t_1 = 47$.

Analogously, with $W_{7,3} = 1957 - 3 \cdot 458$, $W_{7,4} = 15254 - 3 \cdot 3292$, $W_{7,5} = 113139 - 3 \cdot 22686$, and $W_{7,6} = 837333 - 3 \cdot 156972$ from (36), we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_{7,3} &= W_{7,3} - (47, 174, t_3, t_4, t_5)(5, 2; \bar{0}^3)^T = 0 \\
 u_{7,4} &= W_{7,4} - (47, 174, 202, t_4, t_5)(22, 18, 6; \bar{0}^2)^T = 0 \\
 u_{7,5} &= W_{7,5} - (47, 174, 202, 93, t_5)(99, 122, 84, 24, 0)^T = 0 \\
 u_{7,6} &= W_{7,6} - (47, 174, 202, 93, 17)(471, 770, 810, 480, 120)^T = 0.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{43}$$

Thus, with $(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5) = (47, 174, 202, 93, 17)$ and $W_{7,7} = 6301550 - 3 \cdot 1110280$ from (36), we have

$u_{7,7} = W_{7,7} - (t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5)(u_{2,7}, u_{3,7}, \dots, u_{6,7})^T = 6!$. Therefore,

$$M_7 = [m_{i,t}] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & & & \\ -1 & 1 & & & & & \\ 1 & -3 & 1 & & & & \\ -1 & 8 & -6 & 1 & & & \\ 1 & -24 & 29 & -10 & 1 & & \\ -1 & 89 & -145 & 75 & -15 & 1 & \\ 1 & -415 & 814 & -545 & 160 & -21 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{48}$$

Then,

$$U_7 = M_7 B_7^* = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 5 & 15 & 52 & 203 & 877 \\ & 1 & 5 & 22 & 99 & 471 & 2386 \\ & & 2 & 18 & 122 & 770 & 4832 \\ & & & 6 & 84 & 810 & 6840 \\ & & & & 24 & 480 & 6240 \\ & & & & & 120 & 3240 \\ & & & & & & 720 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{49}$$

gives an LU factorization $B_7^* = M_7^{-1}U_7$, where

$$L_7 = M_7^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & & & \\ 1 & 1 & & & & & \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & & & & \\ 5 & 10 & 6 & 1 & & & \\ 15 & 37 & 31 & 10 & 1 & & \\ 52 & 151 & 160 & 75 & 15 & 1 & \\ 203 & 674 & 856 & 520 & 155 & 21 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \tilde{S}_7 P_7. \tag{50}$$

Observe that the matrix $M_7 = [m_{i,t}]$ is the exponential Riordan array (without signs) (refer to [7]) satisfying a recurrence rule

$$m_{i,t} = (m_{i-1,t+1}, m_{i,t+1}, m_{i+1,t+1})(i-1, i, 1)^T. \tag{51}$$

M is also known as the coefficients of the Charlier polynomial [8], and we may refer Table 3 in [9] for $M^{-1} = L$.

Theorem 10. Let $M = [m_{i,t}]$ be a matrix satisfying recurrence (51) with $m_{i,1} = (-1)^{i-1}$ and $M_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ -1 & 1 & \\ 1 & -3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Then,

the diagonal entries of the upper triangular matrix $U_k = M_k B_k^*$ are $(k-1)!$ for all $k > 1$.

Proof. Let $U = [u_{i,j}]$. Then, $U_7 = M_7 B_7^*$ has diagonal entries $u_{i,i}$ ($1 \leq i \leq 7$) as $1, 1, 2!, \dots, 6!$ due to Theorem 9. We note the following identities.

Since $U = MB^*$ is an upper triangular matrix, we have

$$r_i(M)c_j(B^*) = u_{i,j} = 0, \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq j < i. \tag{52}$$

Recurrence (51) gives

$$m_{i,t} = m_{i-1,t-1} - (i-2)m_{i-2,t} - (i-1)m_{i-1,t}, \tag{53}$$

over M . Hence, with (52), the i th row $r_i(M) = (m_{i,1}, \dots, m_{i,i})$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} r_i(M) &= (0, m_{i-1,1}, \dots, m_{i-1,i-1}) - (i-2)(m_{i-2,1}, \dots, m_{i-2,i-2}, 0, 0) - (i-1)(m_{i-1,1}, \dots, m_{i-1,i-1}, 0) \\ &= (0; r_{i-1}(M)) - (i-2)(r_{i-2}(M); \vec{0}^2) - (i-1)(r_{i-1}(M); 0). \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

On the other hand, by (22), the i th column $c_i(B^*)$ of B^* satisfies

$$c_i(B^*) = \begin{bmatrix} b_{1,i}^* \\ b_{2,i}^* \\ b_{3,i}^* \\ \dots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{1,i-1}^* + b_{2,i-1}^* \\ b_{2,i-1}^* + b_{3,i-1}^* \\ b_{3,i-1}^* + b_{4,i-1}^* \\ \dots \end{bmatrix} = c_{i-1}(B^*) + \begin{bmatrix} b_{2,i-1}^* \\ b_{3,i-1}^* \\ b_{4,i-1}^* \\ \dots \end{bmatrix}. \tag{55}$$

Thus, (52) and (55) together show

$$\begin{aligned} r_i(M) \begin{bmatrix} b_{2,i-1}^* \\ b_{3,i-1}^* \\ \dots \\ b_{i+1,i-1}^* \end{bmatrix} &= r_i(M)c_i(B^*) - r_i(M)c_{i-1}(B^*) \\ &= u_{i,i} - u_{i,i-1} = u_{i,i}, \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

and similarly,

$$r_i(M) \begin{bmatrix} b_{2,i}^* \\ b_{3,i}^* \\ \dots \\ b_{i,i}^* \end{bmatrix} = r_i(M) (c_{i+1}(B^*) - c_i(B^*)) = u_{i,i+1} - u_{i,i}. \tag{57}$$

so we have $(u_{i-1,i} - u_{i-1,i-1}) - (i-2)u_{i-2,i-1} = (i-1)u_{i-1,i-1}$.
 Hence, if we assume $u_{k,k} = (k-1)!$ for all $k \leq i$, then $(u_{i-1,i} - u_{i-1,i-1}) - (i-2)u_{i-2,i-1} = (i-1)! = u_{i,i}$, so the induction hypothesis with (58) yields

Moreover, by (28), (55), and (56), $u_{i,i} = r_i(M)c_i(B^*)$ equals

$$\begin{aligned} u_{i,i} &= (0; r_{i-1}(M))c_{i-1}(B^*) - (i-2)r_{i-2}(M)c_{i-1}(B^*) \\ &\quad - (i-1)r_{i-1}(M)c_{i-1}(B^*) + r_i(M) \begin{bmatrix} b_{2,i-1}^* \\ b_{3,i-1}^* \\ \dots \\ b_{i+1,i-1}^* \end{bmatrix} \\ &= (u_{i-1,i} - u_{i-1,i-1}) - (i-2)u_{i-2,i-1} - (i-1)u_{i-1,i-1} + u_{i,i}, \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

$$\begin{aligned} u_{i+1,i+1} &= r_{i+1}(M)c_{i+1}(B^*) \\ &= (0; r_i(M))c_i(B^*) - (i-1)(r_{i-1}(M); \bar{0}^2)c_i(B^*) - i(r_i(M); 0)c_i(B^*) + r_{i+1}(M) \begin{bmatrix} b_{2,i}^* \\ b_{3,i}^* \\ b_{4,i}^* \\ \dots \end{bmatrix} \\ &= (0; r_i(M))c_i(B^*) - (i-1)r_{i-1}(M)c_i(B^*) - ir_i(M)c_i(B^*) + r_{i+1}(M) \begin{bmatrix} b_{2,i}^* \\ b_{3,i}^* \\ b_{4,i}^* \\ \dots \end{bmatrix} \\ &= i! - (i-1)! + i! = i!. \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

Theorem 11. The lower triangular matrix $L_k = [l_{i,j}] = \tilde{S}_k P_k$ in Theorem 8 satisfies $l_{i+1,j+1} = (l_{i,j}, l_{i,j+1}, l_{i,j+2})(1, j+1, j+1)^T$.

Proof. Since $L_k = \tilde{S}_k P_k$, for any $1 \leq i, j \leq k$, Theorem 3 shows □

$$\begin{aligned} l_{i+1,j+1} &= r_{i+1}(\tilde{S})c_{j+1}(P) = ((0; r_i(\tilde{S})) + r_i(\tilde{S})\text{di}[0, 1, \dots, i-1])((0; c_j(P)) + (0; c_{j+1}(P))) \\ &= (0; r_i(\tilde{S}))(0; c_j(P)) + (0; r_i(\tilde{S}))(0; c_{j+1}(P)) \\ &\quad + (r_i(\tilde{S})\text{di}[0, \dots, i-1])(0; c_j(P)) + (r_i(\tilde{S})\text{di}[0, \dots, i-1])(0; c_{j+1}(P)) \\ &= l_{i,j} + l_{i,j+1} + r_i(\tilde{S})(\text{di}[0, 1, \dots](0; c_j(P))) + r_i(\tilde{S})(\text{di}[0, 1, \dots](0; c_{j+1}(P))) \\ &= l_{i,j} + l_{i,j+1} + jr_i(\tilde{S})c_{j+1}(P) + (j+1)r_i(\tilde{S})c_{j+2}(P) \\ &= l_{i,j} + l_{i,j+1} + jl_{i,j+1} + (j+1)l_{i,j+2} = (l_{i,j}, l_{i,j+1}, l_{i,j+2})(1, j+1, j+1)^T. \end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

□

