

Research Article

Fixed Point Theorems for Ćirić Type \mathcal{Z} -Contractions in Generating Spaces of Quasi-Metric Family

Seong-Hoon Cho 

Department of Mathematics, Hanseo University, Chungnam 356-706, Republic of Korea

Correspondence should be addressed to Seong-Hoon Cho; shcho@hanseo.ac.kr

Received 21 May 2019; Revised 21 August 2019; Accepted 3 September 2019; Published 31 December 2019

Academic Editor: Alberto Fiorenza

Copyright © 2019 Seong-Hoon Cho. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In this paper, the notions of Ćirić type (I) \mathcal{Z} -contractions and Ćirić type (II) \mathcal{Z} -contractions in generating spaces of quasi-metric family are introduced and new fixed point theorems for such two contractions are established. We give examples to illustrate main results.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The Banach contraction principle forms the basis of metric fixed point theory. Because its importance, many authors generalized this contraction principle by generalizing the certain contraction conditions.

Especially, Ćirić [1] proved a result on nonunique fixed points as follows:

If a map $T : (X, d) \rightarrow (X, d)$, where (X, d) is a metric space, satisfies

$$\min\{d(Tx, Ty), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty)\} - \min\{d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\} \leq kd(x, y), \quad (1)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $k \in (0, 1)$, then T has a fixed point whenever (X, d) is T -orbitally complete.

Also, Ćirić [2] obtained the following result:

If a map $T : (X, d) \rightarrow (X, d)$ satisfies the following condition

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq k \max\left\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \frac{1}{2}[d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)]\right\}, \quad (2)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $k \in (0, 1)$, then T has a unique fixed point provided that (X, d) is T -orbitally complete.

Recently, Khojasteh et al. [3] presented the notion of \mathcal{Z} -contraction by using a simulation function and obtained the following result:

If a map $T : (X, d) \rightarrow (X, d)$ is \mathcal{Z} -contraction with respect to a simulation function ζ , that is,

$$\zeta(d(Tx, Ty), d(x, y)) \geq 0, \quad (3)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, then T has a unique fixed point when (X, d) is complete.

They unified the some existing metric fixed point results. Afterwards, many authors (for example, [4-8]) obtained generalizations of the result of [3].

Also, a lot of authors generalized the Banach contraction principle by introducing the concepts of generalized metrics, for example, Branciari metric, b-metric, quasi-metric, semi-metric, G-metric, cone metric, fuzzy metric, Menger Probabilistic metric.

In particular, Chang et al. [9, 10] introduced the concept of a generating space of a quasi-metric family and gave some examples and properties of generating space of a quasi-metric family, and they obtained some fixed point and minimization results in such spaces.

In this paper, we introduce the concepts of Ćirić type (I) \mathcal{Z} -contraction maps and Ćirić type (II) \mathcal{Z} -contraction maps in generating spaces of quasi-metric family, and we establish new fixed point theorems for such two contraction maps.

Let X be a nonempty set and $\{d_a : a \in (0, 1]\}$ be a family of mappings $d_a : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty) \forall a \in (0, 1]$.

Then $(X, \{d_a : a \in (0, 1]\})$ is called a generating space of quasi-metric family [9, 10] if the following are satisfied:

- (QM1) $\forall a \in (0, 1], d_a(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
 (QM2) $\forall a \in (0, 1], d_a(x, y) = d_a(y, x)$;
 (QM3) $\forall a \in (0, 1], \exists b \in (0, a]$ such that $d_a(x, z) \leq d_b(x, y) + d_b(y, z)$;
 (QM4) $\forall x, y \in X, d_a(x, y)$ is nonincreasing and left continuous in a .

It follows from (QM3) and (QM4) that $\forall a \in (0, 1], \exists b \in (0, a]$ such that

$$d_a(x, z) \leq d_c(x, y) + d_c(y, z) \quad \forall c \in (0, b]. \quad (4)$$

Example 1. Let (X, d) be a metric space, and let $d_a(x, y) = d(x, y) \forall a \in (0, 1], \forall x, y \in X$.

Then (X, d) is a generating space of quasi-metric family.

Example 2. Let $X = \mathbb{R}$, and let $d_a(x, y) = (1/a)|x - y| \forall a \in (0, 1], \forall x, y \in X$.

Then $(X, \{d_a : a \in (0, 1]\})$ is a generating space of quasi-metric family.

Example 3. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, and let $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a map such that

$$\begin{aligned} d(a, b) &= d(b, a) = 3, \\ d(b, c) &= d(c, b) = d(a, c) = d(c, a) = 1, \\ d(a, d) &= d(d, a) = d(b, d) = d(d, b) = d(c, d) = d(d, c) = 4, \\ d(x, x) &= 0 \quad \forall x \in X. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Let $d_a(x, y) = (1/a)d(x, y) \forall a \in (0, 1], \forall x, y \in X$.

Then $(X, \{d_a : a \in (0, 1]\})$ is a generating space of quasi-metric family.

Note that d is not a metric on X . In fact, the following inequality is not satisfied:

$$d(a, b) \leq d(a, c) + d(c, b). \quad (6)$$

Let $(X, \{d_a : a \in (0, 1]\})$ be a generating space of quasi-metric family, $\{x_n\} \subset X$ be a sequence, and $x \in X$.

Then we say that

- (1) $\{x_n\}$ converges to x (denoted by $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$) if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_a(x_n, x) = 0 \forall a \in (0, 1]$;
- (2) $\{x_n\}$ is a *Cauchy sequence* if and only if $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\forall n, m > n_0, d_a(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon \quad \forall a \in (0, 1]; \quad (7)$$

- (3) $(X, \{d_a : a \in (0, 1]\})$ is *complete* if and only if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent;
- (4) $T : X \rightarrow X$ is *continuous* at x if and only if $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$ such that $\forall a \in (0, 1], d_a(Tx, Ty) < \epsilon$, whenever $d_a(x, y) < \delta$;
- (5) $T : X \rightarrow X$ is *continuous* if and only if it is continuous at each point in X .

Remark 4. Every generating space $(X, \{d_a : a \in (0, 1]\})$ of quasi-metric family is a Hausdorff space in the topology $\tau_{\{d_a\}}$ induced by the family of neighborhoods:

$$\{V_x(\epsilon, a) : x \in X, \epsilon > 0, a \in (0, 1]\}, \quad (8)$$

where $V_x(\epsilon, a) = \{y \in X : d_a(x, y) < \epsilon\}$ (see [11]).

Thus, every convergent sequence in generating spaces of quasi-metric family has a unique limit.

Note that every convergent sequence is a Cauchy sequence.

Also, note that $T : X \rightarrow X$ is continuous at x if and only if

$$\forall a \in (0, 1], \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_a(Tx_n, Tx) = 0 \text{ whenever } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_a(x_n, x) = 0. \quad (9)$$

Let $(X, \{d_a : a \in (0, 1]\})$ be a generating space of quasi-metric family, and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping.

Then we say that

- (1) T is *T-orbitally continuous* if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \forall a \in (0, 1], \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d_a(T^{n(i)}x, x) &= 0 \\ \text{implies } \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d_a(TT^{n(i)}x, Tx) &= 0, \quad \forall x \in X. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

- (2) $(X, \{d_a : a \in (0, 1]\})$ is *T-orbitally complete* if and only if every Cauchy sequence of the form $\{x_{n(i)} = T^{n(i)}x : i = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}, x \in X$ is convergent in X .

Remark 5. Let $(X, \{d_a : a \in (0, 1]\})$ be a generating space of quasi-metric family, and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping.

- (1) if $T : X \rightarrow X$ is continuous, then it is *T-orbitally continuous*;
- (2) if $(X, \{d_a : a \in (0, 1]\})$ complete, then it is *T-orbitally complete*.

Remark 6. A generating space of quasi-metric family induce a fuzzy metric space and Menger probabilistic metric space (see [12] and [13], respectively).

Let \mathcal{Z} be the family of all mappings $\zeta : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

- (ζ 1) $\zeta(0, 0) = 0$;
- (ζ 2) $\zeta(t, s) < s - t \forall s, t > 0$;
- (ζ 3) for any sequence $\{t_n\}, \{s_n\} \subset [0, \infty)$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \zeta(t_n, s_n) < 0, \text{ whenever } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n > 0. \quad (11)$$

We say that $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ is a *simulation function* [9].

Note that for all $t > 0, \zeta(t, t) < 0$.

Example 7. Let $\zeta_i : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty), i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8$, be functions defined as follows:

- (1) $\zeta_1(t, s) = \phi_1(s) - \phi_2(t) \forall t, s \geq 0$, where $\phi_1, \phi_2 : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ are continuous functions such that

$$\phi_1^{-1}(\{0\}) = 0, \phi_2^{-1}(\{0\}) = 0 \text{ and } \phi_2(t) < t \leq \phi_1(t) \forall t > 0; \quad (12)$$

- (2) $\zeta_2(t, s) = s - (f(t, s)/g(t, s))t \forall t, s \geq 0$, where $f, g : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ are continuous functions with respect to each variables such that

$$f(t, s) > g(t, s) \forall t, s > 0; \quad (13)$$

- (3) $\zeta_3(t, s) = s - \phi(s) - t \forall t, s \geq 0$, where $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a lower semi-continuous function with $\phi^{-1}(\{0\}) = 0$;

- (4) $\zeta_4(t, s) = s\varphi(s) - t \forall t, s \geq 0$ where $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a function such that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow r^+} \varphi(t) < 1 \forall r > 0; \quad (14)$$

- (5) $\zeta_5(t, s) = \psi(s) - t \forall t, s \geq 0$ where $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is an upper semicontinuous function such that

$$\psi(t) < t \forall t > 0 \text{ and } \psi(0) = 0; \quad (15)$$

- (6) $\zeta_6(t, s) = ks - t \forall t, s \geq 0$, where $k \in (0, 1]$;

- (7) $\zeta_7(t, s) = s - \int_0^t v(u)du \forall s, t \geq 0$, where $v : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a function such that

$$\int_0^\epsilon v(u)du > \epsilon \forall \epsilon > 0; \quad (16)$$

- (8) $\zeta_8(t, s) = \frac{s}{1+s} - t \forall s, t \geq 0$.

Then $\zeta_i \in \mathcal{Z}$, $\forall i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8$.

For more examples of simulation functions, we can find in [8, 9, 11, 12].

Lemma 8. Let $(X, \{d_a : a \in (0, 1]\})$ be a generating space of quasi-metric family. Suppose that $\{x_n\} \subset X$ is not a Cauchy sequence.

Then there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ for which we can find two subsequences $\{x_{m(k)}\}$ and $\{x_{n(k)}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $m(k)$ is the smallest index with $m(k) > n(k) > k$

$$d_a(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) \geq \epsilon, \text{ where } a \in (0, 1] \text{ and } d_s(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}) < \epsilon \forall s \in (0, 1]. \quad (17)$$

Further if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_s(x_{n-1}, x_n) = 0 \forall s \in (0, 1], \quad (18)$$

then we have

- (1) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_c(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) = \epsilon \forall c \in (0, a]$;
- (2) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_b(x_{m(k)+1}, x_{n(k)}) = \epsilon$, where $b \in (0, a]$;
- (3) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_b(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)+1}) = \epsilon$, where $b \in (0, a]$;
- (4) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_b(x_{m(k)+1}, x_{n(k)+1}) = \epsilon$, where $b \in (0, a]$;

Proof. If $\{x_n\} \subset X$ is not a Cauchy sequence, then from definition (17) holds.

Suppose that (18) is satisfied.

Then from (17) we have that for each $c \in (0, a]$

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &\leq d_a(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) \leq d_c(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) \\ &\leq d_b(x_{m(k)}, x_{m(k)-1}) + d_b(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}) \\ &< d_b(x_{m(k)}, x_{m(k)-1}) + \epsilon, \text{ for some } b \in (0, c]. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

By taking $k \rightarrow \infty$ in above inequality

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d_c(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) = \epsilon \forall c \in (0, a]. \quad (20)$$

Hence (1) is proved.

We show that (2) holds.

It follows from (QM3) and (QM4) that for $a \in (0, 1], \exists b \in (0, a]$ such that $\forall c \in (0, b]$,

$$d_a(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) \leq d_c(x_{m(k)}, x_{m(k)+1}) + d_c(x_{m(k)+1}, x_{n(k)}), \quad (21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_c(x_{m(k)+1}, x_{n(k)}) &\leq d_e(x_{m(k)+1}, x_{m(k)}) \\ &+ d_e(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) \text{ for some } e \in (0, c], \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in Equations (21 and 22), and using Equations (18 and 19) we obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d_c(x_{m(k)+1}, x_{n(k)}) = \epsilon \forall c \in (0, b]. \quad (23)$$

In particular, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d_b(x_{m(k)+1}, x_{n(k)}) = \epsilon, \text{ where } b \in (0, a]. \quad (24)$$

Thus proof of Equation (2) is complete.

In similar with the proof of Equation (2), we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d_c(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)+1}) = \epsilon \forall c \in (0, b], \quad (25)$$

and so

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d_b(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)+1}) = \epsilon, \text{ where } b \in (0, a]. \quad (26)$$

We now show that Equation (4) holds.

For $a, \exists b \in (0, a]$ such that $\forall c \in (0, b]$,

$$d_a(x_{m(k)+1}, x_{n(k)}) \leq d_c(x_{m(k)+1}, x_{n(k)+1}) + d_c(x_{n(k)+1}, x_{n(k)}), \quad (27)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d_c(x_{m(k)+1}, x_{n(k)+1}) &\leq d_e(x_{m(k)+1}, x_{m(k)}) \\ &+ d_e(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)+1}) \forall e \in (0, c]. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

By taking $k \rightarrow \infty$ in Equations (17) and (29), and using Equations (18), (23) and (25) we obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d_c(x_{m(k)+1}, x_{n(k)+1}) = \epsilon \forall c \in (0, b], \quad (29)$$

and so

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d_b(x_{m(k)+1}, x_{n(k)+1}) = \epsilon, \text{ where } b \in (0, a]. \quad (30)$$

Hence (4) is proved.

Lemma 9. Let $(X, \{d_a : a \in (0, 1]\})$ be a generating space of quasi-metric family. Suppose that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_a(x_n, x) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_a(y_n, y) = 0 \quad \forall a \in (0, 1]. \quad (31)$$

Then we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_a(x_n, y_n) = d_a(x, y) \quad \forall a \in (0, 1]. \quad (32)$$

Proof. Since

$$d_a(x_n, y_n) \leq d_b(x_n, x) + d_b(x, y_n), \quad b \in (0, a], \quad (33)$$

$$d_a(x, y) \leq d_c(x, y_n) + d_c(y_n, y), \quad c \in (0, a], \quad (34)$$

we have

$$d_a(x_n, y_n) \leq d_b(x_n, x) + d_b(x, y_n) \leq d_b(x_n, x) + d_{bc}(x, y_n), \quad (35)$$

$$d_a(x, y) \leq d_c(x, y_n) + d_c(y_n, y) \leq d_{bc}(x, y_n) + d_c(y_n, y), \quad (36)$$

where $d_{bc}(y_n, y) = \max\{d_b(y_n, y), d_c(y_n, y)\}$ and $d_{bc}(x, y_n) = \max\{d_b(x, y_n), d_c(x, y_n)\}$.

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq |d_a(x_n, y_n) - d_a(x, y)| &= |d_a(x_n, y_n) - d_{bc}(x, y_n) \\ &+ d_{bc}(x, y_n) - d_a(x, y)| \leq |d_a(x_n, y_n) - d_{bc}(x, y_n)| \\ &+ |d_{bc}(x, y_n) - d_a(x, y)| \leq d_b(x_n, x) + d_c(y_n, y) \\ &\leq d_e(x_n, x) + d_e(y_n, y) \text{ where } 0 < e < \min\{b, c\}. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

By taking $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_a(x_n, y_n) = d_a(x, y) \quad \forall a \in (0, 1]. \quad (38)$$

□

2. Fixed Point Theorems

2.1. Nonunique Fixed Point Results. Let $(X, \{d_a : a \in (0, 1]\})$ be a generating space of quasi-metric family.

A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is called Ćirić type (I) \mathcal{Z} -contraction with respect to $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ if

$$\zeta(m(a, x, y), d_a(x, y)) \geq 0, \quad \forall a \in (0, 1], \quad (39)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $m(a, x, y) = d_a(Tx, Ty)$

$$- \min\{d_a(x, Ty), d_a(y, Tx), d_a(x, Tx), d_a(y, Ty)\}. \quad (40)$$

Now, we prove our first fixed point result.

Theorem 10. Let $(X, \{d_a : a \in (0, 1]\})$ be a generating space of quasi-metric family, and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a Ćirić type (I) \mathcal{Z} -contraction mapping with respect to ζ . If X is T -orbitally complete, then T has a fixed point.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ be any fixed point. Define a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ by $x_n = Tx_{n-1} = T^n x_0 \quad \forall n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

If $x_{n_0} = x_{n_0+1}$ for some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, then x_{n_0} is a fixed point of T , and the proof is finished.

Assume that $x_{n-1} \neq x_n \quad \forall n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

We infer that $\forall n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} m(a, x_{n-1}, x_n) &= d_a(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) - \min\{d_a(x_{n-1}, Tx_n), \\ &d_a(x_n, Tx_{n-1}), d_a(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}), d_a(x_n, Tx_n)\} \\ &= d_a(x_n, x_{n+1}) - \min\{d_a(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}), d_a(x_n, x_n), \\ &d_a(x_{n-1}, x_n), d_a(x_n, x_{n+1})\} = d_a(x_n, x_{n+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

It follows from (41) and (42) that $\forall a \in (0, 1], \quad \forall n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \zeta(m(a, x_{n-1}, x_n), d_a(x_{n-1}, x_n)) \\ &= \zeta(d_a(x_n, x_{n+1}), d_a(x_{n-1}, x_n)) \\ &< d_a(x_{n-1}, x_n) - d_a(x_n, x_{n+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Consequently, we obtain that $\forall a \in (0, 1], \quad \forall n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$$d_a(x_n, x_{n+1}) < d_a(x_{n-1}, x_n). \quad (43)$$

Since $\{d_a(x_{n-1}, x_n)\}$ is a decreasing sequence bounded from below by 0, there exists $r \geq 0$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_a(x_{n-1}, x_n) = r, \quad \forall a \in (0, 1]. \quad (44)$$

We now show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_a(x_{n-1}, x_n) = 0, \quad \forall a \in (0, 1]$.

On the contrary, assume that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_a(x_{n-1}, x_n) = r > 0, \text{ where } a \in (0, 1]. \quad (45)$$

Let $t_n := m(a, x_{n-1}, x_n)$ and $s_n := d_a(x_{n-1}, x_n), a \in (0, 1], \quad \forall n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = r > 0. \quad (46)$$

From condition (ζ_3) we have

$$0 \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \zeta(t_n, s_n) < 0, \quad (47)$$

which is a contradiction. Hence we have $r = 0$, and hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_a(x_n, x_{n-1}) = 0, \quad \forall a \in (0, 1]. \quad (48)$$

We now show that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence.

By Lemma 8, there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and two subsequences $\{x_{n(k)}\}, \{x_{m(k)}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ satisfying (17).

Since (49) holds, from Lemma 8 we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_b(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) &= \varepsilon, \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_b(x_{m(k)+1}, x_{n(k)}) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_b(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)+1}) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_b(x_{m(k)+1}, x_{n(k)+1}) \\ &= \varepsilon \text{ where } b \in (0, a) \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} t_k &= m(b, x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) = d_b(x_{m(k)+1}, x_{n(k)+1}) \\ &- \min\{d_b(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)+1}), d_b(x_{m(k)+1}, x_{n(k)}), \\ &d_b(x_{m(k)}, x_{m(k)+1}), d_b(x_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)+1})\}, s_k = d_b(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}). \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

Then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_k = \varepsilon > 0. \tag{51}$$

It follows from (ξ3) that

$$0 \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \zeta(t_k, s_k) < 0, \tag{52}$$

which is a contradiction.

Thus $\{x_n = T^n x_0\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. It follows from T -orbitally completeness of X that there exists $x_* \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_a(x_n, x_*) = 0, \forall a \in (0, 1). \tag{53}$$

From Lemma 9

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_a(x_n, Tx_*) = d_a(x_*, Tx_*), \forall a \in (0, 1]. \tag{54}$$

Thus it follows from (40) that

$$0 \leq \zeta(m(a, x_n, x_*), d_a(x_n, x_*)) < d_a(x_n, x_*) - m(a, x_n, x_*), \tag{55}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} m(a, x_n, x_*) &= d_a(Tx_n, Tx_*) - \min\{d_a(x_n, Tx_*), \\ &\quad d_a(x_*, Tx_n), d_a(x_n, Tx_n), d_a(x_*, Tx_*)\} \\ &= d_a(x_{n+1}, Tx_*) - \min\{d_a(x_n, Tx_*), \\ &\quad d_a(x_*, x_{n+1}), d_a(x_n, x_{n+1}), d_a(x_*, Tx_*)\}. \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

Hence

$$m(a, x_n, x_*) < d_a(x_n, x_*). \tag{57}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in above inequality, and using Equations (49), (54) and (55), we have

$$d_a(x_*, Tx_*) = 0 \forall a \in (0, 1]. \tag{58}$$

Thus $x_* = Tx_*$.

Example 11. Let $X = [0, \infty)$ with $d_a(x, y) = (1/a)|x - y| \forall a \in (0, 1], \forall x, y \in X$.

Then $(X, \{d_a : a \in (0, 1]\})$ is a generating space of quasi-metric family.

Define a mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ as follows:

$$Tx = \frac{1}{8}x. \tag{59}$$

Let $\zeta(t, s) = \phi(s) - \psi(t)$, where

$$\psi(t) = 2t \text{ and } \phi(t) = \frac{1}{4}t \forall t \geq 0. \tag{60}$$

Then $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$.

We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(m(a, x, y), d_a(x, y)) &= \phi(d_a(x, y)) - \psi(m(a, x, y)) \\ &= \phi\left(\frac{1}{a}|x - y|\right) - \psi\left(\frac{1}{8a}|x - y| - \min\{d_a(x, Tx), \right. \\ &\quad d_a(y, Ty), d_a(x, Ty), d_a(y, Tx)\}) \\ &= 2 \min\{d_a(x, Tx), \\ &\quad d_a(y, Ty), d_a(x, Ty), d_a(y, Tx)\} \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

Thus T is a Ćirić type (I) \mathcal{Z} -contraction with respect to ζ .

All conditions of Theorem 10 are satisfied. Hence, from Theorem 10 T has a fixed point, $x_* = 0$.

Corollary 12. Let (X, d) be a metric space, and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that

$$\zeta(m(x, y), d(x, y)) \geq 0. \tag{62}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $m(x, y) = d(Tx, Ty)$

$$-\min\{d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty)\}. \tag{63}$$

If X is T -orbitally complete, then T has a fixed point.

2.2. Unique Fixed Point Results. Let $(X, \{d_a : a \in (0, 1]\})$ be a generating space of quasi-metric family.

A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is called Ćirić type (II) \mathcal{Z} -contraction with respect to $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ if

$$\forall a \in (0, 1], \forall x, y \in X, \zeta(d_a(Tx, Ty), n(a, x, y)) \geq 0, \tag{64}$$

where $n(a, x, y) = \max\{d_a(x, y), d_a(x, Tx), d_a(y, Ty), (1/2)[d_a(x, Ty) + d_a(y, Tx)]\}$ and $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ is nondecreasing with respect to the second variable.

Theorem 13. Let $(X, \{d_a : a \in (0, 1]\})$ be a generating space of quasi-metric family, and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a Ćirić type (II) \mathcal{Z} -contraction with respect to $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$. If X is T -orbitally complete, then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. Firstly, we show the uniqueness of fixed point whenever it exists.

Suppose that T has two fixed points, say $u, v \in X$ such that $u \neq v$.

Then from (54) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \zeta(d_a(Tu, Tv), n(a, u, v)) &= \zeta(d_a(u, v), d_a(u, v)) \\ &< d_a(u, v) - d_a(u, v) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

which is a contradiction. Thus T has a unique fixed point if it exists.

Secondly, we show the existence of fixed point.

As in proof of Theorem 10, let us define a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ by $x_n = Tx_{n-1} = T^n x_0 \forall n = 1, 2, 3 \dots$, where $x_0 \in X$ is any fixed point, such that

$$x_{n-1} \neq x_n \forall n = 1, 2, 3 \dots. \tag{66}$$

We infer that

$$\begin{aligned} (a, x_{n-1}, x_n) &= \max\{d_a(x_{n-1}, x_n), d_a(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}), d_a(x_n, Tx_n), \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}[d_a(x_{n-1}, Tx_n) + d_a(x_n, Tx_{n-1})]\} \\ &= \max\{d_a(x_{n-1}, x_n), d_a(x_{n-1}, x_n), d_a(x_n, x_{n+1}), \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}[d_a(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + d_a(x_n, x_n)]\} \\ &\leq \max\{d_a(x_{n-1}, x_n), d_a(x_{n-1}, x_n), d_a(x_n, x_{n+1}), \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}[d_b(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d_b(x_n, x_{n+1})]\} \\ &\leq \max\{d_b(x_{n-1}, x_n), d_b(x_{n-1}, x_n), d_b(x_n, x_{n+1}), \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}[d_b(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d_b(x_n, x_{n+1})]\} \\ &= \max\{d_b(x_{n-1}, x_n), d_b(x_n, x_{n+1})\} \text{ where } b \in (0, a]. \end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

Hence

$$n(b, x_{n-1}, x_n) \leq \max\{d_b(x_{n-1}, x_n), d_b(x_n, x_{n+1})\}. \quad (68)$$

It follows from 65 that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \zeta(d_b(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n), n(b, x_n, x_{n+1})) \\ &= \zeta(d_b(x_n, x_{n+1}), n(b, x_n, x_{n+1})) \\ &< n(b, x_n, x_{n+1}) - d_b(x_n, x_{n+1}) \\ &\leq \max\{d_b(x_{n-1}, x_n), d_b(x_n, x_{n+1})\} \\ &\quad - d_b(x_n, x_{n+1}), \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

which implies

$$d_b(x_n, x_{n+1}) < d_b(x_{n-1}, x_n) \forall n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (70)$$

Thus there exists $r \geq 0$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_b(x_{n-1}, x_n) = r. \quad (71)$$

We show that $r = 0$.

Assume that $r \neq 0$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} s_n &= \max\{d_b(x_{n-1}, x_n), d_b(x_n, x_{n+1})\} \text{ and} \\ t_n &= d_b(x_n, x_{n+1}) \quad \forall n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = r > 0$.

It follows from (54 and 55) that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \limsup \zeta(d_b(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n), n(b, x_{n-1}, x_n)) \\ &\leq \limsup \zeta(t_n, s_n) < 0, \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $r = 0$, and hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_b(x_{n-1}, x_n) = 0, \quad \forall b \in (0, a]. \quad (74)$$

We now show that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence.

By Lemma 1.1, there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and two subsequences

$\{x_{n(k)}\}, \{x_{m(k)}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ satisfying (17).

Since (73) holds, it follows from Lemma 1.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_c(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) &= \epsilon, \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_c(x_{m(k)+1}, x_{n(k)}) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_c(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)+1}) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_c(x_{m(k)+1}, x_{n(k)+1}) \\ &= \epsilon \text{ for some } c \in (0, b). \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

Let $s_k = n(c, x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)})$ and $t_n = d_c(x_{m(k)+1}, x_{n(k)+1})$.

Then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} n(c, x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d_c(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) = \epsilon. \quad (76)$$

Thus from (73) we have

$$0 \leq \limsup \zeta(t_k, s_k) < 0, \quad (77)$$

which is a contradiction. Thus $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Hence there exists $x_* \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_a(x_n, x_*) = 0 \quad \forall a \in (0, 1]. \quad (78)$$

Let $s_n = n(a, x_n, x_*)$ and $t_n = d_a(x_n, Tx_*)$, $a \in (0, 1]$, $\forall n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$.

If $d_a(Tx_*, x_*) > 0$, where $a \in (0, 1]$, then from Lemma 9 we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = d_a(x_*, Tx_*) > 0. \quad (79)$$

Hence

$$0 \leq \limsup \zeta(t_n, s_n) < 0, \quad (80)$$

which is a contradiction.

Thus

$$d_a(Tx_*, x_*) = 0 \quad \forall a \in (0, 1]. \quad (81)$$

Hence $x_* = Tx_*$.

Corollary 14. Let $(X, \{d_a : a \in (0, 1]\})$ be a generating space of quasi-metric family, and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that

$$\forall a \in (0, 1), \forall x, y \in X, \zeta(d_a(Tx, Ty), l(a, x, y)) \geq 0, \quad (82)$$

where $l(a, x, y) = \max\{d_a(x, y), (1/2)[d_a(x, Tx) + d_a(y, Ty)], (1/2)[d_a(x, Ty) + d_a(y, Tx)]\}$ and $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ is nondecreasing with respect to the second variable.

If X is T -orbitally complete, then T has a unique fixed point.

Corollary 15. Let $(X, \{d_a : a \in (0, 1]\})$ be a generating space of quasi-metric family, and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that

$$\forall a \in (0, 1], \forall x, y \in X, \zeta(d_a(Tx, Ty), d_a(x, y)) \geq 0, \quad (83)$$

where $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ is nondecreasing with respect to the second variable.

If X is T -orbitally complete, then T has a unique fixed point.

By taking $\zeta = \zeta_6$ in Corollary 2.5, we have the following result.

Corollary 16. (Banach) Let $(X, \{d_a : a \in (0, 1]\})$ be a generating space of quasi-metric family, and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that

$$\forall a \in (0, 1], \forall x, y \in X, d_a(Tx, Ty) \leq kd_a(x, y), \text{ where } k \in (0, 1]. \quad (84)$$

If X is T -orbitally complete, then T has a unique fixed point.

Corollary 17. Let (X, d) be a metric space, and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that

$$\forall x, y \in X, \zeta(d(Tx, Ty), n(x, y)) \geq 0, \quad (85)$$

where $n(x, y) = \max\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), (1/2)[d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)]\}$ and $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ is nondecreasing with respect to the second variable.

If X is T -orbitally complete, then T has a unique fixed point.

Example 18. Let $X = \{2/n : n = 2, 3, 4, \dots\} \cup \{0\}$, and let

$$d_a(x, y) = \frac{1}{a}|x - y| \quad \forall a \in (0, 1]. \quad (86)$$

Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a map defined as

$$Tx = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{n+1}, & \left(x = \frac{2}{n}, n = 2, 3, 4, \dots\right), \\ x = 0, & 0. \end{cases} \quad (87)$$

Obviously, $(X, \{d_a : a \in (0, 1]\})$ is complete, and hence it is T -orbitally complete.

We now show that T is a Ćirić type (II) \mathcal{Z} -contraction with respect to $\zeta_7(t, s) = s - \int_0^t v(u)du$ with $v(u) = 1 + 2u, \forall u \geq 0$.

Case 1: Let $x = 2/n, y = 0$.

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \zeta_7\left(d_a\left(T\frac{2}{n}, T0\right), n\left(a, \frac{2}{n}, 0\right)\right) \\ &= \zeta_7\left(\frac{1}{a} \cdot \frac{2}{n+1}, \frac{1}{a} \cdot \frac{2}{n}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{a} \cdot \frac{2}{n} - \int_0^{1/a \cdot 2/n+1} (1+2u)du \\ &= \frac{1}{a} \cdot \frac{2}{n} - \left(\frac{1}{a} \cdot \frac{2}{n+1} + \left(\frac{1}{a} \cdot \frac{2}{n+1}\right)^2\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{a} \cdot \frac{4}{n(n+1)^3} > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (88)$$

Case 2: Let $x = 2/n, y = 2/n(m < n)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_7\left(d_a\left(T\frac{2}{n}, T\frac{2}{m}\right), n\left(a, \frac{2}{n}, \frac{2}{m}\right)\right) &= \zeta_7\left(\frac{1}{a} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{n+1} - \frac{2}{m+1}\right), \frac{1}{a} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{n} - \frac{2}{m}\right)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{a} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{n} - \frac{2}{m}\right) - \int_0^{1/a \cdot (2/n+1-2/m+1)} (1+2u)du \\ &= \frac{1}{a} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{n} - \frac{2}{m}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{a} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{n+1} - \frac{2}{m+1}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{a} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{n+1} - \frac{2}{m+1}\right)\right)^2\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{a} \cdot \frac{2(m-n)(n+1)(m+1)((n+1)(m+1) - mn + 4(m-n)^2)}{mn(n+1)^2(m+1)^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{a} \cdot \frac{2(m-n)((n+1)(m+1) - mn + 4(m-n)^2)}{mn(n+1)(m+1)} > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (89)$$

Thus T is a Ćirić type (II) \mathcal{Z} -contraction with respect to ζ_6 . All conditions of Theorem 13 are satisfied, and T has a unique fixed point theorem $x_* = 0$.

However, Banach contraction principle in the setting of generating spaces of quasi-metric family, i.e. Corollary 16 is not satisfied.

In fact, if for $x = 2/n, y = 2/(n+1) \forall n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$

$$\forall a \in (0, 1], d_a(Tx, Ty) \leq kd_a(x, y), \text{ where } k \in (0, 1], \quad (90)$$

then we have that

$$\frac{1}{a} \left| \frac{2}{n+1} - \frac{2}{n+2} \right| \leq k \frac{1}{a} \left| \frac{2}{n} - \frac{2}{n+1} \right|, \quad (91)$$

which implies

$$k \geq \frac{n(n+1)}{(n+1)(n+2)} \forall n = 2, 3, 4, \dots \quad (92)$$

By taking $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $k \geq 1$ which is a contradiction.

Thus Theorem 13 is a generalization of the Banach contraction principle in generating space of quasi-metric family.

3. Consequence

By applying simulation functions of Example 3 to Theorem 10 and Theorem 13, we have some fixed point results.

Especially, taking ζ_8 for ζ in Theorem 10 and Theorem 13, we have the following results.

Corollary 19. Let $(X, \{d_a : a \in (0, 1]\})$ be a generating space of quasi-metric family, and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that

$$\forall a \in (0, 1], \forall x, y \in X, m(a, x, y) \leq \frac{d_a(x, y)}{1 + d_a(x, y)}. \quad (93)$$

If X is T -orbitally complete, then T has a fixed point.

Corollary 20. Let (X, d) be a metric space, and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that

$$\forall x, y \in X, m(x, y) \leq \frac{d(x, y)}{1 + d(x, y)}. \quad (94)$$

If X is T -orbitally complete, then T has a fixed point.

Corollary 21. Let $(X, \{d_a : a \in (0, 1]\})$ be a gessnerating space of quasi-metric family, and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that

$$\forall a \in (0, 1], \forall x, y \in X, d_a(Tx, Ty) \leq \frac{n(a, x, y)}{1 + n(a, x, y)}. \quad (95)$$

If X is T -orbitally complete, then T has a unique fixed point.

Corollary 22. Let (X, d) be a metric space, and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that

$$\forall x, y \in X, d(Tx, Ty) \leq \frac{n(x, y)}{1 + n(x, y)}. \quad (96)$$

If X is T -orbitally complete, then T has a unique fixed point.

Data Availability

No data were used to support this study.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by Hanseo University.

References

- [1] L. B. Ćirić, "On some maps with non-unique fixed points," *Publications de l'Institut Mathématique*, vol. 17, no. 31, pp. 52–58, 1974.
- [2] L. B. Ćirić, "Generalized contractions and fixed point theorems," *Publications de l'Institut Mathématique*, vol. 12, no. 26, pp. 19–26, 1971.
- [3] F. Khojasteh, S. Shukla, and S. Radenović, "A new approach to the study of fixed point theorems for simulation functions," *Filomat*, vol. 29, no. 6, pp. 1189–1194, 2015.
- [4] H. H. Alsulami, E. Karapınar, F. Khojasteh, and A.-F. Roldán-López-de-Hierro, "A proposal to the study of contractions in quasi-metric spaces," *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, vol. 2014, Article ID 269286, 10 pages, 2014.
- [5] H. Isik, N. B. Gungor, C. Park, and S. Y. Jang, "Fixed point theorems for almost Z-contractions with an application," *Mathematics*, vol. 6, no. 3, 2018.
- [6] E. Karapınar, "Fixed points results via simulation functions," *Filomat*, vol. 30, no. 8, pp. 2343–2350, 2016.
- [7] A. F. Roldán-López-de-Hierro, E. Karapınar, C. Roldán-López-de-Hierro, and J. Martínez-Moreno, "Coincidence point theorems on metric spaces via simulation functions," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 275, pp. 345–355, 2015.
- [8] S. Radenovic, F. Vetro, and J. Vujakovic, "An alternative and easy approach to fixed point results via simulation functions," *Demonstratio Mathematica*, vol. 50, pp. 223–230, 2017.
- [9] S. S. Chang, Y. J. Cho, and S. M. Kang, *Probabilistic Metric Spaces and Nonlinear Operator Theory*, Sichuan University Press, Chengdu, China, 1994.
- [10] S. S. Chang, Y. J. Cho, B.S. Lee, J. S. Jung, and S. M. Kang, "Coincidence point theorems and minimization theorems in fuzzy metric spaces," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 88, no. 1, pp. 119–127, 1997.
- [11] J. X. Fang, "On the generalizations of Ekeland's variational principle and Caristi's fixed point theorems," in *The 6th National Conference on Fixed Point Theory, Variational Inequalities and Probabilistic Metric Space Theory*, Qingdao, China, 1993.
- [12] O. Kaleva and S. Seikkala, "On fuzzy metric spaces," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 215–229, 1984.
- [13] B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, "Statistical metric spaces," *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 10, pp. 313–334, 1960.




Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
www.hindawi.com

